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#### Abstract

This paper provides a gap theorem for the first eigenvalue of the stability operator of complete immersed minimal hypersurfaces of dimension no less than five in a hyperbolic space. Namely, we show that an $n(\geq 5)$-dimensional complete immersed minimal hypersurface $M$ in a hyperbolic space is totally geodesic if the first eigenvalue of the stability operator of $M$ is bigger than some concrete constant and if the $L^{2}$ norm of the length of the second fundamental form of $M$ grows properly.


## 1. Introduction

The celebrated Bernstein theorem [2] states that the only complete minimal graphs in $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ are planes. The works of Fleming [14], De Giorgi [8], Almgren [1] and Simons [22] tell us that the Bernstein Theorem is valid for complete minimal graphs in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ provided that $n \leq 7$. Counterexamples to the theorem for $n \geq 8$ were found by Bombieri-De Giorgi-Giusti [3] and later by Lawson [15]. On the other hand, it has been shown independently by do Carmo-Peng [11], Fischer ColbrieSchoen [13] that a complete stable minimal surface in $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ must be a plane. For the higher dimensional case, it is still unknown if a complete oriented stable minimal hypersurface in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}(3 \leq n \leq 7)$ is a hyperplane. However, do Carmo and Peng have proved the following result.

Theorem A. (do Carmo and Peng [10]) Let $M^{n}$ be a complete stable minimal hypersurface in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$. If

$$
\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{B_{p}(R)}|A|^{2}}{R^{2 q+2}}=0, \quad q<\sqrt{\frac{2}{n}},
$$

then $M$ is a hyperplane. Here, $B_{p}(R)$ denotes the geodesic ball of radius $R$ centered at $p \in M$ and $A$ is the second fundamental form of $M$.

Many interesting generalizations of the above do Carmo-Peng's theorem have been obtained in recent years (cf. $[9,12,18,19,20,21,23]$ etc.). In the present paper, we shall prove similar result for complete minimal hypersurfaces in a hyperbolic space.

[^0]By definition, the hyperbolic space $\mathbf{H}^{m}$ is a (unique) simply connected complete $m$ dimensional Riemannian manifold with a constant negative sectional curvature -1 .

Before stating our results, we recall some known facts. Let $\left(M, d s^{2}\right)$ be a complete non-compact Riemannian manifold. Let $\mu: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a continuous function and let $\Delta$ be Laplacian operator acting on functions of $M$. We set $L_{\mu}=\Delta+\mu$ and denote by $\lambda_{1}\left(L_{\mu}, M\right)$ the first eigenvalue of $L_{\mu}$. The usual variational characterization of $\lambda_{1}\left(L_{\mu}, M\right)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}\left(L_{\mu}, M\right)=\inf _{f \in C_{0}^{\infty}(M), f \neq 0} \frac{\int_{M}\left(|\nabla f|^{2}-\mu f^{2}\right)}{\int_{M} f^{2}}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|\nabla f|$ denotes the magnitude of the gradient of $f$ taken with respect to $d s^{2}$. When $\mu=0$, we usually call $\lambda_{1}\left(L_{0}, M\right)$ the first eigenvalue of $M$ and denote it by $\lambda_{1}(M)$. It is well known that (cf. $\left.[4,5,16,17]\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}\left(\mathbf{H}^{n}\right)=\frac{(n-1)^{2}}{4} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $M$ is an $n$-dimensional complete minimal submanifold in $\mathbf{H}^{m}$, then we have (cf. [7])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}(M) \geq \frac{(n-1)^{2}}{4} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to say that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}|\nabla f|^{2} \geq \frac{(n-1)^{2}}{4} \int_{M} f^{2}, \quad \forall f \in C_{0}^{\infty}(M) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $M$ is a complete minimal hypersurface of $\mathbf{H}^{n+1}$, the stability operator of $M$ is $L_{|A|^{2}-n}$ and $M$ is said to be stable if $\lambda_{1}\left(L_{|A|^{2}-n}, M\right) \geq 0$, where $A$ is the second fundamental form of $M$ (cf. [16]). It is easy to see from (1.1) and (1.2) that the first eigenvalue of the the stability operator of a complete totally geodesic hypersurface of $\mathbf{H}^{n+1}$ is $n+\frac{(n-1)^{2}}{4}$.

In the present paper we prove a gap theorem for the first eigenvalue of the stability operator of complete minimal hypersurfaces in a hyperbolic space. Namely, we have

Theorem 1.1. Let $M$ be an $n(\geq 2)$-dimensional complete immersed minimal hypersurface in $\mathbf{H}^{n+1}$ and let $A$ be the second fundamental form of $M$. Suppose that there exists a number $q \in(0, \sqrt{2 / n})$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{B_{p}(R)}|A|^{2}}{R^{2 q+2}}=0 . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

i) If $n \geq 6$ and if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}\left(L_{|A|^{2}-n}, M\right)>2 n-\frac{\left(2-n q^{2}\right)(n-1)^{2}}{4 n(1+q)^{2}}, \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $M$ is totally geodesic.
ii) If $n \leq 4$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}\left(L_{|A|^{2}-n}, M\right) \leq 2 n-\frac{\left(2-n q^{2}\right) n}{2+2 n q+n} . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

iii) If $n=5, q \in(0,1 / 5)$ and if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}\left(L_{|A|^{2}-5}, M\right)>5+\frac{25(q+1)^{2}}{10 q+7} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $M$ is totally geodesic.
iv) If $n=5$ and if $q \in[1 / 5, \sqrt{2 / 5})$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}\left(L_{|A|^{2}-5}, M\right) \leq 5+\frac{25(q+1)^{2}}{10 q+7} . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of Theorem 1.1, it is interesting to know if a similar result for complete minimal submanifolds in a hyperbolic space holds and to study the following

Problem. What is the sharp lower bound for the first eigenvalue of the stability operator of complete minimal hypersurfaces in a hyperbolic space?

Theorem 1.1 can be generalized to complete hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in a hyperbolic space. In order to see this, let us recall the following result.

Lemma 1.2. [19] Let $M$ be a complete non-compact immersed submanifold in a Riemannian manifold $N$. Suppose that $M$ has constant mean curvature. If there exist positive constants $\epsilon, a, b$ and $l$, such that

$$
\int_{M}|\nabla f|^{2} \geq \epsilon \int_{M} f^{2}|A|^{a}, \quad \forall f \in C_{0}^{\infty}(M)
$$

and

$$
\lim _{R \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\int_{B_{R}(x)}|A|^{b}}{R^{l}}=0
$$

then $M^{n}$ must be minimal.
Combining Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 1.2, we immediately get
Corollary 1.3. Let $M$ be an $n(\geq 2)$-dimensional complete non-compact immersed hypersurface with constant mean curvature in $\mathbf{H}^{n+1}$ and let $A$ be the second fundamental form of $M$. Assume that there exists a number $q \in(0, \sqrt{2 / n})$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{B_{p}(R)}|A|^{2}}{R^{2 q+2}}=0 \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

i) If $n \geq 6$ and if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}\left(L_{|A|^{2}-n}, M\right)>2 n-\frac{\left(2-n q^{2}\right)(n-1)^{2}}{4 n(1+q)^{2}}, \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $M^{n}$ is totally geodesic.
ii) If $n \leq 4$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}\left(L_{|A|^{2}-n}, M\right) \leq 2 n-\frac{\left(2-n q^{2}\right) n}{2+2 n q+n} . \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

iii) If $n=5, q \in(0,1 / 5)$ and if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}\left(L_{|A|^{2}-5}, M\right)>5+\frac{25(q+1)^{2}}{10 q+7} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $M$ is totally geodesic.
iv) If $n=5, q \in[1 / 5, \sqrt{2 / 5})$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}\left(L_{|A|^{2}-5}, M\right) \leq 5+\frac{25(q+1)^{2}}{10 q+7} . \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. A proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we will prove the main result in this paper.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since $M$ is a minimal hypersurface of $\mathbf{H}^{n+1}$, we have from the Simons' formula that (cf. [6, 22])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta|A|^{2}=|\nabla A|^{2}-|A|^{4}-n|A|^{2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well-known that (cf. [24])

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla A|^{2}-|\nabla| A| |^{2} \geq \frac{2}{n}|\nabla| A| |^{2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recalling that $\triangle|A|^{2}=2|A| \triangle|A|+2|\nabla| A| |^{2}$ and using (2.1) and (2.2) we get the following Kato-type inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
|A| \triangle|A|+|A|^{4}+n|A|^{2} \geq \frac{2}{n}|\nabla| A| |^{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\lambda_{1}\left(L_{|A|^{2}-n}, M\right)-n \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have from the definition of $\lambda_{1}\left(L_{|A|^{2}-n}, M\right)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}|\nabla f|^{2} \geq \int_{M}|A|^{2} f^{2}+\alpha \int_{M} f^{2}, \quad \forall f \in C_{0}^{\infty}(M) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\frac{(n-1)^{2}}{4} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get from (1.4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}|\nabla f|^{2} \geq \gamma \int_{M} f^{2}, \quad \forall f \in C_{0}^{\infty}(M) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fixing an $x \in[0,1]$, we deduce from (2.5) and (2.7) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \int_{M} f^{2}|A|^{2}+(x \alpha+(1-x) \gamma) \int_{M} f^{2} \leq \int_{M}|\nabla f|^{2}, \quad \forall f \in C_{0}^{\infty}(M) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Plugging $f|A|^{1+q}$ in (2.8) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& x \int_{M} f^{2}|A|^{4+2 q}+(x \alpha+(1-x) \gamma) \int_{M} f^{2}|A|^{2+2 q} \leq \int_{M}\left|\nabla\left(f|A|^{1+q}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& =\left.(1+q)^{2} \int_{M}|A|^{2 q}|\nabla| A\right|^{2} f^{2}+\int_{M}|A|^{2 q+2}|\nabla f|^{2}  \tag{2.9}\\
& \quad+2(1+q) \int_{M}|A|^{2 q+1} f\langle\nabla f, \nabla| A| \rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplying (2.3) by $|A|^{2 q} f^{2}$ and integrating over $M$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{2}{n} \int_{M}|A|^{2 q} f^{2}|\nabla| A\right|^{2} \leq \int_{M}|A|^{2 q+1} f^{2} \triangle|A|+\int_{M}|A|^{2 q+4} f^{2}+n \int_{M}|A|^{2 q+2} f^{2} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from integration by parts that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M}|A|^{2 q+1} f^{2} \triangle|A|=-\int_{M}\left\langle\nabla\left(|A|^{2 q+1} f^{2}\right), \nabla\right| A| \rangle \\
& =-(2 q+1) \int_{M}|A|^{2 q} f^{2}|\nabla| A| |^{2}-2 \int_{M} f|A|^{2 q+1}\langle\nabla f, \nabla| A| \rangle \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplying (2.10) by $(1+q)$ and using (2.11), one gets

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1+q)\left(\frac{2}{n}+2 q+1\right) \int_{M}|A|^{2 q} f^{2}|\nabla| A| |^{2} \\
& \leq(1+q) \int_{M}|A|^{2 q+4} f^{2}+(q+1) n \int_{M}|A|^{2 q+2} f^{2}  \tag{2.12}\\
& \quad-2(1+q) \int_{M} f|A|^{2 q+1}\langle\nabla f, \nabla| A| \rangle
\end{align*}
$$

Summing up (2.9) and (2.12) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& x \int_{M} f^{2}|A|^{4+2 q}+(x \alpha+(1-x) \gamma) \int_{M} f^{2}|A|^{2+2 q} \\
& +(1+q)\left(\frac{2}{n}+q\right) \int_{m}|A|^{2 q} f^{2}|\nabla| A| |^{2}  \tag{2.13}\\
& \leq \int_{M}|A|^{2 q+2}|\nabla f|^{2}+(1+q) \int_{M}|A|^{2 q+4} f^{2}+n(q+1) \int_{M}|A|^{2+2 q} f^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

For any $\epsilon>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \int_{M}|A|^{2 q+1} f\langle\nabla f, \nabla| A| \rangle \leq\left.\epsilon \int_{M}|A|^{2 q}|\nabla| A\right|^{2} f^{2}+\frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{M}|A|^{2 q+2}|\nabla f|^{2} . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.14) into (2.9), we easily obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& x \int_{M} f^{2}|A|^{4+2 q}+(x \alpha+(1-x) \gamma) \int_{M} f^{2}|A|^{2+2 q} \\
& \leq(1+q)(1+q+\epsilon) \int_{M}|A|^{2 q}|\nabla| A| |^{2} f^{2}+\left(1+\frac{1+q}{\epsilon}\right) \int_{M}|A|^{2 q+2}|\nabla f|^{2} \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplying the above inequality by $\frac{\frac{2}{n}+q}{1+q+\epsilon}$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\frac{2}{n}+q}{1+q+\epsilon}\left(x \int_{M} f^{2}|A|^{4+2 q}+(x \alpha+(1-x) \gamma) \int_{M} f^{2}|A|^{2+2 q}\right)  \tag{2.16}\\
& \leq(1+q)\left(\frac{2}{n}+q\right) \int_{M}|A|^{2 q}|\nabla| A| |^{2} f^{2}+\frac{\left(\frac{2}{n}+q\right)}{\epsilon} \int_{M}|A|^{2 q+2}|\nabla f|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (2.13) and (2.16), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1+\frac{\frac{2}{n}+q}{1+q+\epsilon}\right)\left(x \int_{M} f^{2}|A|^{4+2 q}+(x \alpha+(1-x) \gamma) \int_{M} f^{2}|A|^{2+2 q}\right) \\
& \leq\left(1+\frac{\frac{2}{n}+q}{\epsilon}\right) \int_{M}|A|^{2 q+2}|\nabla f|^{2}+(1+q) \int_{M}|A|^{2 q+4} f^{2}  \tag{2.17}\\
& \quad+n(q+1) \int_{M}|A|^{2+2 q} f^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Now we consider different cases.
Case i): $n \geq 6$. Setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=n-\frac{\left(2-n q^{2}\right)(n-1)^{2}}{4 n(1+q)^{2}}, \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

we know from (1.6) that there exists a constant $\rho>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \geq \beta+\rho \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $q \in(0, \sqrt{2 / n})$, we can find an $\epsilon>0$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(1+q)(1+q+\epsilon)}{\frac{2}{n}+2 q+1+\epsilon}+\epsilon<1 \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho+\left(\frac{1}{\frac{(1+q)(1+q+\epsilon)}{\frac{2}{n}+2 q+1+\epsilon}+\epsilon}-1-\frac{\frac{2}{n}-q^{2}}{(1+q)^{2}}\right) \gamma>0 . \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dividing (2.17) by $\left(1+\frac{\frac{2}{n}+q}{1+q+\epsilon}\right)$ and taking

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{(1+q)(1+q+\epsilon)}{\frac{2}{n}+2 q+1+\epsilon}+\epsilon, \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

one obtains that
(2.23) $\epsilon \int_{M}|A|^{2 q+4} f^{2}+(\gamma+x(\alpha-\gamma)-n x+n \epsilon) \int_{M}|A|^{2 q+2} f^{2} \leq C_{1} \int_{M}|A|^{2 q+2}|\nabla f|^{2}$,
for some positive constant $C$ depending only on $n, q, \epsilon$. It follows from (2.18), (2.19), (2.21) and (2.22) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma+x(\alpha-\gamma)-n x & =x\left(\left(\frac{1}{x}-1\right) \gamma+\alpha-n\right) \\
& \geq x\left(\left(\frac{1}{\frac{(1+q)(1+q+\epsilon)}{\frac{2}{n}+2 q+1+\epsilon}+\epsilon}-1\right) \gamma-\frac{\left(2-n q^{2}\right)(n-1)^{2}}{4 n(1+q)^{2}}+\rho\right) \\
& =x\left(\rho+\left(\frac{1}{\frac{(1+q)(1+q+\epsilon)}{\frac{2}{n}+2 q+1+\epsilon}+\epsilon}-1-\frac{\frac{2}{n}-q^{2}}{(1+q)^{2}}\right) \gamma\right)>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we can find an $\epsilon>0$ and a a positive constant $C_{1}$ depending only on $n, q, \epsilon, \rho$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} f^{2}|A|^{2 q+4}+\int_{M} f^{2}|A|^{2 q+2} \leq C_{1} \int_{M}|A|^{2 q+2}|\nabla f|^{2}, \forall f \in C_{0}^{\infty}(M) . \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall Young's inequality

$$
a b \leq \frac{\delta^{s} a^{s}}{s}+\frac{\delta^{-t} b^{t}}{t}, \quad \frac{1}{t}+\frac{1}{s}=1,
$$

where $\delta>0$ is arbitrary and $1<t, s<+\infty$.
Setting

$$
p=\frac{2}{1+q}, \quad s=\frac{q+1}{q}, \quad t=1+q,
$$

then we have

$$
p t=2, \quad s(2 q+2-p)=4+2 q, \quad \frac{1}{t}+\frac{1}{s}=1 .
$$

It follows from Young's inequality that

$$
\begin{align*}
|A|^{2 q+2}|\nabla f|^{2} & =f^{2}\left(|A|^{2 q+2} \frac{|\nabla f|^{2}}{f^{2}}\right) \\
& =f^{2}\left(|A|^{2 q+2-p}|A|^{p} \frac{|\nabla f|^{2}}{f^{2}}\right)  \tag{2.25}\\
& \leq f^{2}\left(\frac{\delta^{s}}{s}|A|^{s(2 q+2-p)}+\frac{\delta^{t}}{t}\left(|A|^{p} \frac{|\nabla f|^{2}}{f^{2}}\right)^{t}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Putting (2.25) into (2.24) we have

$$
\int_{M}|A|^{2 q+4} f^{2} \leq C_{1} \frac{q \delta^{\frac{q+1}{q}}}{q+1} \int_{M}|A|^{2 q+4} f^{2}+C_{1} \frac{\delta^{-(1+q)}}{1+q} \int_{M}|A|^{2} \frac{|\nabla f|^{2 q+2}}{f^{2 q+2}}
$$

that is,

$$
\left(1-C_{1} \frac{q \delta^{\frac{q+1}{q}}}{q+1}\right) \int_{M}|A|^{2 q+4} f^{2} \leq C_{1} \frac{\delta^{-(1+q)}}{1+q} \int_{M}|A|^{2} \frac{|\nabla f|^{2 q+2}}{f^{2 q+2}} .
$$

By choosing $\delta$ sufficiently small, we can write the above inequality as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}|A|^{2 q+4} f^{2} \leq C_{2} \int_{M}|A|^{2} \frac{|\nabla f|^{2 q+2}}{f^{2 q+2}}, \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a new constant $C_{2}=C_{2}(n, \epsilon, q, \rho, \delta)$.
Now, changing in (2.26) $f$ by $f^{1+q}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{M}|A|^{2 q+4} f^{2 q+2} & \leq C_{2} \int_{M}|A|^{2} \frac{\left(\left|\nabla\left(f^{1+q}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{1+q}}{f^{2 q(1+q)}} \\
& =C_{2}(1+q)^{2(1+q)} \int_{M}|A|^{2} \frac{f^{2 q(q+1)}|\nabla f|^{2 q+2}}{f^{2 q(q+1)}}  \tag{2.27}\\
& =C_{3} \int_{M}|A|^{2}|\nabla f|^{2 q+2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Fix a $p \in M$ and choose $f$ to be a non-negative cut-off function with the properties

$$
|\nabla f| \leq \frac{1}{R}, \quad f= \begin{cases}1 & \text { on } B_{p}(R),  \tag{2.28}\\ 0 & \text { on } M \backslash B_{p}(2 R) .\end{cases}
$$

Substituting the above $f$ into (2.27) we get

$$
\int_{B_{p}(R)}|A|^{2 q+4} f^{2 q+2} \leq \int_{M}|A|^{2 q+4} f^{2 q+2} \leq C_{3} \int_{M}|A|^{2}|\nabla f|^{2 q+2} \leq C_{3} \frac{\int_{B_{p}(2 R)}|A|^{2}}{R^{2 q+2}}
$$

Letting $R \rightarrow+\infty$ we have, by hypothesis, that the right hand side vanishes. So,

$$
\int_{M}|A|^{2 q+2}=0
$$

This implies $|A|=0$.
Case ii): $n \leq 4$. Let us assume by contradiction that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha>n-\frac{\left(2-n q^{2}\right) n}{2+2 n q+n} . \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $x=1$ in (2.17), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1+\frac{\frac{2}{n}+q}{1+q+\epsilon}\right)\left(\int_{M} f^{2}|A|^{4+2 q}+\alpha \int_{M} f^{2}|A|^{2+2 q}\right) \\
& \leq\left(1+\frac{\frac{2}{n}+q}{\epsilon}\right) \int_{M}|A|^{2 q+2}|\nabla f|^{2}+(1+q) \int_{M}|A|^{2 q+4} f^{2}  \tag{2.30}\\
& \quad+n(q+1) \int_{M}|A|^{2+2 q} f^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

From $q \in(0, \sqrt{2 / n})$ and (2.29), we can find an $\epsilon>0$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\frac{2}{n}+q}{1+q+\epsilon}>q, \quad\left(1+\frac{\frac{2}{n}+q}{1+q+\epsilon}\right) \alpha>n(1+q) . \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

It then follows that there exists an $\epsilon>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} f^{2}|A|^{2 q+4}+\int_{M} f^{2}|A|^{2 q+2} \leq C_{2} \int_{M}|A|^{2 q+2}|\nabla f|^{2}, \quad \forall f \in C_{0}^{\infty}(M) \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive constant $C_{2}$ depending only on $n, q$ and $\epsilon$. Using the same arguments as in the proof of case i) we can conclude that $M=\mathbf{H}^{n}$ and so $\alpha=\frac{(n-1)^{2}}{4}$, which contradicts to (2.29) since $n \leq 4$ and $q>0$.

Cases iii) and iv): Taking $n=5$ and $x=1$ in (2.17), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1+\frac{\frac{2}{5}+q}{1+q+\epsilon}\right)\left(\int_{M} f^{2}|A|^{4+2 q}+\alpha \int_{M} f^{2}|A|^{2+2 q}\right) \\
& \leq\left(1+\frac{\frac{2}{5}+q}{\epsilon}\right) \int_{M}|A|^{2 q+2}|\nabla f|^{2}+(1+q) \int_{M}|A|^{2 q+4} f^{2}  \tag{2.33}\\
& \quad+5(q+1) \int_{M}|A|^{2+2 q} f^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

When $q \in(0, \sqrt{2 / 5})$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha>\frac{25(q+1)^{2}}{10 q+7} \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can find an $\epsilon>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\frac{2}{5}+q}{1+q+\epsilon}>q, \quad\left(1+\frac{\frac{2}{5}+q}{1+q+\epsilon}\right) \alpha>5(1+q) . \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus (2.31) also holds in this case. As in the proof of case $i$ ), we know that $M$ is totally geodesic. Therefore $\alpha=4$, which, combining with (2.34), implies that $q<\frac{1}{5}$. Consequently, we know that items iii) and iv) in Theorem 1.1 hold.
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