

MULTILINEAR FRACTIONAL INTEGRAL OPERATORS: A COUNTER-EXAMPLE

Pablo Rocha

Universidad Nacional del Sur, Departamento de Matemática
Av. Alem 1253 - Bahía Blanca 8000, Buenos Aires, Argentina; pablo.rocha@uns.edu.ar

Abstract. By means of a counter-example we show that the multilinear fractional operator \mathcal{I}_γ ($1 < \gamma < 2$) is not bounded from $H^1(\mathbf{R}) \times H^p(\mathbf{R})$ into $H^q(\mathbf{R})$, for $0 < p \leq \gamma^{-1}$ and $\frac{1}{q} = 1 + \frac{1}{p} - \gamma$.

1. Introduction

Given positive integers m, n and a real number $0 < \gamma < mn$, it is define the multilinear fractional operator \mathcal{I}_γ by

$$\mathcal{I}_\gamma(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x) = \int_{(\mathbf{R}^n)^m} \frac{f_1(y_1) \cdots f_m(y_m)}{(|x - y_1| + \cdots + |x - y_m|)^{nm-\gamma}} dy_1 \cdots dy_m, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

Lin and Lu in [3] proved Hardy space estimates for the multilinear fractional operator \mathcal{I}_γ . More precisely, they proved that if $0 < \gamma < n$, $0 < p_1, \dots, p_m, q \leq 1$, and q such that $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p_m} - \frac{\gamma}{n} > 0$, then

$$\|\mathcal{I}_\gamma(f_1, \dots, f_m)\|_{L^q} \leq C \|f_1\|_{H^{p_1}} \cdots \|f_m\|_{H^{p_m}}.$$

Recently, Cruz-Uribe, Moen and Nguyen in [1] generalized the result of Lin and Lu to weighted Hardy spaces on the full range $0 < \gamma < nm$.

The purpose of this note is to give a counter-example to show that the multilinear fractional operator \mathcal{I}_γ is not bounded from a product of Hardy spaces into a Hardy space. For them, we consider $n = 1$, $m = 2$, $\gamma = \alpha + 1$ with $0 < \alpha < 1$, so $1 < \gamma < 2$, $2 - \gamma = 1 - \alpha$ and the multilinear fractional operator $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha+1}$ in this case is given by

$$\mathcal{I}_{\alpha+1}(f_1, f_2)(x) = \iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} \frac{f_1(s)f_2(t)}{(|x - s| + |x - t|)^{1-\alpha}} ds dt, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}.$$

We will prove that the operator $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha+1}$ is not bounded from $H^1(\mathbf{R}) \times H^p(\mathbf{R})$ into $H^q(\mathbf{R})$, for $0 < p \leq (\alpha + 1)^{-1}$ and $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \alpha$.

We briefly recall the definition of Hardy space on \mathbf{R}^n . The Hardy space $H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ (for $0 < p < \infty$) consists of tempered distributions $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that for some Schwartz function φ with $\int \varphi = 1$, the maximal operator

$$(\mathcal{M}_\varphi f)(x) = \sup_{t>0} |(\varphi_t * f)(x)|$$

is in $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$, where $\varphi_t(x) := \frac{1}{t^n} \varphi(\frac{x}{t})$. In this case we define $\|f\|_{H^p} := \|\mathcal{M}_\varphi f\|_p$ as the H^p “norm”. It can be shown that this definition does not depend on the choice of the function φ . For $1 < p < \infty$, it is well known that $H^p(\mathbf{R}^n) \cong L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $H^1(\mathbf{R}^n) \subset L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ strictly, and for $0 < p < 1$ the spaces $H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ are not comparable.

The following sentences hold in Hardy spaces $H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for $0 < p \leq 1$ (see pp. 128–129 in [4]):

- (S1) A bounded compactly supported function f belongs to $H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ if and only if it satisfies the moment conditions $\int x^\beta f(x) dx = 0$ for all $|\beta| \leq n(p^{-1} - 1)$.
- (S2) If $f \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n) \cap H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$, then $\int x^\beta f(x) dx = 0$, whenever $|\beta| \leq n(p^{-1} - 1)$ and the function $x^\beta f(x)$ is in $L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

To obtain our result we will compute explicitly in Section 2 the Fourier transform of the kernel $(|x - s| + |x - t|)^{\alpha-1}$ in the x variable, this allows us to get the following identity

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} \mathcal{I}_{\alpha+1}(a_1, a_2)(x) dx = \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} \iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} a_1(s) a_2(t) |t - s|^\alpha ds dt$$

valid for bounded functions a_1 and a_2 having compact support with $\int a_1 = 0$ or $\int a_2 = 0$. Then, from (S2), the counter-example will follow to consider $a_1 \in H^1(\mathbf{R})$ and $a_2 \in H^p(\mathbf{R})$ such that $\iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} a_1(s) a_2(t) |t - s|^\alpha ds dt \neq 0$.

Notation. We use the following convention for the Fourier transform in \mathbf{R} $\widehat{f}(\xi) = \int f(x) e^{-ix\xi} dx$. As usual we denote with $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})$ the Schwartz space on \mathbf{R} .

2. Preliminaries

We start with the following lemma.

Lemma 1. For $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $s \neq t \in \mathbf{R}$ fixed, let $K_{s,t}^\alpha$ be the function defined in \mathbf{R} by

$$K_{s,t}^\alpha(x) = (|x - s| + |x - t|)^{\alpha-1}, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{K_{s,t}^\alpha}(\xi) &= -2^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha) \sin\left(\frac{(\alpha - 1)\pi}{2}\right) e^{-i\xi\left(\frac{s+t}{2}\right)} |\xi|^{-\alpha} + |t - s|^{\alpha-1} \operatorname{sgn}(t - s) \int_s^t e^{-ix\xi} dx \\ &\quad - \frac{|t - s|^\alpha}{\alpha} e^{-i\left(\frac{s+t}{2}\right)\xi} \cos\left(\frac{|t - s|\xi}{2}\right) + \frac{i 2^\alpha \xi e^{-i\xi\left(\frac{s+t}{2}\right)}}{\alpha} \int_0^{\frac{|t-s|}{2}} x^\alpha \sin(x\xi) dx, \end{aligned}$$

in the distributional sense.

Proof. First we assume that $s < t$. Then for each $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})$ fixed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\widehat{K_{s,t}^\alpha}, \phi\right) &= \left(K_{s,t}^\alpha, \widehat{\phi}\right) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} K_{s,t}^\alpha(x) \widehat{\phi}(x) dx \\ &= \int_t^{+\infty} K_{s,t}^\alpha(x) \widehat{\phi}(x) dx + \int_s^t K_{s,t}^\alpha(x) \widehat{\phi}(x) dx + \int_{-\infty}^s K_{s,t}^\alpha(x) \widehat{\phi}(x) dx \\ &= I + II + III. \end{aligned}$$

Let us now proceed to compute each one of these integrals,

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_{\mathbf{R}} \chi_{(t,+\infty)}(x) (2x - (s + t))^{\alpha-1} \widehat{\phi}(x) dx \\ &= 2^{\alpha-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}} x^{\alpha-1} \chi_{\left(\frac{t-s}{2}, +\infty\right)}(x) (e^{-i(\cdot)\frac{(s+t)}{2}} \widehat{\phi})^\wedge(x) dx \\ &= 2^{\alpha-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}} x_+^{\alpha-1} (e^{-i(\cdot)\frac{(s+t)}{2}} \widehat{\phi})^\wedge(x) dx - 2^{\alpha-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}} x^{\alpha-1} \chi_{\left(0, \frac{t-s}{2}\right)}(x) (e^{-i(\cdot)\frac{(s+t)}{2}} \widehat{\phi})^\wedge(x) dx \\ &= 2^{\alpha-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}} x_+^{\alpha-1} (e^{-i(\cdot)\frac{(s+t)}{2}} \widehat{\phi})^\wedge(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

$$- 2^{\alpha-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left(\frac{(t-s)^\alpha e^{-i\xi \frac{(t-s)}{2}}}{2^\alpha \alpha} + \frac{i\xi}{\alpha} \int_0^{\frac{t-s}{2}} x^\alpha e^{-ix\xi} dx \right) e^{-i\xi \frac{(s+t)}{2}} \phi(\xi) d\xi,$$

to compute III we proceed as in I , thus

$$III = 2^{\alpha-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}} x_-^{\alpha-1} (e^{-i(\cdot) \frac{(s+t)}{2}} \phi)^\wedge(x) dx - 2^{\alpha-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left(\frac{(t-s)^\alpha}{2^\alpha \alpha} e^{i\xi \frac{(t-s)}{2}} - \frac{i\xi}{\alpha} \int_0^{\frac{t-s}{2}} x^\alpha e^{ix\xi} dx \right) e^{-i\xi \frac{(s+t)}{2}} \phi(\xi) d\xi,$$

so

$$I + III = 2^{\alpha-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}} |x|^{\alpha-1} (e^{-i(\cdot) \frac{(s+t)}{2}} \phi)^\wedge(x) dx - \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{(t-s)^\alpha}{\alpha} e^{-i\frac{(s+t)}{2}\xi} \cos\left(\frac{(t-s)\xi}{2}\right) \phi(\xi) d\xi + \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left(\frac{i 2^\alpha \xi e^{-i\xi \frac{(s+t)}{2}}}{\alpha} \int_0^{\frac{(t-s)}{2}} x^\alpha \sin(x\xi) dx \right) \phi(\xi) d\xi.$$

Now II is easy, indeed

$$II = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \chi_{(s,t)}(x) (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \widehat{\phi}(x) dx = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left((t-s)^{\alpha-1} \int_s^t e^{-ix\xi} dx \right) \phi(\xi) d\xi.$$

Since

$$\widehat{|x|^{\alpha-1}}(\xi) = -2\Gamma(\alpha) \sin\left(\frac{(\alpha-1)\pi}{2}\right) |\xi|^{-\alpha}$$

(see equation (12), p. 173, in [2]), the lemma follows for the case $s < t$. Finally, exchanging the roles of s and t we obtain the statement of the lemma. \square

Corollary 2. *If a_1 and a_2 are two bounded functions on \mathbf{R} with compact support and such that $\int a_1 = 0$ or $\int a_2 = 0$, then*

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} \mathcal{I}_{\alpha+1}(a_1, a_2)(x) dx = \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha} \iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} a_1(s) a_2(t) |t-s|^\alpha ds dt.$$

Proof. It is easy to check that $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha+1}(a_1, a_2)(\cdot) \in L^1(\mathbf{R})$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})$ be an even function such that $\varphi(0) = 1$ and for $\epsilon > 0$ let $\varphi_\epsilon(x) = \varphi(\epsilon x)$. Since

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} \mathcal{I}_{\alpha+1}(a_1, a_2)(x) dx = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \mathcal{I}_{\alpha+1}(a_1, a_2)(x) \varphi_\epsilon(x) dx,$$

we will proceed to compute this limit.

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \mathcal{I}_{\alpha+1}(a_1, a_2)(x) \varphi_\epsilon(x) dx &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} a_1(s) a_2(t) \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} K_{s,t}^\alpha(x) \varphi_\epsilon(x) dx \right) ds dt \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} a_1(s) a_2(t) \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} \widehat{K}_{s,t}^\alpha(\xi) \widehat{\varphi}_\epsilon(\xi) d\xi \right) ds dt \\ &= \iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} a_1(s) a_2(t) \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} \widehat{K}_{s,t}^\alpha(\epsilon \xi) \widehat{\varphi}(\xi) d\xi \right) ds dt \\ &= \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha} \iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} a_1(s) a_2(t) |t-s|^\alpha ds dt, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 1, the moment condition of a_1 (or a_2) and that $\varphi(0) = 1$. □

3. A counter-example

We take $a_1(s) = \chi_{(-1,0)}(s) - \chi_{(0,1)}(s)$ and $a_2(t) = a_1(t - 2)$. From (S1) it follows that $a_1 \in H^1(\mathbf{R})$ and $a_2 \in H^{(\alpha+1)^{-1}}(\mathbf{R})$ for each $0 < \alpha < 1$. A computation gives

$$\iint a_1(s)a_2(t)|t - s|^\alpha ds dt = \frac{4 \cdot 3^{\alpha+2} - 4^{\alpha+2} - 6 \cdot 2^{\alpha+2} + 4}{(\alpha + 1)(\alpha + 2)} \neq 0.$$

From (S2) and corollary 2 it obtains that $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha+1}(a_1, a_2)(\cdot) \notin H^1(\mathbf{R})$, for each $0 < \alpha < 1$. For $0 < p < (\alpha+1)^{-1}$ and $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \alpha$, we take N as any fixed integer with $N > p^{-1} - 1$, then the set of all bounded, compactly supported functions for which $\int x^\beta f(x) dx = 0$, for all $|\beta| \leq N$ is dense in $H^r(\mathbf{R})$ for each $p \leq r \leq 1$ (see 5.2 b), pp. 128, in [4]). In particular, there exists $b \in H^p(\mathbf{R})$ such that $\|a_1\|_{H^1}\|a_2 - b\|_{H^{(\alpha+1)^{-1}}} < \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}} \mathcal{I}_{\alpha+1}(a_1, a_2)(x) dx \right| / 2C$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}} \mathcal{I}_{\alpha+1}(a_1, b)(x) dx \right| &\geq \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}} \mathcal{I}_{\alpha+1}(a_1, a_2)(x) dx \right| - \int_{\mathbf{R}} |\mathcal{I}_{\alpha+1}(a_1, a_2 - b)(x)| dx \\ &\geq \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}} \mathcal{I}_{\alpha+1}(a_1, a_2)(x) dx \right| - C\|a_1\|_{H^1}\|a_2 - b\|_{H^{(\alpha+1)^{-1}}} \\ &> \frac{1}{2} \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}} \mathcal{I}_{\alpha+1}(a_1, a_2)(x) dx \right| > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality follows from Theorem 1.1 in [1] with $p_1 = 1$, $p_2 = (\alpha+1)^{-1}$ and $q = 1$. But then the operator $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha+1}$ is not bounded from $H^1(\mathbf{R}) \times H^p(\mathbf{R})$ into $H^q(\mathbf{R})$ for $0 < p \leq (\alpha + 1)^{-1}$ and $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \alpha$, since $\int_{\mathbf{R}} \mathcal{I}_{\alpha+1}(a_1, b)(x) dx \neq 0$.

We conclude this note by summarizing our main result in the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *For $1 < \gamma < 2$, let \mathcal{I}_γ be the multilinear fractional integral operator given by*

$$\mathcal{I}_\gamma(f_1, f_2)(x) = \iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} \frac{f_1(s)f_2(t)}{(|x - s| + |x - t|)^{2-\gamma}} ds dt, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Then the operator \mathcal{I}_γ is not bounded from $H^1(\mathbf{R}) \times H^p(\mathbf{R})$ into $H^q(\mathbf{R})$ for $0 < p \leq \gamma^{-1}$ and $\frac{1}{q} = 1 + \frac{1}{p} - \gamma$.

Acknowledgement. I express my thanks to the referee for the useful comments.

References

- [1] CRUZ-URIBE, D., K. MOEN, and H. V. NGUYEN: Multilinear fractional Calderón–Zygmund operators on weighted Hardy spaces. - Preprint: arXiv:1903.01593v1 [math.CA].
- [2] GELFAND, I. M., and G. E. SHILOV: Generalized functions, properties and operations. Volume 1. - Academic Press Inc., 1964.
- [3] LIN, Y., and S. LU: Boundedness of multilinear singular integral operators on Hardy and Herz-type spaces. - Hokkaido Math. J. 36:3, 2007, 585–613.
- [4] STEIN, E. M.: Harmonic analysis: Real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals. - Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1993.