# HILBERT MATRIX OPERATOR ON SPACES OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

### Bartosz Łanucha, Maria Nowak and Miroslav Pavlović

Uniwersytet Marii Curie-Skłodowskiej w Lublinie, Instytut Matematyki pl. M. Curie-Skłodowskiej 1, 20-031 Lublin, Poland; bartosz.lanucha@gmail.com Uniwersytet Marii Curie-Skłodowskiej w Lublinie, Instytut Matematyki pl. M. Curie-Skłodowskiej 1, 20-031 Lublin, Poland; mt.nowak@poczta.umcs.lublin.pl Univerzitet u Beogradu, Matematički Fakultet

Studentski trg 16, 11001 Beograd, p.p. 550, Serbia; pavlovic@matf.bg.ac.rs

Abstract. We consider the action of the Hilbert matrix operator, H, on the Hardy space  $H^1$ , weighted Hardy spaces  $H^p_{\alpha}$  ( $\alpha \geq 0$ ), Bergman spaces with logarithmic weights, etc. In particular, we extend Diamantopoulos–Siskakis result by proving that H maps  $H^p_{\alpha}$  into  $H^p_{\alpha}$  if and only if  $\alpha+1/p < 1$ . A criterion for Hf to belong to  $H^1$  is given provided the coefficients of f are nonnegative. Also, H maps the  $A^2$ -space with weight  $\log^{\alpha}(2/(1-|z|^2))$  into the ordinary Bergman space  $A^2$  if  $\alpha > 3$ . Similarly, the Bloch space with logarithmic weight is mapped by H into the ordinary Bloch space.

### 1. Introduction

The Hilbert matrix is an infinite matrix H whose entries are  $a_{n,k} = (n + k + 1)^{-1}$ . This matrix induces a linear operator on sequences:

$$H\colon (a_k)_{k\in\mathbf{N}_0}\longmapsto \left(\sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{a_k}{n+k+1}\right)_{n\in\mathbf{N}_0}$$

The following Hilbert's inequality implies that this operator is well defined and bounded on the space  $l^p$  of all *p*-summable sequences (p > 1).

**Theorem 1.1.** (Hilbert's inequality [5, Chapter IX]) Suppose  $1 . If <math>(a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \in l^p$ , then

(1.1) 
$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{n+k+1}\right|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le \frac{\pi}{\sin\frac{\pi}{p}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Moreover, the constant  $\frac{\pi}{\sin \frac{\pi}{p}}$  is best possible.

Apart from sequence spaces, the Hilbert matrix can be viewed as an operator on spaces of analytic functions by its action on their Taylor coefficients. If

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(k) z^k$$

doi:10.5186/aasfm.2012.3715

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 47B37, 30H10, 30H20, 30H30. Key words: Hilbert matrix, Hardy spaces, Bergman spaces, Bloch and Besov spaces. The third author is supported by NTR Serbia, Project ON174017.

is a holomorphic function in the unit disk  $\mathbf{D} = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| < 1\}$ , then we define a transformation H by

(1.2) 
$$Hf(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{f}(k)}{n+k+1} z^n.$$

Let  $H(\mathbf{D})$  be the algebra of holomorphic functions in  $\mathbf{D}$ . For 0 Hardy $space <math>H^p$  is the space of all holomorphic functions  $f \in H(\mathbf{D})$  for which

$$||f||_p = \sup_{0 \le r < 1} M_p(r, f) < \infty,$$

where

$$M_p(r, f) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p \, d\theta\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad 0 
$$M_\infty(r, f) = \sup_{0 \le \theta \le 2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|.$$$$

It follows from the Hardy's inequality ([4], p. 48)

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\hat{f}(k)|}{k+1} \le \pi \|f\|_1$$

that H is well defined for each  $f \in H^p$ ,  $p \ge 1$ . It was proved by Diamantopoulos and Siskakis ([1]) that the operator H is bounded on  $H^p$ , 1 , and not bounded $on <math>H^1$  and  $H^\infty$ . In [3] the following formula for H acting on  $H^p$ ,  $p \ge 1$ , was noticed

$$Hf = P_+(M_bCf),$$

where  $Cf(e^{it}) = f(e^{-it})$  is an isometry from  $H^p$  into  $L^p(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $M_b(u) = bu$ ,  $b(t) = ie^{-it}(\pi - t), 0 \le t < 2\pi$  and  $P_+$  is the Szegö projection given by

$$P_{+}u(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{u(t)}{(1 - ze^{-it})} dt, \quad z \in \mathbf{D}.$$

Recall that the space BMOA consists of the functions  $f \in H^1$  whose boundary values  $f(e^{it})$  are of bounded mean oscillation on **T**, that is

$$\sup_{I} \int_{I} |f(e^{it}) - I(f)| dt < \infty,$$

where supremum is taken over all intervals  $I \subset \mathbf{T}$  and

$$I(f) = \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} f(e^{it}) dt.$$

If

$$\lim_{|I| \to 0} \int_{I} |f(e^{it}) - I(f)| \, dt = 0,$$

then we say that  $f \in VMOA$ .

Since the space BMOA is the Szegö projection of  $L^{\infty}(\mathbf{T})$ , we have also the following

**Theorem 1.2.** The Hilbert matrix operator H acts as a bounded operator from  $H^{\infty}$  into BMOA.

The next theorem describes the polynomials that are mapped by H into VMOA.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let w be a polynomial of degree at least 1. Then  $Hw \in VMOA$  if and only if w(1) = 0.

Proof. We know that the operator  $Hw = P_+(w(e^{-i\theta})b(\theta))$ , where  $b(\theta) = ie^{-i\theta}(\pi - \theta)$  for  $0 \le \theta < 2\pi$ . The function b is continuous on the unit circle **T** except for 1. If w(1) = 0, then the function  $w(e^{-i\theta})b(\theta)$  can be continuously extended on the whole unit circle and Hw is the Szegö projection of this continuous function which means that  $Hw \in VMOA$ . It is also clear that if the function  $w(e^{-i\theta})b(\theta)$  is continuous on **T** then w(1) = 0.

In the next section we show that if  $f \in H^1$ , then Hf extends to a continuous function on  $\overline{\mathbf{D}} \setminus \{1\}$  and give a sufficient condition for  $Hf \in H^1$ . In the case of positive Taylor coefficients we obtain a sufficient and necessary condition for  $Hf \in$  $H^1$ . Section 3 is devoted to the weighted Hardy spaces  $H^p_{\alpha}$ ,  $0 , <math>\alpha > 0$ , consisting of those  $f \in H(\mathbf{D})$  for which  $M_p(r, f) = O((1-r)^{-\alpha})$ . We prove that the Hilbert matrix operator is bounded on  $H^p_{\alpha}$  if and only if  $\alpha + 1/p < 1$ . It is known that the operator H cannot be defined on the Bergman space  $A^2$  of analytic functions that are square integrable over the unit disk with respect to the Lebesgue area measure. Here we find the subspace of  $A^2$  which is mapped by H boundedly into  $A^2$ . Finally, we study the acting of the operator H on the Bloch space and Besov spaces.

Throughout the paper the notion  $A \simeq B$  means that there exists a positive constant C such that  $B/C \leq A \leq CB$ .

## 2. Hilbert matrix operator acting on $H^1$

This section contains results on the Hilbert matrix operator acting on  $H^1$  that are analogous to that obtained for the Libera operator in [12]. The proofs presented here are slightly different from the proofs given in [12].

We start with the following

**Lemma 2.1.** If  $f \in H^1$ , then Hf extends to a continuous function on  $\overline{\mathbf{D}} \setminus \{1\}$ . Proof. By (1.2),

$$Hf(z) = \frac{1}{1-z}F_f(z),$$

where

$$F_f(z) = (1-z) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{f}(k)}{n+k+1} z^n.$$

We will show that the function  $F_f$  can be continuously extended to **D**. For  $z \in \mathbf{D}$  we have

$$F_f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{f}(k)}{n+k+1} z^n - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{f}(k)}{n+k+1} z^{n+1}$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{f}(k)}{k+1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{f}(k)}{n+k+1} z^n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{f}(k)}{n+k} z^n$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{f}(k)}{k+1} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{f}(k)}{(n+k)(n+k+1)} z^n.$$

To see that the last double series converges absolutely and uniformly on  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$  it is enough to note that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+k)(n+k+1)} \right) |\hat{f}(k)| = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\hat{f}(k)|}{k+1}.$$

Consequently, we also get the following

Corollary 2.2. The operator H acts as a bounded operator from  $H^1$  into  $H^p$ , 0 .

**Theorem 2.3.** If  $f \in H^1$  is such that

(2.1) 
$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(e^{it})| \log \frac{\pi}{|t|} dt < \infty,$$

then  $Hf \in H^1$ .

Proof. We first show that if f satisfies the assumptions, then the function  $g(z) = f(z) \log \frac{2}{1-z}$  is in  $H^1$ . To this end, we note that

(2.2) 
$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(e^{it})| \log \frac{2}{|1 - e^{it}|} dt = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(e^{it})| \log \frac{1}{|\sin \frac{t}{2}|} dt \le \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(e^{it})| \log \frac{\pi}{|t|} dt,$$

which implies that  $g(e^{it})$  is in  $L^1(\partial \mathbf{D})$ . Since  $g \in H^p$ , 0 , the Smirnov theorem (see, e.g., [9] p. 74) implies that <math>g is in  $H^1$ . Now using the formula (see [2]),

(2.3) 
$$Hf(z) = \int_0^1 \frac{f(r)}{1 - rz} \, dr, \quad z \in \mathbf{D},$$

and Fubini theorem we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |Hf(e^{it})| \, dt &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{|f(r)| \, dr}{|1 - re^{it}|} \, dt = \int_0^1 |f(r)| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{dt}{|1 - re^{it}|} \, dt \\ &\leq C \int_0^1 |f(r)| \log \frac{2}{1 - r} \, dr. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Fejér–Riesz inequality to g, we see that  $Hf \in L^1(\partial \mathbf{D})$ . Since Hf is in  $H^p$  for 0 , the Smirnov theorem implies that <math>Hf is in  $H^1$ .

**2.1. The case of positive coefficients.** If  $\hat{f}(k) \ge 0$  for all k, then Hf is well defined by (1.2) or by (2.3) if and only if

(2.4) 
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{f}(k)}{k+1} < \infty.$$

To see the "only if" part it is enough to take z = 0. Furthermore, it is shown in [14] that if  $\hat{f}(k) \downarrow 0$ , then f is in  $H^1$  if and only if (2.4) holds. We use this fact to prove:

**Theorem 2.4.** If  $\hat{f}(k) \ge 0$ , then  $Hf \in H^1$  if and only if

(2.5) 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{f}(n)\log(n+2)}{n+1} < \infty.$$

*Proof.* The coefficients of h = Hf are given by

(2.6) 
$$\hat{h}(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{f}(k)}{n+k+1}$$

and obviously  $\hat{h}(n) \downarrow 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Hence, by what we mentioned above,  $h \in H^1$  if and only if

(2.7) 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{f}(k)}{(n+k+1)} < \infty.$$

Now note that this double sum is equal to

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(k) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)(n+k+1)}$$
  
=  $\hat{f}(0) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{f}(k)}{k} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{n+k+1}\right)$   
=  $\hat{f}(0) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{f}(k)}{k} \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{n+1},$ 

which implies the result.

Now let us consider the space  $\mathfrak{B}^1\subsetneqq H^1$  defined by

$$\mathfrak{B}^{1} = \Big\{ f \in H(\mathbf{D}) \colon \int_{\mathbf{D}} |f'(z)| \, dA(z) < \infty \Big\}.$$

It was also shown in [14] that if  $\hat{f}(k) \downarrow 0$  then f belongs to  $\mathfrak{B}^1$  if and only if (2.4) holds. This can be used to strengthen the statement that H does not map  $H^1$  into itself. More exactly we have

**Proposition 2.5.** The operator H does not map  $\mathfrak{B}^1$  into  $H^1$ .

Proof. By the above, the function

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\log^{3/2} n}$$

belongs to  $\mathfrak{B}^1$ , while Hf, by Theorem 2.4, does not belong to  $H^1$ .

## 3. Weighted Hardy spaces

For  $\alpha > 0$  and  $0 , we define the weighted Hardy spaces <math>H^p_{\alpha}$  as follows.

$$H^p_{\alpha} = \{ f \in H(\mathbf{D}) \colon M_p(r, f) = O(1-r)^{-\alpha} \},\$$

The norm in these spaces is defined by

$$||f||_{p,\alpha} = \sup_{0 < r < 1} (1 - r)^{\alpha} M_p(r, f).$$

We start with the following

**Theorem 3.1.** If  $\alpha + 1/p < 1$ , then the operator H maps  $H^p_{\alpha}$  into  $H^p_{\alpha}$ .

Proof. Let h = Hf,  $f \in H^p_{\alpha}$ . Then we have

$$h'(z) = \int_0^1 \frac{rf(r)\,dr}{(1-rz)^2}$$

Using Minkowski's inequality, the inequality

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |1 - \rho e^{it}|^{-2p} \, dt \asymp (1 - \rho)^{1 - 2p},$$

and the inequality

$$|f(r)| \le C(1-r)^{-\alpha-1/p}$$
 (implied by  $f \in H^p_{\alpha}$ )

we get

$$M_p(\rho, h') \le C \int_0^1 |f(r)| (1 - \rho r)^{1/p-2} dr \le C \int_0^1 (1 - r)^{-\alpha - 1/p} (1 - \rho r)^{1/p-2} dr$$
$$\le C (1 - \rho)^{-\alpha - 1/p} \int_0^\rho (1 - r)^{1/p-2} dr + C (1 - \rho)^{1/p-2} \int_\rho^1 (1 - r)^{-\alpha - 1/p} dr$$

Now the desired result is obtained by simple computation. It is enough to observe that 1/p - 2 < -1 and that  $-\alpha - 1/p > -1$ .

**3.1.** The case of monotone coefficients. Now our aim is to prove the following

**Theorem 3.2.** If  $\{\hat{f}(k)\}$  is a positive monotone sequence, then  $f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(k) z^k \in H^p_{\alpha} \ (1 if and only if$ 

(3.1) 
$$\hat{f}(k) \le C(k+1)^{\alpha+1/p-1}$$

Let

$$\Delta_n(z) = \sum_{k \in I_n} z^k, \quad n \ge 0,$$

where

$$I_0 = \{0, 1\}, \quad I_n = \{2^n \le k \le 2^{n+1} - 1\}, \quad n \ge 1.$$

For  $f \in H(\mathbf{D})$ , let

$$\Delta_n f(z) = \sum_{k \in I_n} \widehat{f}(k) z^k.$$

The following fact was proved in [11].

**Lemma 3.3.** Let 
$$1 . A function  $f \in H(\mathbf{D})$  is in  $H^p_{\alpha}$  if and only if  $K(f) := \sup_n 2^{-n\alpha} \|\Delta_n f\|_p < \infty$ ,$$

and we have  $K(f) \asymp ||f||_{p,\alpha}$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** If  $1 and <math>\{\lambda_n\}$  is a positive monotone sequence, then

$$C^{-1}\lambda_{2^{n}}\|\Delta_{n}\|_{p} \leq \left\|\sum_{k\in I_{n}}\lambda_{k}z^{k}\right\|_{p} \leq C\lambda_{2^{n+1}}\|\Delta_{n}\|_{p} \quad \text{if } \{\lambda_{n}\} \text{ is increasing,}$$
$$C^{-1}\lambda_{2^{n+1}}\|\Delta_{n}\|_{p} \leq \left\|\sum_{k\in I_{n}}\lambda_{k}z^{k}\right\|_{p} \leq C\lambda_{2^{n}}\|\Delta_{n}\|_{p} \quad \text{if } \{\lambda_{n}\} \text{ is decreasing.}$$

Proof. Since  $\{z^n\}$  is a Schauder basis in  $H^p$ ,  $1 , by Proposition 1.a.3 in [10], for any sequence <math>\{a_k\}$  and  $0 \le m \le j < n$ ,

$$\left\|\sum_{k=m}^{j} a_k z^k\right\|_p \le C \left\|\sum_{k=m}^{n} a_k z^k\right\|_p,$$

where the constant C is independent of  $\{a_k\}, m, n$  and j.

By summation by parts,

$$\sum_{k=m}^{n} \lambda_k a_k z^k = \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k+1}) s_k + \lambda_n s_n,$$

where

$$s_k = \sum_{j=m}^k a_j z^j.$$

Consequently,

$$\left\|\sum_{k=m}^{n} \lambda_k a_k z^k\right\|_p \le C\left(\sum_{k=m}^{n-1} |\lambda_k - \lambda_{k+1}| + \lambda_n\right) \left\|\sum_{k=m}^{n} a_k z^k\right\|_p$$

If  $\{\lambda_k\}$  is monotonically decreasing, then the sum in brackets is  $\lambda_m$ , if  $\{\lambda_k\}$  is monotonically increasing, this sum is  $(\lambda_n - \lambda_m) + \lambda_n \leq 2\lambda_n$ . This proves the right-hand side inequalities. To prove the left inequalities we observe that if, for example,  $\{\lambda_k\}$  increases, then  $1/\lambda_k$  decreases and by what we have already proved,

$$\left\|\sum_{k=m}^{n} a_k z^k\right\|_p = \left\|\sum_{k=m}^{n} \frac{1}{\lambda_k} (\lambda_k a_k z^k)\right\|_p \le C \frac{1}{\lambda_m} \left\|\sum_{k=m}^{n} \lambda_k a_k z^k\right\|_p.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Assume first that 1 . Since

$$|\Delta_n||_p = ||1 + z + \dots + z^{2^n - 1}||_p \simeq 2^{n(1 - 1/p)},$$

Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4 imply that  $f \in H^p_{\alpha}$  if and only if

$$\widehat{f}(2^n) \le C2^{n(\alpha+1/p-1)},$$

and our claim follows from the monotonicity of  $\{\widehat{f}(k)\}$ .

**3.2.** Necessity of the condition  $\alpha + 1/p < 1$ . To include in our considerations the cases  $p = 1, \infty$ , we use polynomials  $W_n$  (instead of  $\Delta_n$ ) constructed in [7] (see also [13]). Let  $\varphi$  be a  $C^{\infty}$ -function on **R** such that  $\varphi(t) = 1$  for  $t \leq 1$ ,  $\varphi(t) = 0$  for  $t \geq 2$ , and  $\varphi(t)$  is positive and decreasing on (1, 2). We set

$$W_0(z) = 1 + z, \quad W_n(z) = \sum_{k \in J_n} \omega(k/2^{n-1}) z^k, \quad n \ge 1,$$

where

$$J_n = \{k \in \mathbf{N} \colon 2^{n-1} \le k \le 2^{n+1}\}$$

and

$$\omega(t) = \varphi(t/2) - \varphi(t).$$

The convolution f \* g of two functions  $f, g \in H(\mathbf{D})$  is defined by

$$f * g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(n) \,\widehat{g}(n) z^n,$$

where  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(n) z^n$  and  $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{g}(n) z^n$ . The following inequality was proved in [6] and [7].

(3.2) 
$$||W_n * f||_p \le C ||f||_p, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad 0$$

We will also need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 3.5.** [8] Let  $0 . A function <math>f \in H(\mathbf{D})$  is in  $H^p_{\alpha}$  if and only if  $||W_n * f||_p = O(2^{n\alpha})$ , and we have

$$||f||_{p,\alpha} \asymp \sup_{n} 2^{-n\alpha} ||W_n * f||_p$$

**Lemma 3.6.** [13, Exercise 7.3.5] Let  $p \in (0, \infty]$ ,  $P(z) = \sum_{k=m}^{4m} a_k z^k$ ,  $Q(z) = \sum_{k=m}^{4m} (k+1)^{\beta} a_k z^k$ , where *m* is a positive integer and  $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$ . Then there is a constant  $C = C(p, \beta)$  such that

$$C^{-1}m^{\beta} \|P\|_{p} \le \|Q\|_{p} \le Cm^{\beta} \|P\|_{p}$$

Moreover, for  $|\beta| < \frac{1}{2}$  the constants  $C(p, \beta)$  are uniformly bounded with respect to  $\beta$ .

Proof. Let  $W_m$  be a trigonometric polynomial such as in Lemma 7.3.2 in [13] with  $\psi(x) = (x + 1/m)^{\beta} \varphi(x)$ , where  $\varphi$  is a  $C^{\infty}$ -function such that  $\operatorname{supp}(\varphi) \subset (\frac{1}{2}, 5)$  and  $\varphi(x) = 1$  for  $x \in [1, 4]$ . Then

$$W_m * P(z) = m^{-\beta}Q(z).$$

Our claim will follow from Theorem 7.3.4 in [13] if we can find the constant  $C_N$  in Lemma 7.3.2 [13] independent of  $\beta$  and m. But, since  $\operatorname{supp}(\varphi) \subset (\frac{1}{2}, 5)$ , the Leibniz formula

$$\psi^{(N)}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} {\binom{N}{j}} \beta(\beta-1) \dots (\beta-j+1) \left(x+\frac{1}{m}\right)^{\beta-j} \varphi^{(N-j)}(x)$$

implies that  $|\psi^{(N)}(x)|$  is bounded uniformly with respect to  $\beta$  and m and the claim follows.

To prove the last statement it is enough to show that

**Lemma 3.7.** For  $p \in [1, \infty]$  we have

$$||W_n||_p \asymp 2^{n(1-1/p)}$$

*Proof.* The case  $p = \infty$  is easy. Assume that  $1 \le p < \infty$ . Since

$$M_{\infty}(r, W_n) \le C(1-r)^{-1/p} ||W_n||_p$$

and  $M_{\infty}(r, W_n) \ge r^{2^{n+1}} \|W_n\|_{\infty}$ , taking  $r = 1 - 2^{-n-1}$ , we obtain

$$C \|W_n\|_p \ge 2^{n(1-1/p)}.$$

To prove the reverse inequality, we take  $f(z) = (1 - z)^{-2}$  and use Lemma 3.1 in [11] and (3.2) to obtain

$$r^{2^{n+1}} \left\| \sum_{k \in J_n} (k+1)\widehat{W}_n(k) z^k \right\|_p \le M_p(r, W_n * f) = \|W_n * f_r\|_p \le C(1-r)^{-2+1/p}.$$

Taking  $r = 1 - 2^{-n-1}$  we get

$$\left\|\sum_{k\in J_n} (k+1)\widehat{W_n}(k)z^k\right\|_p \le C2^{(2-1/p)n},$$

and the result follows from Lemma 3.6

We will show that if the condition  $\alpha + 1/p < 1$  is not satisfied, then the operator H cannot be extended as a continuous operator even into the space  $H(\mathbf{D})$ . More exactly, we have

**Theorem 3.8.** If  $\alpha + 1/p \ge 1$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  and  $p \ge 1$ , then the operator H cannot be extended to a continuous operator from  $H^p_{\alpha}$  into  $H(\mathbf{D})$ .

Proof. For  $\beta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  set

$$f_{\beta}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)^{-\beta} z^k$$

Then Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7, and the assumption  $\alpha \geq 1 - 1/p$  imply that

$$||W_n * f_\beta||_p \le C2^{-n\beta} ||W_n||_p \le C2^{n(-\beta+1-1/p)} \le C2^{n(-\beta+\alpha)} \le C2^{n\alpha}.$$

By Lemma 3.5 this means that

$$\sup_{0<\beta<\frac{1}{2}}\|f_{\beta}\|_{p,\alpha}<\infty.$$

If H could be extended to a bounded operator from  $H^p_{\alpha}$  into  $H(\mathbf{D})$ , then we would have  $\sup_{0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}} |Hf_{\beta}(0)| < \infty$ , because  $f \mapsto f(0)$  is a continuous linear operator on  $H(\mathbf{D})$ .

However,

$$Hf_{\beta}(0) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+1)^{\beta+1}}$$

and  $\lim_{\beta\to 0} Hf_{\beta}(0) = \infty$ . This contradiction proves the result.

## 4. Logarithmically weighted Bergman spaces

It is known that the Hilbert matrix does not act on  $A^2$  (see [3]). For  $\alpha > 0$  we define the logarithmically weighted Bergman space  $A^2_{\log_{\alpha}} \subset A^2$  as follows.

$$A_{\log^{\alpha}}^{2} = \{ f \in H(\mathbf{D}) \colon \|f\|_{\log^{\alpha}}^{2} = \int_{\mathbf{D}} |f(z)|^{2} \left( \log \frac{2}{1 - |z|^{2}} \right)^{\alpha} dA(z) < \infty \},$$

where dA(z) is the area measure on **D** normalized so that  $\int_{\mathbf{D}} dA(z) = 1$ . The following lemma can be proved in a standard way.

169

**Lemma 4.1.** If  $f \in A^2_{\log^{\alpha}}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , then there exists a constant C > 0 such that

$$|f(z)| \le \frac{C \|f\|_{\log^{\alpha}}}{(1-|z|^2) \left(\log \frac{2}{1-|z|^2}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}$$

for every  $z \in \mathbf{D}$ .

We claim that H is well defined on  $A^2_{\log^{\alpha}}$  for  $\alpha > 2$ . This follows from the following

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $\alpha > 2$ . If  $f \in A^2_{\log^{\alpha}}$ , then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\hat{f}(k)|}{k+1} \le C \|f\|_{\log^{\alpha}}.$$

*Proof.* Since the function  $s \mapsto M_2(s, f)$  is increasing on [0, 1), the Chebyshev inequality implies

$$\begin{split} \|f\|_{\log^{\alpha}}^{2} &= \int_{0}^{1} M_{2}^{2}(s,f) \left(\log \frac{2}{1-s^{2}}\right)^{\alpha} s \, ds \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{r}^{1} M_{2}^{2}(s,f) \left(\log \frac{2}{1-s^{2}}\right)^{\alpha} ds \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} (1-r) \left(\log \frac{2}{1-r^{2}}\right)^{\alpha} M_{2}^{2}(r,f). \end{split}$$

This means that for  $r \in [0, 1)$ ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(k)|^2 r^{2k} \le C \|f\|_{\log^{\alpha}}^2 (1-r)^{-1} \left(\log \frac{2}{1-r}\right)^{-\alpha}.$$

Taking r = 1 - 1/m, we get

$$\sum_{k=m}^{2m} |\hat{f}(k)|^2 \le C \|f\|_{\log^{\alpha}}^2 m \left(\log 2m\right)^{-\alpha}.$$

Consequently, for  $\alpha > 2$ , we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\hat{f}(k)|}{k+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=2^{k-1}}^{2^{k-1}} \frac{|\hat{f}(j)|}{j+1} \le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{1-k} \sum_{j=2^{k-1}}^{2^{k-1}} |\hat{f}(j)|$$
$$\le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{1-k} 2^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \left( C \|f\|_{\log^{\alpha}}^{2} 2^{k-1} \left(\log 2^{k}\right)^{-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$= C \|f\|_{\log^{\alpha}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \le C \|f\|_{\log^{\alpha}}.$$

Moreover, for  $\alpha > 2$  the Hilbert matrix operator acting on  $A^2_{\log^{\alpha}}$  can also be expressed in the integral form (2.3). Furthermore, we have

**Theorem 4.3.** If  $\alpha > 3$ , then H acts as a bounded operator from  $A^2_{\log^{\alpha}}$  to  $A^2$ .

*Proof.* From (2.3) and the integral form of Minkowski's inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|Hf\|_{A^2} &= \left(\int_{\mathbf{D}} |Hf(z)|^2 \, dA(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \left(\int_{\mathbf{D}} \left(\int_{0}^{1} \frac{|f(r)|}{|1 - rz|} \, dr\right)^2 dA(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\le \int_{0}^{1} |f(r)| \left(\int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{dA(z)}{|1 - rz|^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dr \le C \int_{0}^{1} |f(r)| \left(\log \frac{2}{1 - r^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dr. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.1,

$$||Hf||_{A^2} \le C \int_0^1 \frac{dr}{(1-r^2) \left(\log \frac{2}{1-r^2}\right)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}} ||f||_{\log^{\alpha}}$$

and the last integral converges for  $\alpha > 3$ .

### 5. The Bloch and Besov spaces

For  $1 , let <math>\mathcal{B}_p$  denote the analytic Besov space consisting of functions  $f \in H(\mathbf{D})$  for which

$$||f||_{\mathcal{B}_p} := |f(0)| + \left(\int_{\mathbf{D}} |f'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-2} \, dA(z)\right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

In the case  $p = \infty$  this is understood as

$$||f||_{\mathcal{B}_{\infty}} = |f(0)| + \sup_{z \in \mathbf{D}} (1 - |z|^2) |f'(z)| < \infty,$$

and hence  $\mathcal{B}_{\infty} = \mathcal{B}$  is the Bloch space. The reader is referred to, e.g., [15] for results on these spaces.

It is easy to check that if  $f(z) = \log \frac{1}{1-z}$ , then

$$Hf(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n+1} \right) z^n.$$

This shows that Bloch space  $\mathcal{B}$  is not mapped into itself.

The following lemma describes a space of analytic functions in  $\mathbf{D}$  that are mapped by H into the Bloch space.

**Proposition 5.1.** If  $f \in H(\mathbf{D})$  satisfies the condition

(5.1) 
$$\sup_{z \in \mathbf{D}} |f'(z)| (1 - |z|) \left( \log \frac{2}{1 - |z|} \right)^{1 + \varepsilon} < \infty$$

for an  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then  $Hf \in \mathcal{B}$ .

Proof. Assume that  $f \in H(\mathbf{D})$  satisfies (5.1) and set

$$F(z) = f(z) - f(0).$$

It is enough to show that  $HF \in \mathcal{B}$ . Clearly, F also satisfies (5.1). Then by Lemma 4.2.8 in [15] we can write

$$F(z) = \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{F'(w)(1-|w|^2)}{\bar{w}(1-\bar{w}z)^2} \, dA(w).$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{split} |(HF)'(z)| &\leq C \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbf{D}} \log^{-1-\varepsilon} \left(\frac{2}{1-|w|}\right) \frac{1}{|1-\bar{w}r|^2|1-rz|^2} \, dA(w) \, dr \\ &\leq C \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \log^{-1-\varepsilon} \left(\frac{2}{1-s}\right) \frac{ds \, dr}{(1-sr)(1-r|z|)^2} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{1-|z|} \int_0^1 \log^{-1-\varepsilon} \left(\frac{2}{1-s}\right) \frac{1}{1-s} \, ds. \end{split}$$

Since the last integral is finite, our claim is proved.

On the other hand, we have

**Proposition 5.2.** If  $f \in \mathcal{B}$ , then

$$|(Hf)'(z)| \le C \frac{1}{1-|z|} \log \frac{2}{1-|z|}.$$

Proof. For  $f \in \mathcal{B}$  set

$$A_n(f) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\widehat{f}(k)}{n+k+1} = \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{f(z)}{1-\bar{z}} |z|^{2n} \, dA(z).$$

Assuming additionally that f(0) = 0 and using the Fubini theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |A_n(f)| &\leq \left| \int_{\mathbf{D}} \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{f'(w)(1-|w|^2)}{\bar{w}(1-\bar{w}z)^2} \, dA(w) \frac{|z|^{2n}}{1-\bar{z}} \, dA(z) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{f'(w)(1-|w|^2)}{\bar{w}} \int_0^1 \left( \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{(1-\bar{w}re^{i\theta})^2(1-re^{-i\theta})} \right) r^{2n+1} \, dr \, dA(w) \right| \\ &\leq C \int_0^1 r^{2n+1} \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{dA(w)}{|1-r^2\bar{w}|^2} \, dr \leq C \int_0^1 \log \frac{2}{(1-r^2)} r^{2n+1} \, dr \\ &\leq C \int_0^1 r^n \left( \log 2 + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{r^k}{k} \right) \, dr \leq C \frac{1}{n+1} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n+1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |(Hf)'(z)| &= \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nA_n(f) z^{n-1} \right| \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n |A_n(f)|| |z|^{n-1} \\ &\le C \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n+1} \right) |z|^n \le C \frac{1}{1 - |z|} \log \frac{2}{1 - |z|}. \end{aligned}$$

The example of  $f(z) = \log \frac{1}{1-z}$  shows that the inequality in the last lemma cannot be improved.

A little bit more complicated calculations give the following

**Proposition 5.3.** If  $f \in \mathcal{B}_p$ , 1 , then

$$|(Hf)'(z)| \le C \frac{1}{1-|z|} \left( \log \frac{2}{1-|z|} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}},$$

where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ .

*Proof.* Using the notation from the proof of Proposition 5.2 under the assumption that f(0) = 0 we get, in much the same way as above,

$$|A_n(f)| \le C \int_0^1 r^{2n+1} \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{|f'(w)|(1-|w|^2)}{|1-r^2\bar{w}|^2} \, dA(w) \, dr$$
$$\le C \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_p} \int_0^1 r^{2n+1} \left(\log \frac{2}{1-r^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \, dr.$$

Hence

$$|A_n(f)|^{p'} \le C ||f||_{\mathcal{B}_p}^{p'} \left( \int_0^1 r^{2n+1} \, dr \right)^{p'-1} \int_0^1 r^{2n+1} \log \frac{2}{1-r^2} \, dr$$
$$\le C ||f||_{\mathcal{B}_p}^{p'} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \right)^{p'} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n+1} \right).$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} |(Hf)'(z)|^{p'} &\leq \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)|A_{n+1}(f)||z|^n\right)^{p'} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)^{p'}|A_{n+1}(f)|^{p'}|z|^n\right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |z|^n\right)^{p'-1} \\ &\leq C||f||_{\mathcal{B}_p}^{p'} \left(\frac{1}{1-|z|}\right)^{p'-1} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\dots+\frac{1}{n+1}\right)|z|^n\right) \\ &\leq C||f||_{\mathcal{B}_p}^{p'} \left(\frac{1}{1-|z|}\right)^{p'} \log \frac{2}{1-|z|}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves our claim.

## References

- DIAMANTOPOULOS, E.: Hilbert matrix on Bergman spaces. Illinois J. Math. 48:3, 2004, 1067–1078.
- [2] DIAMANTOPOULOS, E., and A. G. SISKAKIS: Composition operators and the Hilbert matrix.
  Studia Math. 140:2, 2000, 191–198.
- [3] DOSTANIĆ, M., M. JEVTIĆ, and D. VUKOTIĆ: Norm of the Hilbert matrix on Bergman and Hardy spaces and a theorem of Nehari type. - J. Funct. Anal. 254, 2008, 2800–2815.
- [4] DUREN, P. L.: Theory of H<sup>p</sup> spaces. Pure Appl. Math. 38, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
- [5] HARDY, G. H., J. E. LITTLEWOOD, and G. PÓLYA: Inequalities. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1934.
- [6] JEVTIĆ, M., and M. PAVLOVIĆ: On Hahn-Banach extension property in Hardy and mixed norm spaces on the unit ball. - Monatsh. Math. 11, 1991, 137–145.
- [7] JEVTIĆ, M., and M. PAVLOVIĆ: On multipliers from  $H^p$  into  $l^q$ , 0 . Arch. Math. 56, 1991, 174–180.
- [8] JEVTIĆ, M., and M. PAVLOVIĆ: Coefficient multilpliers on spaces of analytic functions. Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 64, 1998, 531–545.
- [9] KOOSIS, P.: Introduction to  $H^p$  spaces. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998.

- [10] LINDERSTRAUSS, J., and L. TZAFRIRI: Classical Banach spaces I. Sequence spaces. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977.
- [11] MATELJEVIĆ, M., and M. PAVLOVIĆ:  $L^p$  behaviour of the integral means of analytic functions. - Studia Math. 77, 1984, 219–237.
- [12] NOWAK, M., and M. PAVLOVIĆ: On the Libera operator. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 370, 2010, 588–599.
- [13] PAVLOVIĆ, M.: Introduction to function spaces on the disk. Matematički institut SANU, Beograd, 2004.
- [14] PAVLOVIĆ, M.: Analytic functions with decreasing coefficients and Hardy spaces. To appear.
- [15] ZHU, K.: Operator theory in function spaces. Dekker, New York, 1990.

Received 18 March 2011