

BOUNDARY MULTIPLIERS OF A FAMILY OF MÖBIUS INVARIANT FUNCTION SPACES

Guanlong Bao and Jordi Pau

Shantou University, Department of Mathematics
Shantou, Guangdong 515063, P. R. China; glbaoah@163.com

Universitat de Barcelona, Departament de Matemàtica Aplicada i Anàlisi
08007 Barcelona, Spain; jordi.pau@ub.edu

Abstract. For $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 < s < 1$, we consider the function spaces $\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})$ that appear naturally as the space of boundary values of a certain family of analytic Möbius invariant function spaces on the unit disk. In this paper, we give a complete description of the pointwise multipliers going from $\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T})$ to $\mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T})$ for all ranges of $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$ and $0 < s, r < 1$. The spectra of such multiplication operators is also obtained.

1. Introduction

An important problem of studying function spaces is to characterize the pointwise multipliers of such spaces. For Banach function spaces X and Y , denote by $M(X, Y)$ the class of all pointwise multipliers from X to Y . Namely,

$$M(X, Y) = \{f: fg \in Y \text{ for all } g \in X\}.$$

If $X = Y$, we just write $M(X, Y)$ as $M(X)$ for the collection of multipliers of X . For any $g \in M(X, Y)$, denote by M_g the multiplication operator induced by g , that is, $M_g(f) = gf$. By the closed graph theorem, M_g is a bounded operator. In this paper we characterize the pointwise multipliers between a certain family of function spaces on the unit circle. These spaces appear in a natural way as the boundary values of a certain family of analytic Möbius invariant spaces on the disk [32] that has been attracted much attention recently.

Denote by \mathbf{T} the boundary of the unit disk \mathbf{D} in the complex plane \mathbf{C} . Let $H(\mathbf{D})$ be the space of all analytic functions on \mathbf{D} and let H^∞ be the class of bounded analytic functions on \mathbf{D} . For $1 < p < \infty$ and $s \geq 0$, consider the analytic Besov type space $B_p(s)$ consisting of those functions $f \in H(\mathbf{D})$ with

$$\|f\|_{B_p(s)} = \left(\int_{\mathbf{D}} |f'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-2+s} dA(z) \right)^{1/p} < \infty,$$

where dA denotes the Lebesgue measure on \mathbf{D} . A norm in $B_p(s)$ is given by $|f(0)| + \|f\|_{B_p(s)}$. For $a \in \mathbf{D}$, let

$$\sigma_a(z) = \frac{a - z}{1 - \bar{a}z}, \quad z \in \mathbf{D},$$

doi:10.5186/aasfm.2016.4113

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 30H25, 30J10, 46E15.

Key words: Pointwise multipliers, Carleson measures, Blaschke products, $\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})$ spaces.

G. Bao is supported in part by NSF of China (No. 11371234). J. Pau was supported by SGR grant 2014SGR289 (Generalitat de Catalunya) and DGICYT grants MTM2011-27932-C02-01 and MTM2014-51834-P (MCyT/MEC).

be a Möbius transformation of \mathbf{D} . The space $B_p = B_p(0)$ is Möbius invariant in the sense that $\|f \circ \sigma_a\|_{B_p} = \|f\|_{B_p}$, and B_2 is the classical Dirichlet space. For $s > 0$ and $1 < p < \infty$, we denote by $\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{D})$ the Möbius invariant space generated by $B_p(s)$, that is, $f \in \mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{D})$ if $f \in H(\mathbf{D})$ and

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{D})}^p = \sup_{a \in \mathbf{D}} \|f \circ \sigma_a\|_{B_p(s)}^p < \infty.$$

The spaces $\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{D})$ are special cases of a class of Möbius invariant function spaces studied in [38] and coincide with $F(p, p-2, s)$ where $F(p, q, s)$ is the family of function spaces studied in [23] and [32]. In particular, for $s > 1$ the spaces $\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{D})$ are the same and equal to the Bloch space \mathcal{B} (the ‘‘maximal’’ Möbius invariant space) which consists of all functions $f \in H(\mathbf{D})$ with

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} = \sup_{z \in \mathbf{D}} (1 - |z|^2) |f'(z)| < \infty.$$

When $p = 2$, one has $\mathcal{Q}_s^2(\mathbf{D}) = \mathcal{Q}_s(\mathbf{D})$ the holomorphic \mathcal{Q} spaces introduced in [4] and widely studied in the monographs [30, 31]. In particular, $\mathcal{Q}_1(\mathbf{D}) = BMOA$, the space of analytic functions with bounded mean oscillation [11]. Essén and Xiao [9] gave that if $0 < s < 1$ and f is in the Hardy space H^2 , then $f \in \mathcal{Q}_s(\mathbf{D})$ if and only if $f \in \mathcal{Q}_s(\mathbf{T})$, the space of functions $f \in L^2(\mathbf{T})$ with

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{Q}_s(\mathbf{T})}^2 = \sup_{I \subseteq \mathbf{T}} \frac{1}{|I|^s} \int_I \int_I \frac{|f(\zeta) - f(\eta)|^2}{|\zeta - \eta|^{2-s}} |d\zeta| |d\eta| < \infty,$$

where $|I|$ is the length of an arc I of the unit circle \mathbf{T} (a version of these spaces for several real variables was studied in [8]). If $s > 1$, Xiao [29] pointed out that $\mathcal{Q}_s(\mathbf{T})$ are equal to $BMO(\mathbf{T})$, the space of bounded mean oscillation on \mathbf{T} . For $p > 1$, via the John–Nirenberg inequality (see [11, 14]), one gets

$$\|f\|_{BMO(\mathbf{T})}^p \approx \sup_{I \subseteq \mathbf{T}} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |f(\zeta) - f_I|^p |d\zeta|,$$

where f_I is the average of f over I , that is

$$f_I = \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f(\zeta) |d\zeta|.$$

In view of that it is natural to consider, for $1 < p < \infty$ and $s > 0$, the spaces $\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})$ consisting of functions $f \in L^p(\mathbf{T})$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad \|f\|_{\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})}^p = \sup_{I \subseteq \mathbf{T}} \frac{1}{|I|^s} \int_I \int_I \frac{|f(\zeta) - f(\eta)|^p}{|\zeta - \eta|^{2-s}} |d\zeta| |d\eta| < \infty.$$

A true norm in $\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})$ is given by $\|f\|_{*, \mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})} = \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{T})} + \|f\|_{\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})}$. We are going to study these spaces, and we will see that if f is in the Hardy space H^p , then $f \in \mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{D})$ if and only if $f \in \mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})$. Also, we give a complete description of the pointwise multipliers $M(\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T}), \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T}))$ for $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$ and $0 < s, r < 1$. It is worth mentioning that Stegenga [24] characterized the multipliers of bounded mean oscillation spaces on the unit circle (see also [17]), and Brown and Shields [5] described the pointwise multipliers of the Bloch space. A characterization of the pointwise multipliers $M(\mathcal{Q}_s(\mathbf{D}))$ was obtained in [18] proving a conjecture stated in [28]. See [10, 17, 22, 25, 27, 35] for more results on pointwise multipliers of function spaces.

The following is the main result of the paper.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$ and $0 < s, r < 1$. Then the following are true.*

(1) *If $p_1 \leq p_2$ and $s \leq r$, then $f \in M(\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T}), \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T}))$ if and only if $f \in L^\infty(\mathbf{T})$ and*

$$(1.2) \quad \sup_{I \subseteq \mathbf{T}} \frac{1}{|I|^r} \left(\log \frac{2}{|I|} \right)^{p_2} \int_I \int_I \frac{|f(\zeta) - f(\eta)|^{p_2}}{|\zeta - \eta|^{2-r}} |d\zeta| |d\eta| < \infty.$$

(2) *Let $p_1 > p_2$ and $s \leq r$. If $\frac{1-s}{p_1} > \frac{1-r}{p_2}$, then $f \in M(\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T}), \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T}))$ if and only if $f \in L^\infty(\mathbf{T})$ and f satisfies (1.2). If $\frac{1-s}{p_1} \leq \frac{1-r}{p_2}$, then $M(\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T}), \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T})) = \{0\}$.*

(3) *If $s > r$, then $M(\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T}), \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T})) = \{0\}$.*

Note that, when $p_1 = p_2 = 2$ and $s = r$, part (1) of Theorem 1.1 proves Conjecture 2.5 stated in [28]. However, as seen in the proof, this conjecture is an immediate consequence of the results and methods in [18].

Next we give an application. Let T be a bounded linear operator on a Banach space X . The spectrum of T is defined as

$$\sigma(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C} : T - \lambda E \text{ is not invertible}\},$$

where E is the identity operator on X . Allen and Colonna [2] gave spectra of multiplication operators on the Bloch space. In this paper, we also consider the spectra of multiplication operators on $\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})$ spaces. For $f \in \mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})$, let $\mathcal{R}(f)$ be the essential range of f . Namely, $\mathcal{R}(f)$ is the set of all λ in \mathbf{C} for which $\{\zeta \in \mathbf{T} : |f(\zeta) - \lambda| < \varepsilon\}$ has positive measure for every $\varepsilon > 0$. By [6, p. 57], if $f \in L^\infty(\mathbf{T})$, then $\mathcal{R}(f)$ is a compact subset of \mathbf{C} .

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 < s < 1$. Let f be the symbol of a bounded multiplication operator M_f on $\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})$ space. Then $\sigma(M_f) = \mathcal{R}(f)$.*

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some preliminaries as well as basic properties of $\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})$ spaces, such as inclusion relations or a characterization in terms of Carleson type measures. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is given in Section 3. Particularly interesting is the proof of part (3), where we are in need to use the results of Nagel, Rudin and Shapiro [15], on tangential boundary behavior of functions in weighted analytic Besov spaces. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.2, and in the last section, we give the analytic versions of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

Throughout this paper, for a positive number λ and an arc $I \subseteq \mathbf{T}$, denote by λI the arc with the same center as I and with the length $\lambda|I|$. The symbol $A \approx B$ means that $A \lesssim B \lesssim A$. We say that $A \lesssim B$ if there exists a constant C such that $A \leq CB$.

2. Preliminaries and basic properties

An important tool to study function spaces is Carleson type measures. Given an arc I on \mathbf{T} , the Carleson sector $S(I)$ is given by

$$S(I) = \{r\zeta \in \mathbf{D} : 1 - \frac{|I|}{2\pi} < r < 1, \zeta \in I\}.$$

For $s > 0$, a positive Borel measure μ on \mathbf{D} is said to be an s -Carleson measure if

$$\sup_{I \subseteq \mathbf{T}} \frac{\mu(S(I))}{|I|^s} < \infty.$$

When $s = 1$, we get the classical Carleson measures, characterizing when $H^p \subset L^p(\mathbf{D}, \mu)$, where for $0 < p < \infty$, H^p denotes the classical Hardy space [7] of functions $f \in H(\mathbf{D})$ for which

$$\sup_{0 < r < 1} M_p(r, f) < \infty.$$

Here

$$M_p(r, f) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \right)^{1/p}.$$

By [4], μ is an s -Carleson measure if and only if

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbf{D}} \int_{\mathbf{D}} \left(\frac{1 - |a|^2}{|1 - \bar{a}z|^2} \right)^s d\mu(z) < \infty.$$

Because

$$\|f \circ \sigma_a\|_{B_p(s)}^p = \int_{\mathbf{D}} |f'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-2} (1 - |\sigma_a(z)|^2)^s dA(z),$$

we can immediately see that $f \in \mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{D})$ if and only if $|f'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-2+s} dA(z)$ is an s -Carleson measure.

A function $f \in H(\mathbf{D})$ is called an inner function if it is an H^∞ -function with radial limits of modulus one almost everywhere on the unit circle. A sequence $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathbf{D}$ is said to be a Blaschke sequence if

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty (1 - |a_k|) < \infty.$$

The above condition implies the convergence of the corresponding Blaschke product B defined as

$$B(z) = \prod_{k=1}^\infty \frac{|a_k|}{a_k} \frac{a_k - z}{1 - \bar{a}_k z}.$$

We also need the characterizations of inner functions in $\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{D})$ spaces. Essén and Xiao [9] characterized inner functions in $\mathcal{Q}_s(\mathbf{D})$ spaces. Later, Pérez-González and Rättyä [21] described inner functions in $\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{D})$ spaces as follows.

Theorem A. *Let $0 < s < 1$ and $p > \max\{s, 1 - s\}$. Then an inner function belongs to $\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{D})$ if and only if it is a Blaschke product associated with a sequence $\{z_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathbf{D}$ which satisfies that $\sum_k (1 - |z_k|)^s \delta_{z_k}$ is an s -Carleson measure, that is*

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbf{D}} \sum_{k=1}^\infty (1 - |\sigma_a(z_k)|^2)^s < \infty.$$

The proof of Theorem 1.1 will also use the Rademacher functions $\{r_j(t)\}_{j=0}^\infty$ defined by

$$r_0(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 < t < \frac{1}{2}, \\ -1, & \frac{1}{2} < t < 1, \\ 0, & t = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1. \end{cases}$$

$$r_n(t) = r_0(2^n t), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

See [39, Chapter V, Vol. I] or [7, Appendix A] for properties of these functions. In particular, we will use Khinchine's inequality.

Theorem B. (Khinchine’s inequality) *If $\{c_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \in \ell^2$, then the series $\sum_{k=1}^\infty c_k r_k(t)$ converges almost everywhere. Furthermore, for $0 < p < \infty$ there exist positive constants A_p, B_p such that for every sequence $\{c_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \in \ell^2$ we have*

$$A_p \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty |c_k|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=1}^\infty c_k r_k(t) \right|^p dt \leq B_p \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty |c_k|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$

For $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 < s < 1$, the following result shows that we can regard $\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})$ as a Banach space of functions modulo constants.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 < s < 1$. Then $\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T}) \subseteq BMO(\mathbf{T})$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})$ is complete with respect to (1.1).*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})$. For any arc $I \subseteq \mathbf{T}$, it follows from Hölder’s inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |f(e^{it}) - f_I| dt &\leq \frac{1}{|I|^2} \int_I \int_I |f(e^{it}) - f(e^{i\theta})| d\theta dt \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{|I|^s} \int_I \int_I \frac{|f(e^{it}) - f(e^{i\theta})|^p}{|e^{it} - e^{i\theta}|^{2-s}} d\theta dt \right)^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

because $|e^{it} - e^{i\theta}| \leq |I|$ when e^{it} and $e^{i\theta}$ are in I . Thus $\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T}) \subseteq BMO(\mathbf{T})$ with $\|f\|_{BMO(\mathbf{T})} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})}$. Now let $\{f_m\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})$. Then it is also a Cauchy sequence in $BMO(\mathbf{T})$. Hence $f_m \rightarrow f$ in $BMO(\mathbf{T})$ for some f in $BMO(\mathbf{T})$ and there exists a subsequence $\{f_{m_k}\} \subseteq \{f_m\}$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{m_k}(e^{it}) = f(e^{it})$, for a.e. $e^{it} \in I$. By Fatou’s lemma, it follows easily that

$$\|f_{m_k} - f\|_{\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})} \leq \liminf_{l \rightarrow \infty} \|f_{m_l} - f_{m_k}\|_{\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})},$$

which implies that $f_{m_k} \rightarrow f$ in $\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})$. Since

$$\|f_k - f\|_{\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})} \leq \|f_{m_k} - f\|_{\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})} + \|f_{m_k} - f_k\|_{\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})},$$

this finishes the proof. □

2.1. Characterizations of $\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})$ spaces. To prove our main results in this paper, some characterizations of $\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})$ spaces are necessary. Given $f \in L^1(\mathbf{T})$, let \widehat{f} be the Poisson extension of f , that is,

$$\widehat{f}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta}) \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|e^{i\theta} - z|^2} d\theta, \quad z \in \mathbf{D}.$$

We will characterize $\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})$ spaces in terms of Carleson type measures. Before doing that, we state and prove some auxiliary results.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose $p > 1$ and $0 < s < 1$. Let $f \in L^p(\mathbf{T})$ and let $F \in C^1(\mathbf{D})$ with $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} F(re^{it}) = f(e^{it})$ for a.e. $e^{it} \in \mathbf{T}$. For any arc $I \subset \mathbf{T}$, we have*

$$\int_I \int_I \frac{|f(e^{is}) - f(e^{i\theta})|^p}{|e^{is} - e^{i\theta}|^{2-s}} d\theta ds \lesssim \int_{S(3I)} |\nabla F(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-2+s} dA(z).$$

In particular, if $|\nabla F(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-2+s} dA(z)$ is an s -Carleson measure, then $f \in \mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})$.

Proof. We use an argument used in [16] and [3, p. 1294]. After a change of variables, it is easy to see (it is done in the same way as in [16] or [30, Chapter 7]

where the case $p = 2$ was obtained) that

$$\int_I \int_I \frac{|f(e^{is}) - f(e^{i\theta})|^p}{|e^{is} - e^{i\theta}|^{2-s}} d\theta ds \lesssim \int_0^{|I|} \frac{1}{t^{2-s}} \left(\int_I |f(e^{i(\theta+t)}) - f(e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \right) dt.$$

For any arc I with $|I| < \frac{\pi}{3}$ and $t \in (0, |I|)$, set $r = 1 - t$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & |f(e^{i(\theta+t)}) - f(e^{i\theta})| \\ & \leq |f(e^{i(\theta+t)}) - F(re^{i(\theta+t)})| + |F(re^{i(\theta+t)}) - F(re^{i\theta})| + |F(re^{i\theta}) - f(e^{i\theta})| \\ & \leq \int_r^1 |\nabla F(xe^{i(\theta+t)})| dx + \int_0^t |\nabla F(re^{i(\theta+u)})| du + \int_r^1 |\nabla F(xe^{i\theta})| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $p > 1$, we can use Minkowski's inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_I |f(e^{i(\theta+t)}) - f(e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta & \lesssim \left(\int_r^1 \left(\int_I |\nabla F(xe^{i(\theta+t)})|^p d\theta \right)^{1/p} dx \right)^p \\ & + \left(\int_0^t \left(\int_I |\nabla F(re^{i(\theta+u)})|^p d\theta \right)^{1/p} du \right)^p + \left(\int_r^1 \left(\int_I |\nabla F(xe^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \right)^{1/p} dx \right)^p \\ & \lesssim \left(\int_r^1 \left(\int_{3I} |\nabla F(xe^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \right)^{1/p} dx \right)^p + \left(\int_0^t \left(\int_I |\nabla F(re^{i(\theta+u)})|^p d\theta \right)^{1/p} du \right)^p \\ & = (J_1) + (J_2). \end{aligned}$$

For $p > 1$ and $0 < s < 1$, applying the Hardy inequality (see [26, p. 272]) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{|I|} \frac{1}{t^{2-s}} (J_1) dt & = \int_0^{|I|} \frac{1}{t^{2-s}} \left(\int_0^t \left(\int_{3I} |\nabla F((1-y)e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \right)^{1/p} dy \right)^p dt \\ & \leq \left(\frac{p}{1-s} \right)^p \int_0^{|I|} \left(\int_{3I} |\nabla F((1-y)e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \right) y^{p-2+s} dy \\ & = \left(\frac{p}{1-s} \right)^p \int_{1-|I|}^1 \left(\int_{3I} |\nabla F(xe^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \right) (1-x)^{p-2+s} dx \\ & \lesssim \int_{S(3I)} |\nabla F(z)|^p (1-|z|)^{p-2+s} dA(z). \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{|I|} \frac{1}{t^{2-s}} (J_2) dt & \leq \int_0^{|I|} \frac{1}{t^{2-s}} \left(\int_0^t \left(\int_{3I} |\nabla F(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \right)^{1/p} du \right)^p dt \\ & = \int_0^{|I|} t^{p-2+s} \left(\int_{3I} |\nabla F((1-t)e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \right) dt \\ & \lesssim \int_{S(3I)} |\nabla F(z)|^p (1-|z|)^{p-2+s} dA(z). \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above estimates, we obtain the desired result. □

We also need the following result which is a generalization of Stegenga's estimate in [25].

Lemma 2.3. *For $p > 1$ and $0 < s < 1$, let $f \in L^p(\mathbf{T})$ and let I, J be two arcs on \mathbf{T} centered at $e^{i\theta_0}$ with $|J| \geq 3|I|$. Then there exists a constant C depending only*

on p and s such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S(I)} |\nabla \widehat{f}(z)|^p (1 - |z|)^{p-2+s} dA(z) \\ & \leq C \left[\int_J \int_J \frac{|f(e^{i\theta}) - f(e^{it})|^p}{|e^{i\theta} - e^{it}|^{2-s}} d\theta dt + |I|^{p+s} \left(\int_{|t| \geq \frac{1}{3}|J|} |f(e^{i(t+\theta_0)}) - f_J| \frac{dt}{t^2} \right)^p \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, one may assume that $\theta_0 = 0$. Following Stegenga, we let ϕ be a function with $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ such that $\phi = 1$ on $\frac{1}{3}J$, $\text{supp } \phi \subseteq \frac{2}{3}J$, and

$$(2.1) \quad |\phi(e^{i\theta}) - \phi(e^{it})| \lesssim \frac{|e^{i\theta} - e^{it}|}{|J|}$$

for all $\theta, t \in [0, 2\pi)$. Now we write

$$f = (f - f_J)\phi + (f - f_J)(1 - \phi) + f_J = f_1 + f_2 + f_3.$$

Since f_3 is constant, we have $\nabla \widehat{f}_3 = 0$. For $z = re^{i\theta}$ in the Carleson box $S(I)$,

$$|\nabla \widehat{f}_2(z)| \lesssim \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{|f_2(e^{it})|}{(1-r)^2 + (\theta-t)^2} dt \lesssim \int_{|t| \geq \frac{1}{3}|J|} |f(e^{it}) - f_J| \frac{dt}{t^2}$$

and hence

$$\int_{S(I)} |\nabla \widehat{f}_2(z)|^p (1 - |z|)^{p-2+s} dA(z) \lesssim |I|^{p+s} \left(\int_{|t| \geq \frac{1}{3}|J|} |f(e^{it}) - f_J| \frac{dt}{t^2} \right)^p.$$

For the integral over $S(I)$ of $|\nabla \widehat{f}_1|^p$, replacing $S(I)$ with the unit disc \mathbf{D} and using Proposition 4.2 in [3], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S(I)} |\nabla \widehat{f}_1(z)|^p (1 - |z|)^{p-2+s} dA(z) \lesssim \int_{\mathbf{T}} \int_{\mathbf{T}} \frac{|f_1(e^{i\theta}) - f_1(e^{it})|^p}{|e^{i\theta} - e^{it}|^{2-s}} d\theta dt \\ & \approx \int_{e^{i\theta} \in J} \int_{e^{it} \in J} \frac{|f_1(e^{i\theta}) - f_1(e^{it})|^p}{|e^{i\theta} - e^{it}|^{2-s}} d\theta dt + \int_{e^{i\theta} \notin J} \int_{e^{it} \in \frac{2}{3}J} \frac{|f_1(e^{i\theta}) - f_1(e^{it})|^p}{|e^{i\theta} - e^{it}|^{2-s}} d\theta dt \\ & \quad + \int_{e^{it} \notin J} \int_{e^{i\theta} \in \frac{2}{3}J} \frac{|f_1(e^{i\theta}) - f_1(e^{it})|^p}{|e^{i\theta} - e^{it}|^{2-s}} d\theta dt \approx T_1 + T_2 + T_3. \end{aligned}$$

For estimating T_1 , we see first that, due to the condition (2.1), for $e^{i\theta}, e^{it} \in \mathbf{T}$,

$$|f_1(e^{i\theta}) - f_1(e^{it})| \lesssim |f(e^{i\theta}) - f(e^{it})| + |J|^{-1} |e^{i\theta} - e^{it}| |f(e^{it}) - f_J|.$$

By Hölder's inequality, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|J|^p} \int_J \int_J \frac{|f(e^{it}) - f_J|^p}{|e^{i\theta} - e^{it}|^{2-s-p}} d\theta dt &= \frac{1}{|J|^p} \int_J |f(e^{it}) - f_J|^p \left(\int_J |e^{i\theta} - e^{it}|^{p-2+s} d\theta \right) dt \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{|J|^{1-s}} \int_J |f(e^{it}) - f_J|^p dt \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{|J|^{2-s}} \int_J \int_J |f(e^{i\theta}) - f(e^{it})|^p d\theta dt \\ &\lesssim \int_J \int_J \frac{|f(e^{i\theta}) - f(e^{it})|^p}{|e^{i\theta} - e^{it}|^{2-s}} d\theta dt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$T_1 \lesssim \int_J \int_J \frac{|f(e^{i\theta}) - f(e^{it})|^p}{|e^{i\theta} - e^{it}|^{2-s}} d\theta dt.$$

For T_2 , using that $f_1(e^{i\theta}) = 0$ for $e^{i\theta} \notin J$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} T_2 &= \int_{e^{i\theta} \notin J} \int_{e^{it} \in \frac{2}{3}J} \frac{|f_1(e^{i\theta}) - f_1(e^{it})|^p}{|e^{i\theta} - e^{it}|^{2-s}} d\theta dt \leq \int_{e^{i\theta} \notin J} \int_{e^{it} \in \frac{2}{3}J} \frac{|f(e^{it}) - f_J|^p}{|e^{i\theta} - e^{it}|^{2-s}} d\theta dt \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{|J|^{1-s}} \int_J |f(e^{it}) - f_J|^p dt \lesssim \int_J \int_J \frac{|f(e^{i\theta}) - f(e^{it})|^p}{|e^{i\theta} - e^{it}|^{2-s}} d\theta dt. \end{aligned}$$

The estimate of T_3 is similar to T_2 . The above inequalities implies the lemma. \square

The following theorem characterizes $\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})$ spaces in terms of Carleson type measures. It generalizes the corresponding result of $\mathcal{Q}_s(\mathbf{T})$ spaces in [16].

Theorem 2.4. *Let $p > 1$ and $0 < s < 1$. Suppose $f \in L^p(\mathbf{T})$. The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (a) $f \in \mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})$.
- (b) $|\nabla \widehat{f}(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-2+s} dA(z)$ is an s -Carleson measure.
- (c) $\sup_{a \in \mathbf{D}} \int_{\mathbf{T}} \int_{\mathbf{T}} \frac{|f(\zeta) - f(\eta)|^p}{|\zeta - \eta|^{2-s}} \left(\frac{1 - |a|^2}{|\zeta - a||\eta - a|} \right)^s |d\zeta||d\eta| < \infty$.

Proof. We first show that (b) is equivalent to (c). By Proposition 4.2 in [3], one gets

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{\mathbf{D}} |\nabla \widehat{f}(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-2+s} dA(z) \approx \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{|f(e^{i\theta}) - f(e^{it})|^p}{|e^{i\theta} - e^{it}|^{2-s}} d\theta dt$$

for all $f \in L^p(\mathbf{T})$. Note that $\widehat{f \circ \sigma_a} = \widehat{f} \circ \sigma_a$ for any $a \in \mathbf{D}$. Replacing f by $f \circ \sigma_a$ in the above formula and making a change of variables, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbf{D}} |\nabla \widehat{f}(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-2+s} \left(\frac{1 - |a|^2}{|1 - \bar{a}z|^2} \right)^s dA(z) \\ &\approx \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{|f(e^{i\theta}) - f(e^{it})|^p}{|e^{i\theta} - e^{it}|^{2-s}} \left(\frac{1 - |a|^2}{|1 - \bar{a}e^{i\theta}||1 - \bar{a}e^{it}|} \right)^s d\theta dt. \end{aligned}$$

This gives that (b) is equivalent to (c).

By Lemma 2.2, we see that (b) implies (a). Next we verify that (a) implies (b). Let $f \in \mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})$. Then f is also in $BMO(\mathbf{T})$ by Lemma 2.1. For an arc I centered at $e^{i\theta_0}$, let $J = 3I$. Then Lemma 2.3 gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{S(I)} |\nabla \widehat{f}(z)|^p (1 - |z|)^{p-2+s} dA(z) \\ &\lesssim \int_J \int_J \frac{|f(e^{i\theta}) - f(e^{it})|^p}{|e^{i\theta} - e^{it}|^{2-s}} d\theta dt + |I|^{p+s} \left(\int_{|t| \geq \frac{1}{3}|J|} |f(e^{i(t+\theta_0)}) - f_J| \frac{dt}{t^2} \right)^p. \end{aligned}$$

Since, by [30, p. 71],

$$|J| \int_{|t| \geq \frac{1}{3}|J|} |f(e^{i(t+\theta_0)}) - f_J| \frac{dt}{t^2} \lesssim \|f\|_{BMO(\mathbf{T})},$$

we have

$$\sup_{I \subseteq \mathbf{T}} \frac{1}{|I|^s} \int_{S(I)} |\nabla \widehat{f}(z)|^p (1 - |z|)^{p-2+s} dA(z) \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})}^p + \|f\|_{BMO(\mathbf{T})}^p.$$

The proof is complete. □

If $p > 1$ and $0 < s < 1$, then $\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{D}) \subseteq BMOA \subseteq H^2$. Thus functions in $\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{D})$ have boundary values. As noticed before, an analytic function f belongs to $\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{D})$ if and only if $|f'(z)|^p(1 - |z|^2)^{p-2+s}dA(z)$ is an s -Carleson measure. Combining this with Theorem 2.4, one gets the following result immediately.

Corollary 2.5. *Let $p > 1$ and $0 < s < 1$. Suppose $f \in H^1$. Then $f \in \mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{D})$ if and only if $f \in \mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})$.*

Remark 1. Let $p > 1$ and $0 < s < 1$. We say that $f \in L_s^p$ if $f \in L^p(\mathbf{T})$ and

$$\|f\|_{L_s^p}^p = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{|f(e^{it}) - f(e^{i\theta})|^p}{|e^{it} - e^{i\theta}|^{2-s}} d\theta dt < \infty.$$

The condition (c) of Theorem 2.4 gives that $f \in \mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})$ if and only if

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbf{D}} \|f \circ \sigma_a\|_{L_s^p} < \infty.$$

Thus if we set

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})} = \sup_{a \in \mathbf{D}} \|f \circ \sigma_a\|_{L_s^p},$$

then $\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})$ is a Möbius invariant space in the sense of that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})} = \|f \circ \sigma_a\|_{\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})}$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})$ and $a \in \mathbf{D}$.

2.2. Inclusion relations. Applying Theorem 2.4 and Corollary 2.5, we can obtain a complete picture on the inclusion relations between different $\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})$ spaces.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$ and $0 < s, r < 1$.*

- (1) *If $p_1 \leq p_2$, then $\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T}) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T})$ if and only if $s \leq r$.*
- (2) *If $p_1 > p_2$, then $\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T}) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T})$ if and only if $\frac{1-s}{p_1} > \frac{1-r}{p_2}$.*

Proof. We first consider the inclusion relation between the analytic spaces $\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{D})$ and $\mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{D})$. Note that $\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{D})$ is a subset of the Bloch space \mathcal{B} . Let $f \in \mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{D})$. If $p_1 \leq p_2$ and $s \leq r$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{a \in \mathbf{D}} \int_{\mathbf{D}} |f'(z)|^{p_2} (1 - |z|^2)^{p_2-2} (1 - |\sigma_a(z)|^2)^r dA(z) \\ & \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}^{p_2-p_1} \sup_{a \in \mathbf{D}} \int_{\mathbf{D}} |f'(z)|^{p_1} (1 - |z|^2)^{p_1-2} (1 - |\sigma_a(z)|^2)^s dA(z), \end{aligned}$$

which gives $\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{D}) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{D})$.

By [34, Theorem 70], if $p_1 > p_2$, $B_{p_1}(s) \subseteq B_{p_2}(r)$ if and only if $\frac{1-s}{p_1} > \frac{1-r}{p_2}$. Note that $f \in \mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{D})$ if and only if

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbf{D}} \|f \circ \sigma_a - f(a)\|_{B_{p_1}(s)} < \infty.$$

Thus $\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{D}) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{D})$ for $p_1 > p_2$ and $\frac{1-s}{p_1} > \frac{1-r}{p_2}$.

For $s > r$, it is easy to construct a Blaschke sequence $\{z_k\}$ satisfying that $\sum_k (1 - |z_k|)^s \delta_{z_k}$ is an s -Carleson measure and $\sum_k (1 - |z_k|)^r \delta_{z_k}$ is not an r -Carleson measure (see Lemma 3.3 where such a sequence is constructed with even more properties). Applying Theorem A, we get that the corresponding Blaschke product B satisfies

$$B \in \mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{D}) \setminus \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{D}).$$

Let $\frac{1-s}{p_1} < \frac{1-r}{p_2}$. By [32, Theorem 5.5], the lacunary series

$$g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k(\frac{1-s}{p_1} + \frac{1-r}{p_2})} z^{2^k} \in \mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{D}) \setminus \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{D}).$$

If $p_1 > p_2$, $s < r$ and $\frac{1-s}{p_1} = \frac{1-r}{p_2}$, the lacunary series

$$h(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k\frac{1-s}{p_1}} k^{-\frac{1}{p_2}} z^{2^k} \in \mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{D}) \setminus \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{D}).$$

Therefore, if $p_1 \leq p_2$, then $\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{D}) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{D})$ if and only if $s \leq r$. If $p_1 > p_2$, then $\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{D}) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{D})$ if and only if $\frac{1-s}{p_1} > \frac{1-r}{p_2}$. Hence it is enough to prove that the inclusion relations between $\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{D})$ and $\mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{D})$ are the same as the inclusion relations between $\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T})$ and $\mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T})$.

Suppose $\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{D}) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{D})$. Let $g \in \mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T})$. Without loss of generality we may assume that g is real valued. Denote by \tilde{g} the harmonic conjugate function of \hat{g} . Set $h = \hat{g} + i\tilde{g}$. The Cauchy–Riemann equations give $|\nabla\hat{g}(z)| \approx |h'(z)|$. Then Theorem 2.4 shows that $|h'(z)|^{p_1}(1-|z|^2)^{p_1-2+s}dA(z)$ is an s -Carleson measure. Thus $h \in \mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{D})$. So h is also in $\mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{D})$. Then $|\nabla\hat{g}(z)|^{p_2}(1-|z|^2)^{p_2-2+r}dA(z)$ is an r -Carleson measure, that is $g \in \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T})$. Hence $\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T}) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T})$. On the other hand, if $\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T}) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T})$, then Corollary 2.5 shows $\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{D}) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{D})$. The proof is complete. \square

Remark 2. If $1 < p_1 < p_2 < \infty$, $0 < r < s < 1$ and $\frac{1-s}{p_1} \geq \frac{1-r}{p_2}$, it is easy to check that any lacunary series in $\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{D})$ must be in $\mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{D})$, but $\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{D}) \not\subseteq \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{D})$. Thus we are in need to use inner functions to determine the inclusion relation in the proof of Theorem 2.6.

2.3. Logarithmic Carleson type measures. Let $\alpha \geq 0$ and $s > 0$. A positive Borel measure μ on \mathbf{D} is called an α -logarithmic s -Carleson measure if

$$\sup_{I \subseteq \mathbf{T}} \frac{1}{|I|^s} \left(\log \frac{2}{|I|} \right)^\alpha \mu(S(I)) < \infty.$$

By [33], μ is an α -logarithmic s -Carleson measure if and only if

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbf{D}} \left(\log \frac{2}{1-|a|^2} \right)^\alpha \int_{\mathbf{D}} \left(\frac{1-|a|^2}{|1-\bar{a}z|^2} \right)^s d\mu(z) < \infty.$$

Condition (1.2) in Theorem 1.1 can be described in terms of α -logarithmic s -Carleson measure as follows.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 < r < 1$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) $\sup_{I \subseteq \mathbf{T}} \frac{1}{|I|^r} \left(\log \frac{2}{|I|} \right)^p \int_I \int_I \frac{|f(\zeta) - f(\eta)|^p}{|\zeta - \eta|^{2-r}} |d\zeta| |d\eta| < \infty.$
- (2) $|\nabla\hat{f}(z)|^p(1-|z|^2)^{p-2+r}dA(z)$ is a p -logarithmic r -Carleson measure.

Proof. For an arc $I \subseteq \mathbf{T}$, by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\int_I \int_I \frac{|f(\zeta) - f(\eta)|^p}{|\zeta - \eta|^{2-r}} |d\zeta| |d\eta| \lesssim \int_{S(3I)} |\nabla\hat{f}(z)|^p(1-|z|^2)^{p-2+r}dA(z).$$

Thus (2) implies (1).

Let (1) hold. Without loss of generality, let I be any arc centered at 1. Then

$$\int_I \int_I |f(e^{i\theta}) - f(e^{it})|^p d\theta dt \lesssim \frac{|I|^2}{\left(\log \frac{2}{|I}\right)^p}.$$

Combining this with Hölder’s inequality, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |f(e^{i\theta}) - f_I| d\theta &\leq \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |f(e^{i\theta}) - f_I|^p d\theta \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{|I|^2} \int_I \int_I |f(e^{i\theta}) - f(e^{it})|^p d\theta dt \right)^{1/p} \lesssim \frac{1}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $J = 3I$. Using the above estimate and a same argument in [28, p. 499], we get

$$\int_{|t| \geq \frac{1}{3}|J|} |f(e^{it}) - f_J| \frac{dt}{t^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{|I| \log \frac{3}{|I|}}.$$

Combining this with Lemma 2.3, we get that (2) is true. □

We also need the following result from [20].

Lemma C. *Let $p > 1$ and $s > 0$. Let μ be a nonnegative Borel measure on \mathbf{D} . If μ is a p -logarithmic s -Carleson measure, then*

$$\int_{\mathbf{D}} |f(z)|^p d\mu(z) \lesssim \|f\|_{B_p(s)}^p$$

for all $f \in B_p(s)$.

Now we are ready for the proofs of the main results of the paper.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

3.1. Proof of part (1). Assume first that $f \in M(\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T}), \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T}))$. Set $h_w(z) = \log \frac{2}{1-\bar{w}z}$, $w \in \mathbf{D}$. From [20, Lemma 2.6],

$$\sup_{w \in \mathbf{D}} \|h_w\|_{\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{D})} < \infty,$$

for all $1 < p_1 < \infty$ and $0 < s < 1$. This together with Corollary 2.5 shows that $h_w(e^{i\theta}) = \log \frac{2}{1-\bar{w}e^{i\theta}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T})$ uniformly for $w \in \mathbf{D}$, and hence the same is true for $g_w = \operatorname{Re} h_w$. For any arc $I \subseteq \mathbf{T}$ centered at e^{it} with $|I| < 1/3$, take $a = (1 - |I|)e^{it}$. Then $g_a(e^{i\theta}) \approx \log \frac{2}{|I|}$ for all $e^{i\theta} \in I$. Since f is a pointwise multiplier, then $f g_a \in \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T})$ and it follows by Lemma 2.1 that $f g_a \in BMO(\mathbf{T})$. By [24, Lemma 2.6],

$$\left| \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f(\zeta) g_a(\zeta) |d\zeta| \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{\log |I|} \|f g_a\|_{BMO(\mathbf{T})} \lesssim \frac{1}{\log |I|} \|f g_a\|_{\mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T})}.$$

Thus

$$\left| \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f(\zeta) |d\zeta| \right| \lesssim \sup_{a \in \mathbf{D}} \|g_a\|_{\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{D})} < \infty,$$

which shows $f \in L^\infty(\mathbf{T})$.

Since

$$g_a(e^{i\theta})(f(e^{it}) - f(e^{i\theta})) = g_a(e^{it})f(e^{it}) - g_a(e^{i\theta})f(e^{i\theta}) + f(e^{it})(g_a(e^{i\theta}) - g_a(e^{it})),$$

we get

$$\frac{1}{|I|^r} \int_I \int_I \frac{|g_a(e^{i\theta})(f(e^{it}) - f(e^{i\theta}))|^{p_2}}{|e^{i\theta} - e^{it}|^{2-r}} d\theta dt \lesssim \|g_a f\|_{\mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T})}^{p_2} + \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{T})}^{p_2} \|g_a\|_{\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T})}^{p_2}.$$

Note that $g_a(e^{i\theta}) \approx \log \frac{2}{|I|}$ for all $e^{i\theta} \in I$. Thus

$$\int_I \int_I \frac{|f(e^{it}) - f(e^{i\theta})|^{p_2}}{|e^{i\theta} - e^{it}|^{2-r}} d\theta dt \lesssim \frac{|I|^r}{\left(\log \frac{2}{|I}\right)^{p_2}},$$

which gives (1.2).

Next, suppose that $f \in L^\infty(\mathbf{T})$ and (1.2) holds. We need to show $f \in M(\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T}), \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T}))$. The proof of this implication is based on a technique developed in [18] (see also [20]). By Lemma 2.7, $|\nabla \widehat{f}(z)|^{p_2} (1 - |z|^2)^{p_2-2+r} dA(z)$ is a p_2 -logarithmic r -Carleson measure. Thus

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbf{D}} \left(\log \frac{2}{1 - |a|} \right)^{p_2} \int_{\mathbf{D}} |\nabla \widehat{f}(z)|^{p_2} (1 - |z|^2)^{p_2-2} (1 - |\sigma_a(z)|^2)^r dA(z) < \infty.$$

For all $g \in \mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T})$, we need to prove $gf \in \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T})$. Since $\widehat{f} \cdot \widehat{g}$ is an extension of gf , by Lemma 2.2, it is enough to prove that

$$I(a) := \int_{\mathbf{D}} |\nabla(\widehat{f}\widehat{g})(z)|^{p_2} (1 - |z|^2)^{p_2-2} (1 - |\sigma_a(z)|^2)^r dA(z) \leq C$$

for some positive constant C not depending on the point $a \in \mathbf{D}$. Using Theorem 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(a) &\lesssim \int_{\mathbf{D}} |\widehat{f}(z)|^{p_2} |\nabla \widehat{g}(z)|^{p_2} (1 - |z|^2)^{p_2-2} (1 - |\sigma_a(z)|^2)^r dA(z) \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbf{D}} |\nabla \widehat{f}(z)|^{p_2} |\widehat{g}(z)|^{p_2} (1 - |z|^2)^{p_2-2} (1 - |\sigma_a(z)|^2)^r dA(z) \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{T})}^{p_2} \cdot \|g\|_{\mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T})}^{p_2} + \int_{\mathbf{D}} |\nabla \widehat{f}(z)|^{p_2} |\widehat{g}(z)|^{p_2} (1 - |z|^2)^{p_2-2} (1 - |\sigma_a(z)|^2)^r dA(z). \end{aligned}$$

If $p_1 \leq p_2$ and $s \leq r$, Theorem 2.6 gives $\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T}) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T})$, and by the closed-graph theorem, $\|g\|_{\mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T})} \lesssim \|g\|_{\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T})}$ for all $g \in \mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T})$. Hence, we have

$$I(a) \lesssim \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{T})}^{p_2} \cdot \|g\|_{\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T})}^{p_2} + \int_{\mathbf{D}} |\widehat{g}(z)|^{p_2} |\nabla \widehat{f}(z)|^{p_2} (1 - |z|^2)^{p_2-2} (1 - |\sigma_a(z)|^2)^r dA(z).$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that g is real valued. Let \widetilde{g} be the harmonic conjugate function of \widehat{g} . Set $h = \widehat{g} + i\widetilde{g}$. The Cauchy–Riemann equations give $|\nabla \widehat{g}(z)| \approx |h'(z)|$. Then $h \in \mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{D}) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{D})$ and $|\widehat{g}(z)| \leq |h(z)|$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbf{D}} |\widehat{g}(z)|^{p_2} |\nabla \widehat{f}(z)|^{p_2} (1 - |z|^2)^{p_2-2} (1 - |\sigma_a(z)|^2)^r dA(z) \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbf{D}} |h(z)|^{p_2} |\nabla \widehat{f}(z)|^{p_2} (1 - |z|^2)^{p_2-2} (1 - |\sigma_a(z)|^2)^r dA(z) \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbf{D}} |h(a)|^{p_2} |\nabla \widehat{f}(z)|^{p_2} (1 - |z|^2)^{p_2-2} (1 - |\sigma_a(z)|^2)^r dA(z) \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbf{D}} |h(z) - h(a)|^{p_2} |\nabla \widehat{f}(z)|^{p_2} (1 - |z|^2)^{p_2-2} (1 - |\sigma_a(z)|^2)^r dA(z) \\ &\approx T_1 + T_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{D})$ is a subspace of the Bloch space \mathcal{B} , then any function $h \in \mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{D})$ has the following growth:

$$|h(z)| \lesssim \|h\|_{\mathcal{B}} \log \frac{2}{1-|z|} \lesssim \|h\|_{\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{D})} \log \frac{2}{1-|z|}$$

for all $z \in \mathbf{D}$. Thus

$$T_1 \lesssim \sup_{a \in \mathbf{D}} \left(\log \frac{2}{1-|a|} \right)^{p_2} \int_{\mathbf{D}} |\nabla \widehat{f}(z)|^{p_2} (1-|z|^2)^{p_2-2} (1-|\sigma_a(z)|^2)^r dA(z) < \infty.$$

Applying Lemma C, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} T_2 &= (1-|a|^2)^r \int_{\mathbf{D}} \left| \frac{h(z) - h(a)}{(1-\bar{a}z)^{\frac{2r}{p_2}}} \right|^{p_2} |\nabla \widehat{f}(z)|^{p_2} (1-|z|^2)^{p_2-2+r} dA(z) \\ &\lesssim (1-|a|^2)^r \left(|h(0) - h(a)|^{p_2} + \int_{\mathbf{D}} \left| \left(\frac{h(z) - h(a)}{(1-\bar{a}z)^{\frac{2r}{p_2}}} \right)' \right|^{p_2} (1-|z|^2)^{p_2-2+r} dA(z) \right) \\ &\lesssim (1-|a|^2)^r \|h\|_{\mathcal{B}}^{p_2} \left(\log \frac{2}{1-|a|} \right)^{p_2} + \int_{\mathbf{D}} |h'(z)|^{p_2} (1-|z|^2)^{p_2-2} (1-|\sigma_a(z)|^2)^r dA(z) \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{|h(z) - h(a)|^{p_2}}{|1-\bar{a}z|^{p_2}} (1-|z|^2)^{p_2-2} (1-|\sigma_a(z)|^2)^r dA(z) \\ &\lesssim \|h\|_{\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{D})}^{p_2} + \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{|h(z) - h(a)|^{p_2}}{|1-\bar{a}z|^{p_2}} (1-|z|^2)^{p_2-2} (1-|\sigma_a(z)|^2)^r dA(z). \end{aligned}$$

By [20, Proposition 2.8], one gets

$$\int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{|h(z) - h(a)|^{p_2}}{|1-\bar{a}z|^{p_2}} (1-|z|^2)^{p_2-2} (1-|\sigma_a(z)|^2)^r dA(z) \lesssim \|h\|_{\mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{D})}^{p_2}.$$

Combining the above estimates, we obtain

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbf{D}} I(a) < \infty.$$

Thus $f \in M(\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T}), \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T}))$.

3.2. Proof of part (2). Let $p_1 > p_2$ and $s \leq r$. If $\frac{1-s}{p_1} > \frac{1-r}{p_2}$, Theorem 2.6 gives the inclusion $\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T}) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T})$. Hence

$$M(\mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T}), \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T})) \subseteq M(\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T}), \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T})).$$

Checking the proof in (1), one gets that $f \in M(\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T}), \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T}))$ if and only if $f \in L^\infty(\mathbf{T})$ and f satisfies (1.2).

In case that $\frac{1-s}{p_1} \leq \frac{1-r}{p_2}$, as has been observed in the proof of Theorem 2.6, there is a lacunary Fourier series in $\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T}) \setminus \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T})$. Then we get $M(\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T}), \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T})) = \{0\}$ as a consequence of the following result.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$ and $0 < s, r < 1$. If there exists a lacunary Fourier series $g(e^{i\theta}) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k e^{i2^k\theta} \in \mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T}) \setminus \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T})$, then $M(\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T}), \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T})) = \{0\}$.*

Proof. We adapt an argument from [10]. By Corollary 2.5 and the lacunary series characterization of $\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{D})$ spaces in [32, Theorem 5.5], we see that

$$\|g\|_{\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T})}^{p_1} \approx \sum_{k=0}^\infty |a_k|^{p_1} 2^{k(1-s)} < \infty$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k|^{p_2} 2^{k(1-r)} = \infty.$$

Let $\{r_k(t)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be the sequence of Rademacher functions and consider the function

$$g_t(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r_k(t) a_k z^{2^k}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

Then $g_t \in \mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T})$ with $\|g_t\|_{\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T})} \approx \|g\|_{\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T})}$. Suppose $f \in M(\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T}), \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T}))$. For any $a \in \mathbf{D}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 I_{g_t}(f, a) dt &:= \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbf{T}} \int_{\mathbf{T}} \frac{|f(\zeta)(g_t(\zeta) - g_t(\eta))|^{p_2}}{|\zeta - \eta|^{2-r}} \left(\frac{1 - |a|^2}{|\zeta - a||\eta - a|} \right)^r |d\zeta| |d\eta| dt \\ &\lesssim \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbf{T}} \int_{\mathbf{T}} \frac{|f(\zeta)g_t(\zeta) - f(\eta)g_t(\eta)|^{p_2}}{|\zeta - \eta|^{2-r}} \left(\frac{1 - |a|^2}{|\zeta - a||\eta - a|} \right)^r |d\zeta| |d\eta| dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbf{T}} \int_{\mathbf{T}} \frac{|(f(\zeta) - f(\eta))g_t(\eta)|^{p_2}}{|\zeta - \eta|^{2-r}} \left(\frac{1 - |a|^2}{|\zeta - a||\eta - a|} \right)^r |d\zeta| |d\eta| dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using Fubini's Theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 I_{g_t}(f, a) dt &\lesssim \int_0^1 \|fg_t\|_{\mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T})}^{p_2} dt \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbf{T}} \int_{\mathbf{T}} \frac{|f(\zeta) - f(\eta)|^{p_2}}{|\zeta - \eta|^{2-r}} \left(\int_0^1 |g_t(\eta)|^{p_2} dt \right) \left(\frac{1 - |a|^2}{|\zeta - a||\eta - a|} \right)^r |d\zeta| |d\eta|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f \in M(\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T}), \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T}))$, then $\|fg_t\|_{\mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T})} \lesssim \|g\|_{\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T})}$. Also, by Khinchine's inequality

$$\int_0^1 |g_t(\eta)|^{p_2} dt \approx \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 \right)^{\frac{p_2}{2}} \approx \|g\|_{H^2}^{p_2} \lesssim \|g\|_{\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T})}^{p_2},$$

because $\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{D}) \subseteq H^2$. Combining these estimates with Theorem 2.4 we have

$$(3.1) \quad \int_0^1 I_{g_t}(f, a) dt \lesssim \|g\|_{\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T})}^{p_2} + \|g\|_{\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T})}^{p_2} \cdot \|f\|_{\mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T})}^{p_2} < \infty,$$

because, as $1 \in \mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T})$, then $f = f \cdot 1 \in \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T})$.

Now, if $f \neq 0$, then there exists a positive constant C such that

$$(3.2) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} |f(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \geq C.$$

Fubini's theorem and a change of variables give

$$\int_0^1 I_{g_t}(f, 0) dt \approx \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{dh}{h^{2-r}} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \int_0^1 |g_t(e^{i\theta}) - g_t(e^{i(\theta+h)})|^{p_2} dt.$$

Applying Khinchine's inequality again, we see that

$$\int_0^1 |g_t(e^{i\theta}) - g_t(e^{i(\theta+h)})|^{p_2} dt \approx \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 |1 - e^{i2^k h}|^2 \right)^{\frac{p_2}{2}}.$$

Hence, by (3.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 I_{g_t}(f, 0) dt &\approx \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\left(\sum_{k=0}^\infty |a_k|^2 |1 - e^{i2^k h}|^2\right)^{\frac{p_2}{2}}}{h^{2-r}} dh \int_0^{2\pi} |f(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \\ &\gtrsim \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{dh}{h^{2-r}} \int_0^1 |g_t(e^{i\theta}) - g_t(e^{i(\theta+h)})|^{p_2} dt, \end{aligned}$$

for any $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. Thus, using (2.2) and the Khinchine inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 I_{g_t}(f, 0) dt &\gtrsim \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{dh}{h^{2-r}} \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} |g_t(e^{i\theta}) - g_t(e^{i(\theta+h)})|^{p_2} dt d\theta \\ &\approx \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbf{T}} \int_{\mathbf{T}} \frac{|g_t(\zeta) - g_t(\eta)|^{p_2}}{|\zeta - \eta|^{2-r}} |d\zeta| |d\eta| dt \\ &\approx \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbf{D}} |g'_t(z)|^{p_2} (1 - |z|^2)^{p_2-2+r} dA(z) dt \\ &\approx \int_{\mathbf{D}} \left(\int_0^1 |g'_t(z)|^{p_2} dt \right) (1 - |z|^2)^{p_2-2+r} dA(z) \\ &\approx \int_{\mathbf{D}} (M_2(|z|, g'))^{p_2} (1 - |z|^2)^{p_2-2+r} dA(z). \end{aligned}$$

Since $g'(z)$ is also a lacunary series, it is well known that $M_2(|z|, g') \approx M_{p_2}(|z|, g')$ (see [39] for example). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 I_{g_t}(f, 0) dt &\gtrsim \int_{\mathbf{D}} (M_{p_2}(|z|, g'))^{p_2} (1 - |z|^2)^{p_2-2+r} dA(z) \\ &\approx \int_{\mathbf{D}} |g'(z)|^{p_2} (1 - |z|^2)^{p_2-2+r} dA(z) \\ &\approx \sum_{k=0}^\infty |a_k|^{p_2} 2^{k(1-r)} = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts (3.1). Thus $M(\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T}), \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T})) = \{0\}$. □

3.3. Preliminaries for the proof of part (3). Lemma 3.1 shows that $M(\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T}), \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T}))$ is trivial for a wide range of values of the parameters p_1, s, p_2, r . However Lemma 3.1 miss the case that $p_1 < p_2, s > r$ and $\frac{1-s}{p_1} \geq \frac{1-r}{p_2}$. In this case, $\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T}) \not\subseteq \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T})$, but any lacunary Fourier series in $\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T})$ must be in $\mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T})$. Thus, we are in need to look for another method to determine that $M(\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T}), \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T}))$ is trivial in this case. We are going to use the tangential boundary approximation results of Nagel, Rudin and Shapiro [15] in order to handle this case.

Given $\zeta \in \mathbf{T}$ and $\alpha > 1$, let

$$\Gamma_\alpha(\zeta) = \{z \in \mathbf{D} : |1 - \bar{\zeta}z| < \alpha(1 - |z|)\}$$

be a Stolz angle with vertex at ζ . If $f \in H^p$, then its non-tangential limit exists almost everywhere. Namely, for almost every $\zeta \in \mathbf{T}$, the limit

$$f(\zeta) := \lim_{\substack{z \in \Gamma_\alpha(\zeta) \\ z \rightarrow \zeta}} f(z)$$

exists. In order to handle part (3), for every $\zeta \in \mathbf{T}$, we need to construct a Blaschke sequence $\{a_k\}$ converging to ζ in a way that the associated Blaschke product is in

$\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{D}) \setminus \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{D})$. In view of Theorem A, $\sum_k (1 - |a_k|)^s \delta_{a_k}$ must be an s -Carleson measure, but $\sum_k (1 - |a_k|)^r \delta_{a_k}$ can not be an r -Carleson measure. According to [13] this is not possible if the sequence $\{a_k\}$ converges to ζ non-tangentially.

For $c > 0$ and $\delta > 1$, consider the region

$$\Omega_{\delta,c}(\theta) = \left\{ re^{i\varphi} \in \mathbf{D} : 1 - r > c \left| \sin \frac{\varphi - \theta}{2} \right|^\delta \right\}.$$

Then $\Omega_{\delta,c}(\theta)$ touches \mathbf{T} at $e^{i\theta}$ tangentially. We say that a function h , defined in \mathbf{D} , has Ω_δ -limit L at $e^{i\theta}$ if $h(z) \rightarrow L$ as $z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}$ within $\Omega_{\delta,c}(\theta)$ for every c . Nagel, Rudin and Shapiro [15] obtained the following result.

Theorem D. *Suppose $1 \leq p < \infty$, $f \in L^p(\mathbf{T})$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, and*

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{f(e^{i\theta}) d\theta}{(1 - e^{-i\theta}z)^{1-\alpha}}, \quad z \in \mathbf{D}.$$

If $\alpha p < 1$ and $\delta = 1/(1 - \alpha p)$, then the Ω_δ -limit of h exists almost everywhere on \mathbf{T} .

For $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$ and $0 < p < \infty$, the Hardy–Sobolev space H_β^p consists of analytic functions f in \mathbf{D} such that $D^\beta f \in H^p$, where $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k z^k$ is the Taylor expansion of f and

$$D^\beta f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty (1+k)^\beta a_k z^k.$$

Proposition 3.2. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 < s < t < 1$. Suppose $h \in B_p(s)$. Then the $\Omega_{1/t}$ -limit of h exists almost everywhere on \mathbf{T} .*

Proof. By Theorem D, it is enough to show that there exists $f \in L^p(\mathbf{T})$ with

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{f(e^{i\theta}) d\theta}{(1 - e^{-i\theta}z)^{1-\frac{1-t}{p}}}, \quad z \in \mathbf{D}.$$

Thus we only need to prove that $h \in H_{\frac{1-t}{p}}^p$. Note that [36, Theorem 2.19]

$$\int_{\mathbf{D}} \left| D^{1+\frac{1-t}{p}} h(z) \right|^p (1 - |z|)^{p-1+s-t} dA(z) \approx \int_{\mathbf{D}} |h'(z)|^p (1 - |z|)^{p-2+s} dA(z) < \infty.$$

Thus $D^{\frac{1-t}{p}} h \in B_p(1 + s - t)$. Since $s < t$, then $1 + s - t < 1$ and therefore $B_p(1 + s - t) \subseteq H^p$ (see [3, Lemma 2.4] for example). Hence we get $h \in H_{\frac{1-t}{p}}^p$. The proof is complete. □

In case that $p \leq 2$, it is known [12] that one can take $t = s$ in Proposition 3.2. The following construction will be a key for the proof of part (3).

Lemma 3.3. *Let $0 < r < s < 1$ and $0 < t < 1$. For every $e^{i\theta} \in \mathbf{T}$, there exists a Blaschke sequence $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ satisfying the following conditions.*

- (a) $e^{i\theta}$ is the unique accumulation point of $\{a_k\}$.
- (b) $\{a_k\} \subseteq \Omega_{1/t,c}(\theta)$ for some $c > 0$.
- (c) $\sum_k (1 - |a_k|)^s \delta_{a_k}$ is an s -Carleson measure.
- (d) $\sum_k (1 - |a_k|)^r \delta_{a_k}$ is not an r -Carleson measure.

Proof. Set

$$a_k = \left(1 - k^{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}\right) e^{i\theta_k}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is taken so that

$$r(1 - t) < \varepsilon < \min\{r, s(1 - t)\}$$

and

$$\theta_k = k^{-\frac{t}{\varepsilon}} + \theta.$$

Clearly, $\{a_k\}$ is a Blaschke sequence and $e^{i\theta}$ is its unique accumulation point with $\{a_k\} \subseteq \Omega_{1/t,c}(\theta)$ for some $c > 0$. To prove that $\sum_k (1 - |a_k|)^s \delta_{a_k}$ is an s -Carleson measure, it is enough to consider sufficiently small arcs $I \subseteq \mathbf{T}$ centered at $e^{i\theta}$. Since $\varepsilon < s(1 - t) < s$, we deduce that

$$\sum_{a_k \in S(I)} (1 - |a_k|)^s \approx \sum_{|\theta_k - \theta| \leq \frac{|I|}{2}} k^{-\frac{s}{\varepsilon}} \approx \sum_{k \geq (\frac{2}{|I|})^{\frac{\varepsilon}{t}}} k^{-\frac{s}{\varepsilon}} \approx \int_{(\frac{2}{|I|})^{\frac{\varepsilon}{t}}}^{\infty} x^{-\frac{s}{\varepsilon}} dx \approx |I|^{\frac{s-\varepsilon}{t}} \lesssim |I|^s,$$

which gives that $\sum_k (1 - |a_k|)^s \delta_{a_k}$ is an s -Carleson measure. On the other hand, for $r(1 - t) < \varepsilon < r$, one gets

$$\sum_{a_k \in S(I)} \frac{(1 - |a_k|)^r}{|I|^r} \approx \frac{1}{|I|^r} \int_{(\frac{2}{|I|})^{\frac{\varepsilon}{t}}}^{\infty} x^{-\frac{r}{\varepsilon}} dx \approx |I|^{\frac{r-\varepsilon}{t}-r} \rightarrow \infty, \text{ as } |I| \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus $\sum_k (1 - |a_k|)^r \delta_{a_k}$ is not an r -Carleson measure. The proof is complete. \square

We also need the following estimate.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $1 < q < \infty$ and $0 < r < 1$. Let $f \in L^q(\mathbf{T})$ and S an inner function. For $a \in \mathbf{D}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} J(a) &:= \int_{\mathbf{D}} \widehat{|f|^q}(z) (1 - |S(z)|)^q (1 - |z|)^{-2} (1 - |\sigma_a(z)|)^r dA(z) \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbf{T}} \int_{\mathbf{T}} \frac{|f(\zeta)|^q |S(\zeta) - S(\eta)|^q}{|\zeta - \eta|^{2-r}} \left(\frac{1 - |a|^2}{|\zeta - a||\eta - a|} \right)^r |d\zeta||d\eta|. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We first consider the case $a = 0$. Using Fubini's Theorem, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} J(0) &= \int_{\mathbf{T}} |f(\zeta)|^q \left(\int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{(1 - |S(z)|)^q}{|\zeta - z|^2} (1 - |z|^2)^{r-1} dA(z) \right) |d\zeta| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbf{T}} |f(\zeta)|^q \left(\int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{|S(\zeta) - S(z)|^q}{|\zeta - z|^2} (1 - |z|^2)^{r-1} dA(z) \right) |d\zeta|. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$|S(\zeta) - S(z)|^q \leq \int_{\mathbf{T}} |S(\zeta) - S(\eta)|^q \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|\eta - z|^2} |d\eta|.$$

Consequently, by the estimate [17],

$$\int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^r}{|\zeta - z|^2 |\eta - z|^2} dA(z) \lesssim \frac{1}{|\zeta - \eta|^{2-r}},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(0) &\leq \int_{\mathbf{T}} \int_{\mathbf{T}} \left(\int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^r}{|\zeta - z|^2 |\eta - z|^2} dA(z) \right) |f(\zeta)|^q |S(\zeta) - S(\eta)|^q |d\eta||d\zeta| \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbf{T}} \int_{\mathbf{T}} \frac{|f(\zeta)|^q |S(\zeta) - S(\eta)|^q}{|\zeta - \eta|^{2-r}} |d\eta||d\zeta|. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\int_{\mathbf{D}} \widehat{|f|^q}(z) (1 - |S(z)|)^q (1 - |z|^2)^{r-2} dA(z) \lesssim \int_{\mathbf{T}} \int_{\mathbf{T}} \frac{|f(\zeta)|^q |S(\zeta) - S(\eta)|^q}{|\zeta - \eta|^{2-r}} |d\eta||d\zeta|.$$

Replacing f and S by $f \circ \sigma_a$ and $S \circ \sigma_a$ in the above inequality respectively and changing the variables, the desired result follows. \square

3.4. Proof of part (3). If $s > r$, for any $e^{i\theta} \in \mathbf{T}$, we take the sequence $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathbf{D}$ constructed in Lemma 3.3, and let B be the corresponding Blaschke product. Then Theorem A shows that $B \in \mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T})$. If $f \in M(\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{T}), \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T}))$, then $fB \in \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{a \in \mathbf{D}} \int_{\mathbf{T}} \int_{\mathbf{T}} \frac{|f(\zeta)(B(\zeta) - B(\eta))|^{p_2}}{|\zeta - \eta|^{2-r}} \left(\frac{1 - |a|^2}{|\zeta - a||\eta - a|} \right)^r |d\zeta||d\eta| \\ & \lesssim \|fB\|_{\mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T})}^{p_2} + \|f\|_{\mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T})}^{p_2} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then Lemma 3.4 gives

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbf{D}} \int_{\mathbf{D}} \widehat{|f|^{p_2}}(z) (1 - |B(z)|)^{p_2} (1 - |z|)^{-2} (1 - |\sigma_a(z)|)^r dA(z) < \infty.$$

Since the sequence $\{a_k\}$ is Carleson–Newman, that is,

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbf{D}} \sum_{k=1}^\infty (1 - |\sigma_a(a_k)|^2) < \infty,$$

we have (see [3, p. 1292], for example) that

$$(1 - |B(z)|)^{p_2} \gtrsim \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty (1 - |\sigma_{a_k}(z)|^2) \right)^{p_2} \geq \sum_{k=1}^\infty (1 - |\sigma_{a_k}(z)|^2)^{p_2}, \quad z \in \mathbf{D}.$$

This gives

$$(3.3) \quad \sup_{a \in \mathbf{D}} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_{E(a_k)} \widehat{|f|^{p_2}}(z) (1 - |\sigma_{a_k}(z)|^2)^{p_2} (1 - |z|)^{-2} (1 - |\sigma_a(z)|)^r dA(z) < \infty,$$

where $E(a_k) = \{w \in \mathbf{D} : |\sigma_{a_k}(w)| < 1/2\}$ is a pseudo-hyperbolic disk centered at a_k . It is well known that

$$(1 - |z|^2)^2 \approx |1 - \bar{a}_k z|^2 \approx (1 - |a_k|^2)^2$$

for all $z \in E(a_k)$. Furthermore, by [37, Lemma 4.30],

$$1 - |\sigma_a(z)|^2 \approx 1 - |\sigma_a(a_k)|^2$$

for all $a \in \mathbf{D}$ and $z \in E(a_k)$. This, (3.3) and subharmonicity yield

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbf{D}} \sum_{k=1}^\infty (\widehat{|f|^{p_2}}(a_k))^{p_2} (1 - |\sigma_a(a_k)|)^r < \infty.$$

Since

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbf{D}} \sum_{k=1}^\infty (1 - |\sigma_a(a_k)|)^r = \infty,$$

this forces $\widehat{|f|^{p_2}}(a_k) \rightarrow 0$. Note that $|f| \in \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{T})$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k = e^{i\theta}$. Then $g = \widehat{|f|} + i|f| \in \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{D}) \subset B_{p_2}(r)$ because of Theorem 2.4, since the Cauchy–Riemann equations give $|g'(z)| \approx |\nabla(\widehat{|f|})(z)|$. Take $t > r$ in Lemma 3.3, and apply Proposition 3.2, to get

$$(\widehat{|f|} + i|f|)(e^{i\theta}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\widehat{|f|} + i|f|)(a_k)$$

for almost every $e^{i\theta} \in \mathbf{T}$. This implies

$$\widehat{|f|}(e^{i\theta}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{|f|}(a_k) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. } e^{i\theta} \in \mathbf{T}.$$

If we take the normalized $\widetilde{|f|}$ with $\widetilde{|f|}(0) = 0$, then the analytic function $\widehat{|f|} + i\widetilde{|f|}$ vanishes on \mathbf{T} almost everywhere. Hence $\widehat{|f|} + i\widetilde{|f|}$ vanishes on the whole disk. Then $\widehat{|f|}(z) \equiv 0, z \in \mathbf{D}$. This implies $f(\zeta) = 0$ for almost every $\zeta \in \mathbf{T}$. The proof is complete.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let f be the symbol of a bounded multiplication operator M_f on $\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})$ space. If $\lambda \notin \sigma(M_f)$, then $M_f - \lambda E$ is invertible. Clearly, the inverse operator of $M_f - \lambda E$ is $M_{\frac{1}{f-\lambda}}$. By the open mapping theorem, $M_{\frac{1}{f-\lambda}}$ is also bounded on $\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})$, and Theorem 1.1 gives $\frac{1}{f-\lambda} \in L^\infty(\mathbf{T})$. Then

$$\left| \frac{1}{f(\zeta) - \lambda} \right| < 2 \left\| \frac{1}{f - \lambda} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{T})}, \quad \text{a.e. } \zeta \in \mathbf{T}.$$

Namely, the set

$$\left\{ \zeta \in \mathbf{T} : |f(\zeta) - \lambda| < \left(2 \left\| \frac{1}{f - \lambda} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{T})} \right)^{-1} \right\}$$

has measure zero. Thus $\lambda \notin \mathcal{R}(f)$.

Conversely, let $\lambda \notin \mathcal{R}(f)$. Then there exists some positive constant δ such that the set $\{\zeta \in \mathbf{T} : |f(\zeta) - \lambda| < \delta\}$ has measure zero. Hence

$$\frac{1}{f(\zeta) - \lambda} \leq \frac{1}{\delta}, \quad \text{a.e. } \zeta \in \mathbf{T}.$$

Thus $M_f - \lambda E$ is injective. Using $f \in M(\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T}))$ and Theorem 1.1, we obtain

$$\sup_{I \subseteq \mathbf{T}} \frac{1}{|I|^s} \left(\log \frac{2}{|I|} \right)^p \int_I \int_I \frac{\left| \frac{1}{f(\zeta) - \lambda} - \frac{1}{f(\eta) - \lambda} \right|^p}{|\zeta - \eta|^{2-s}} |d\zeta| |d\eta| < \infty.$$

Applying Theorem 1.1 again, one gets $\frac{1}{f-\lambda} \in M(\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T}))$. Then for any $g \in \mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})$, we obtain $\frac{g}{f-\lambda} \in \mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{T})$ and

$$(M_f - \lambda E) \frac{g}{f - \lambda} = g.$$

Then $M_f - \lambda E$ is surjective. Thus $M_f - \lambda E$ is invertible and hence $\lambda \notin \sigma(M_f)$.

5. The analytic version of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

Theorem 5.1. *Let $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$ and $0 < s, r < 1$. Then the following are true.*

- (1) *If $p_1 \leq p_2$ and $s \leq r$, then $f \in M(\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{D}), \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{D}))$ if and only if $f \in H^\infty$ and*

$$(5.1) \quad \sup_{I \subseteq \mathbf{D}} \frac{1}{|I|^r} \left(\log \frac{2}{|I|} \right)^{p_2} \int_I \int_I \frac{|f(\zeta) - f(\eta)|^{p_2}}{|\zeta - \eta|^{2-r}} |d\zeta| |d\eta| < \infty.$$

- (2) *Let $p_1 > p_2$ and $s \leq r$. If $\frac{1-s}{p_1} > \frac{1-r}{p_2}$, then $f \in M(\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{D}), \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{D}))$ if and only if $f \in H^\infty$ and f satisfies (5.1). If $\frac{1-s}{p_1} \leq \frac{1-r}{p_2}$, then $M(\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{D}), \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{D})) = \{0\}$.*

(3) If $s > r$, then $M(\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{D}), \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{D})) = \{0\}$.

Proof. Just follow the proof of Theorem 1.1. Now we give a different proof of (3) using zero sets. Set

$$z_n = \left(1 - \frac{1}{n^{1/t}}\right) e^{i\theta_n}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots,$$

where $r < t < s$ and

$$\theta_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{2n}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots$$

By [19, Theorem 8], $\sum_n (1 - |z_n|)^s \delta_{z_n}$ is an s -Carleson measure. Also, since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - |z_n|)^t = \infty$, it follows from the proof of [15, Theorem 5.10] that $\{z_n\}$ is not a zero set of $B_{p_2}(r)$ (look also at the proof of Proposition 3.2). Let B be the Blaschke product with zero sequence $\{z_n\}$. Then $B \in \mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{D}) \setminus \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{D})$. If $f \in M(\mathcal{Q}_s^{p_1}(\mathbf{D}), \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{D}))$, then $fB \in \mathcal{Q}_r^{p_2}(\mathbf{D}) \subseteq B_{p_2}(r)$. If $f \not\equiv 0$, then there exists an inner function S and an outer function O such that $f = SO$. By [1, Proposition 4.2], $OB \in B_{p_2}(r)$. Hence $\{z_n\}$ is a zero set of $B_{p_2}(r)$. This is a contradiction. Thus $f \equiv 0$. \square

Next we prove the analytic version of Theorem 1.2, without using Theorem 5.1.

Theorem 5.2. Suppose $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 < s < 1$. Let f be the symbol of a bounded multiplication operator M_f on $\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{D})$ space. Then $\sigma(M_f) = \overline{f(\mathbf{D})}$.

Proof. Let $\lambda \in f(\mathbf{D})$. Note that $M_f - \lambda E = M_{f-\lambda}$. Clearly, $M_{f-\lambda}$ is not invertible. Thus $\lambda \in \sigma(M_f)$. Since $\sigma(M_f)$ is compact, we get $\overline{f(\mathbf{D})} \subseteq \sigma(M_f)$.

Let $\lambda \notin \overline{f(\mathbf{D})}$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\inf_{z \in \mathbf{D}} |f(z) - \lambda| > C,$$

which shows $g(z) = \frac{1}{f(z) - \lambda} \in H^\infty$. Thus $M_f - \lambda E$ is injective. Clearly, $f \in H^\infty$. For any $h \in \mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{D})$, $fh \in \mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{D})$. Consequently, for any $a \in \mathbf{D}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbf{D}} |g'(z)h(z)|^p (1 - |z|)^{p-2} (1 - |\sigma_a(z)|)^s dA(z) \\ & \approx \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{|f'(z)|^p |h(z)|^p}{|f(z) - \lambda|^{2p}} (1 - |z|)^{p-2} (1 - |\sigma_a(z)|)^s dA(z) \\ & \lesssim \int_{\mathbf{D}} |f'(z)h(z)|^p (1 - |z|)^{p-2} (1 - |\sigma_a(z)|)^s dA(z) \\ & \lesssim \|fh\|_{\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{D})}^p + \int_{\mathbf{D}} |f(z)h'(z)|^p (1 - |z|)^{p-2} (1 - |\sigma_a(z)|)^s dA(z) \\ & \lesssim \|fh\|_{\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{D})}^p + \|f\|_{H^\infty}^p \|h\|_{\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{D})}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $gh \in \mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{D})$. Then we get $g \in M(\mathcal{Q}_s^p(\mathbf{D}))$. It follows that $M_f - \lambda E$ is surjective. Hence $\lambda \notin \sigma(M_f)$. The proof is complete. \square

Acknowledgements. The work was done while G. Bao visited the Department of Applied Mathematics and Analysis, University of Barcelona in 2015. He thanks the support given by the IMUB during his visit.

References

- [1] ALEMAN, A., and B. KORENBLUM: Volterra invariant subspaces of H^p . - Bull. Sci. Math. 132, 2008, 510–528.
- [2] ALLEN, R., and F. COLONNA: Isometries and spectra of multiplication operators on the Bloch space. - Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 79, 2009, 147–160.
- [3] ARCOZZI, N., D. BLASI, and J. PAU: Interpolating sequences on analytic Besov type spaces. - Indiana Univ. Math. J. 58, 2009, 1281–1318.
- [4] AULASKARI, R., J. XIAO, and R. ZHAO: On subspaces and subsets of BMOA and UBC. - Analysis 15, 1995, 101–121.
- [5] BROWN, L., and A. SHIELDS: Multipliers and cyclic vectors in the Bloch space. - Michigan Math. J. 38, 1991, 141–146.
- [6] DOUGLAS, R.: Banach algebra techniques in operator theory. - Academic Press, New York-London, 1972.
- [7] DUREN, P.: Theory of H^p spaces. - Academic Press, New York-London, 1970.
- [8] ESSÉN, M., S. JANSON, L. PENG, and J. XIAO: Q spaces of several real variables. - Indiana Univ. Math. J. 49, 2000, 575–615.
- [9] ESSÉN, M., and J. XIAO: Some results on Q_p spaces, $0 < p < 1$. - J. Reine Angew. Math. 485, 1997, 173–195.
- [10] GALANOPOULOS, P., D. GIRELA, and J. PELÁEZ: Multipliers and integration operators on Dirichlet spaces. - Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 363, 2011, 1855–1886.
- [11] GIRELA, D.: Analytic functions of bounded mean oscillation. - In: Complex Function Spaces, Mekrijärvi (1999), edited by R. Aulaskari, Univ. Joensuu Dept. Math. Rep. Ser. 4, Univ. Joensuu, Joensuu, 2001, 61–170.
- [12] GIRELA, D., and J. A. PELÁEZ: Boundary behaviour of analytic functions in spaces of Dirichlet type. - J. Inequal. Appl. 2006, Art. ID 92795, 1–12.
- [13] GIRELA, D., J. A. PELÁEZ, and D. VUKOTIĆ: Uniformly discrete sequences in regions with tangential approach to the unit circle. - Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. 52, 2007, 161–173.
- [14] JOHN, F., and L. NIRENBERG: On functions of bounded mean oscillation. - Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 14, 1961, 415–426.
- [15] NAGEL, A., W. RUDIN, and J. SHAPIRO: Tangential boundary behavior of function in Dirichlet-type spaces. - Ann. of Math. (2) 116, 1982, 331–360.
- [16] NICOLAU, A., and J. XIAO: Bounded functions in Möbius invariant Dirichlet spaces. - J. Funct. Anal. 150, 1997, 383–425.
- [17] ORTEGA, J., and J. FÁBREGA: Pointwise multipliers and corona type decomposition in BMOA. - Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 46, 1996, 111–137.
- [18] PAU, J., and J. A. PELÁEZ: Multipliers of Möbius invariant Q_s spaces. - Math. Z. 261, 2009, 545–555.
- [19] PAU, J., and J. A. PELÁEZ: On the zeros of functions in Dirichlet-type spaces. - Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 363, 2011, 1981–2002.
- [20] PAU, J., and R. ZHAO: Carleson measures, Riemann–Stieltjes and multiplication operators on a general family of function spaces. - Integral Equations Operator Theory 78, 2014, 483–514.
- [21] PÉREZ-GONZÁLEZ, F., and J. RÄTTYÄ: Inner functions in the Möbius invariant Besov-type spaces. - Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. 52, 2009, 751–770.
- [22] PENG, R., and C. OUYANG: Riemann–Stieltjes operators and multipliers on Q_p spaces in the unit ball of \mathbf{C}^n . - J. Math. Anal. Appl. 377, 2011, 180–193.
- [23] RÄTTYÄ, J.: On some complex function spaces and classes. - Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. Diss. 124, 2001, 1–73.

- [24] STEGENGA, D.: Bounded Toeplitz operators on H^1 and applications of the duality between H^1 and the functions of bounded mean oscillation. - Amer. J. Math. 98, 1976, 573–589.
- [25] STEGENGA, D.: Multipliers of the Dirichlet space. - Illinois J. Math. 24, 1980, 113–139.
- [26] STEIN, E.: Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions. - Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1970.
- [27] WU, Z., and L. YANG: Multipliers between Dirichlet spaces. - Integral Equations Operator Theory 32, 1998, 482–492.
- [28] XIAO, J.: The \mathcal{Q}_p corona theorem. - Pacific J. Math. 194, 2000, 491–509.
- [29] XIAO, J.: Some essential properties of $\mathcal{Q}_p(\partial\Delta)$ -spaces. - J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 6, 2000, 311–323.
- [30] XIAO, J.: Holomorphic \mathcal{Q} classes. - Lecture Notes in Math. 1767, Springer, Berlin, 2001.
- [31] XIAO, J.: Geometric \mathcal{Q}_p functions. - Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel-Boston-Berlin, 2006.
- [32] ZHAO, R.: On a general family of function spaces. - Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. Diss. 105, 1996, 1–56.
- [33] ZHAO, R.: On logarithmic Carleson measures. - Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 69, 2003, 605–618.
- [34] ZHAO, R., and K. ZHU: Theory of Bergman spaces in the unit ball of \mathbf{C}^n . - Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.) 115, 2008, 1–103.
- [35] ZHU, K.: Multipliers of BMO in the Bergman metric with applications to Toeplitz operators. - J. Funct. Anal. 87, 1989, 31–50.
- [36] ZHU, K.: Spaces of holomorphic functions in the unit ball. - Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.
- [37] ZHU, K.: Operator theory in function spaces. Second edition. - Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.
- [38] ZHU, K.: A class of Möbius invariant function spaces. - Illinois J. Math. 51, 2007, 977–1002.
- [39] ZYGMUND, A.: Trigonometric series. Volume I and Volume II. Second edition. - Camb. Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1959.