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#### Abstract

In this paper, we establish a necessary condition for a kind of lacunary series on the unit ball to be in $Q_{K}$. As a consequence, we prove a necessary and sufficient condition for that $Q_{K}$ coincides with the Bloch space. In the case of $Q_{p}$ spaces we show that the condition, which is similar to that obtained by Hu , is also sufficient. This is a generalization of the result of Aulaskari, Xiao and Zhao for $Q_{p}$ spaces on the unit disk.


## 1. Introduction

Let $B^{m}$ denote the unit ball of $\mathbf{C}^{m}, S$ the boundary of $B^{m}$. For $z=\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{m}\right)$ and $a=\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{m}\right)$ in $B^{m}$, let $\langle z, a\rangle=z_{1} \bar{a}_{1}+\cdots+z_{m} \bar{a}_{m}$ and $|z|=\langle z, z\rangle^{1 / 2}$. The group of Möbius transformations of $B^{m}$ is denoted by $\operatorname{Aut}\left(B^{m}\right)$. For $a \in B$, let $\phi_{a} \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(B^{m}\right)$ be the Möbius transformation which satisfies $\phi_{a}(0)=a$ and $\phi_{a}^{-1}=\phi_{a}$.

By $H\left(B^{m}\right)$ we denote the collection of all holomorphic functions on $B^{m}$. For $f \in H\left(B^{m}\right)$ and $z=\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{m}\right) \in B^{m}$, let

$$
\nabla f(z)=\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{1}}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{2}}, \cdots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{m}}\right)
$$

denote the complex gradient of $f$, and

$$
\mathscr{R} f(z)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} z_{j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{j}}
$$

denote the radial derivative of $f$. The invariant gradient $\widetilde{\nabla} f(z)$ of $f$ is defined by $\widetilde{\nabla} f(z)=\nabla\left(f \circ \phi_{z}\right)(0) . \widetilde{\nabla} f(z)$ and $\nabla f(z)$ are related by ([11])

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\widetilde{\nabla} f(z)|^{2}=\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left(|\nabla f(z)|^{2}-|\mathscr{R} f(z)|^{2}\right) . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\nu$ denote the Lebsegue measure on $\mathbf{C}^{m}=\mathbf{R}^{2 m}$, so normalized that $\nu\left(B^{m}\right)=1$ and $\sigma$ the normalized surface measure on $S$ so that $\sigma(S)=1$. Let

$$
d \tau(z)=\frac{d \nu(z)}{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{m+1}},
$$

which is Möbius invariant.
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The Möbius invariant Green function of $B^{m}$ is defined by $G(z, a)=g\left(\left|\phi_{a}(z)\right|\right)$, where

$$
g(r)=\frac{m+1}{2 m} \int_{r}^{1}\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{m-1} t^{-2 m+1} d t
$$

For $m>1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{m}^{-1}\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{m} r^{-2(m-1)} \leq g(r) \leq C_{m}\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{m} r^{-2(m-1)}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{m}$ is a constant depending on $m$ only.
The notion of the spaces $Q_{p}$ was first considered for holomorphic functions defined on the unit disk $D$ of the complex plane $[3,5,6,16,17]$ and, later, generalized to hyperbolic Riemann surfaces and the unit ball of $\mathbf{C}^{m}[2,4,7,12]$. More general spaces $Q_{K}$ was introduced and investigated on the unit disk in [9], and on the unit ball $B^{m}$ of $\mathbf{C}^{m}$ in [18].

Let $K(t), 0<t<\infty$, be a non-negative and non-decreasing function, which is not equal to 0 identically. The Banach space $Q_{K}$ is defined by

$$
Q_{K}=\left\{f \in H\left(B^{m}\right): \sup _{a \in B^{m}} \int_{B^{m}}|\widetilde{\nabla} f(z)|^{2} K(G(z, a)) d \tau(z)<\infty\right\} .
$$

When $K(t)=t^{p}, 0<p<\infty, Q_{K}$ is denoted by $Q_{p}$. For $m>1$, it is proved in [12] that $Q_{p}$ contains non-constant functions if and only if $(m-1) / m<p<m /(m-1)$. For $Q_{K}$ spaces [18], this condition becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{r^{2 m-1}}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{m}} K(g(r)) d r<\infty \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper, $K(t)$ always denotes a function, formulated as above, and satisfies (1.3).

A function $f \in H\left(B^{m}\right)$ is called a Bloch function if

$$
\|f\|_{\mathscr{B}}=\sup _{z \in B^{m}}|\widetilde{\nabla} f(z)|<\infty .
$$

It is obvious that $\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)|\mathscr{R} f(z)| \leq\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)|\nabla f(z)| \leq|\widetilde{\nabla} f(z)|$ for $z \in B^{m}$. Further, Timoney [14] proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\mathscr{B}} \leq C \sup _{z \in B^{m}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)|\mathscr{R} f(z)| \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is an absolute constant. The class of all Bloch functions is called the Bloch space and denoted by $\mathscr{B} . Q_{K}$ is always a subspace of $\mathscr{B}$. In [18], it is proved that $Q_{K}=\mathscr{B}$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{r^{2 m-1}}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{m+1}} K(g(r)) d r<\infty \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case $m=1$ (see [9]), (1.5) is a necessary and sufficient condition for $Q_{K}=\mathscr{B}$.
Lacunary series have been involved in the study of $Q_{p}$ spaces. For a lacunary series $f(z)=\sum_{0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{2^{n}}$ on the unit disk, $0<p \leq 1$, to be in $Q_{p}$, Aulaskari, Xiao and Zhao [6] gave a necessary and sufficient condition: $f \in Q_{p}$ if and only if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{n(1-p)}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}<\infty$. This result was extended to $Q_{p}$ spaces on the unit ball by Hu [10] and, recently, to $Q_{K}$ spaces on the unit disk by Wulan and Zhu [15]. The purpose of this paper is to generalize the necessary condition to $Q_{K}$ spaces on the unit ball for a kind of lacunary series. As an application, we show that the condition
(1.5) for $m>1$ is also necessary for $Q_{K}=\mathscr{B}$. By the way, we show that the condition is also sufficient for $Q_{p}$ spaces on the unit ball. The condition for $Q_{p}$ spaces is similar to that of Hu.

Throughout this paper, $c, c_{1}, c_{2}, \cdots$ denote constants which depend on $m$ only and may have different values at different places.

## 2. A kind of lacunary series on the unit ball

In order to extend the result of Aulaskari and others to lacunary series on the unit ball, the key point is to construct homogeneous polynomials which play the role as $z^{n_{k}}$ do in the case of the unit disk. For this purpose we need the distance $d$ (see [1]) defined by

$$
d(\zeta, \xi)=\left(1-|\langle\zeta, \xi\rangle|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \quad \text { for } \quad \zeta, \xi \in S
$$

The $d$-ball $E_{\delta}(\zeta)$ with radius $\delta$ and center $\zeta \in S$ is defined by

$$
E_{\delta}(\zeta)=\{\xi \in S: d(\zeta, \xi)<\delta\} .
$$

A set $\Gamma \subset S$ is said to be $d$-separated by $\delta>0$, if $d$-balls with radius $\delta$ and centers at points of $\Gamma$ are pairwise disjoint. The following lemma was proved in [8].

Lemma 1. If $\Gamma \subset S$ is $d$-separated by $\delta>0$ and $n$ is a positive integer, then

$$
\sum_{\zeta \in \Gamma}|\langle\xi, \zeta\rangle|^{n} \leq 1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(k+2)^{2 m-2} e^{-k^{2} \delta^{2} n / 2} \quad \text { for } \quad \xi \in S
$$

Let $N$ be a positive integer and $\Gamma_{N} \subset S$ be the set of all points $\zeta=\left(\zeta_{1}, \cdots, \zeta_{m}\right)$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \zeta_{1}=\sin \frac{\left(N+n_{1}\right) \pi}{6 N}, \quad \zeta_{m}=e^{i l_{m-1} \pi /(2 N)} \cos \frac{\left(N+n_{1}\right) \pi}{6 N} \cdots \cos \frac{\left(N+n_{m-1}\right) \pi}{6 N} \\
& \zeta_{k}=e^{i l_{k-1} \pi /(2 N)} \cos \frac{\left(N+n_{1}\right) \pi}{6 N} \cdots \cos \frac{\left(N+n_{k-1}\right) \pi}{6 N} \sin \frac{\left(N+n_{k}\right) \pi}{6 N}, \quad 2 \leq k \leq m-1,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $n_{1}, l_{1}, \cdots, n_{m-1}, l_{m-1}$ are integers between 1 and $N$. Note that $\Gamma_{N}$ contains $N^{2(m-1)}$ different points.

Lemma 2. $d(\zeta, \xi) \geq 2^{-m} / N$, if $\zeta, \xi \in \Gamma_{N}$ and $\zeta \neq \xi$.
Proof. Let $\zeta$ and $\xi$ be two distinct points in $\Gamma_{N} \subset S$, which are defined by $n_{1}, l_{1}, \cdots, n_{m-1}, l_{m-1}$, and $n_{1}^{\prime}, l_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, n_{m-1}^{\prime}, l_{m-1}^{\prime}$, respectively. For $k=1, \cdots, m-1$, denote

$$
\alpha_{k}=\frac{\left(N+n_{k}\right) \pi}{6 N}, \quad \alpha_{k}^{\prime}=\frac{\left(N+n_{k}^{\prime}\right) \pi}{6 N}, \quad \beta_{k}=\frac{l_{k} \pi}{2 N}, \quad \beta_{k}^{\prime}=\frac{l_{k}^{\prime} \pi}{2 N} .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\zeta, \xi\rangle= & \sin \alpha_{1} \sin \alpha_{1}^{\prime}+e^{i\left(\beta_{m-1}-\beta_{m-1}^{\prime}\right)} \cos \alpha_{1} \cos \alpha_{1}^{\prime} \cdots \cos \alpha_{m-1} \cos \alpha_{m-1}^{\prime} \\
& +\sum_{k=2}^{m-1} e^{i\left(\beta_{k-1}-\beta_{k-1}^{\prime}\right)} \cos \alpha_{1} \cos \alpha_{1}^{\prime} \cdots \cos \alpha_{k-1} \cos \alpha_{k-1}^{\prime} \sin \alpha_{k} \sin \alpha_{k}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

We distinguish two cases.
(i) There exists a $j$ such that $1 \leq j \leq m-1$ and $n_{j} \neq n_{j}^{\prime}$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\langle\zeta, \xi\rangle| \leq & \sin \alpha_{1} \sin \alpha_{1}^{\prime}+\cos \alpha_{1} \cos \alpha_{1}^{\prime} \cdots \cos \alpha_{m-1} \cos \alpha_{m-1}^{\prime} \\
& +\sum_{k=2}^{m-1} \cos \alpha_{1} \cos \alpha_{1}^{\prime} \cdots \cos \alpha_{k-1} \cos \alpha_{k-1}^{\prime} \sin \alpha_{k} \sin \alpha_{k}^{\prime} \\
= & 1-\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \sigma_{k}\left(1-\cos \left(\alpha_{k}-\alpha_{k}^{\prime}\right)\right) \leq 1-\sigma_{j}\left(1-\cos \left(\alpha_{j}-\alpha_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sigma_{k}=\cos \alpha_{1} \cos \alpha_{1}^{\prime} \cdots \cos \alpha_{k-1} \cos \alpha_{k-1}^{\prime}$. Note that $\sigma_{1}=1$ and $4^{-m+2} \leq \sigma_{j}<1$. Thus, since $\pi /(6 N) \leq\left|\alpha_{j}-\alpha_{j}^{\prime}\right| \leq \pi / 6$,

$$
d(\zeta, \xi) \geq(1-|\langle\zeta, \xi\rangle|)^{1 / 2} \geq \sqrt{2} \sigma_{j}^{1 / 2} \sin \frac{\left|\alpha_{j}-\alpha_{j}^{\prime}\right|}{2} \geq \sqrt{2} \sigma_{j}^{1 / 2} \sin \frac{\pi}{12 N} \geq \frac{2^{-m}}{N}
$$

(ii) $n_{k}=n_{k}^{\prime}$ for $k=1, \cdots, m-1$ and there exists a $j$ such that $1 \leq j \leq m-1$ and $l_{j} \neq l_{j}^{\prime}$. Let $\sigma_{k}=\beta_{k}-\beta_{k}^{\prime}$ for $k=1, \cdots, m-1$, and let $A_{1}=\sin ^{2} \alpha_{1}, A_{k}=$ $\cos ^{2} \alpha_{1} \cdots \cos ^{2} \alpha_{k-1} \sin ^{2} \alpha_{k}$ for $k=2, \cdots, m-1$, and $A_{m}=\cos ^{2} \alpha_{1} \cdots \cos ^{2} \alpha_{m-1}$. Then,

$$
\langle\zeta, \xi\rangle=A_{1}+\sum_{k=2}^{m} e^{i \sigma_{k-1}} A_{k}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\langle\zeta, \xi\rangle|^{2} & =\left(A_{1}+\sum_{k=2}^{m} A_{k} \cos \sigma_{k-1}\right)^{2}+\left(\sum_{k=2}^{m} A_{k} \sin \sigma_{k-1}\right)^{2} \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{m} A_{k}^{2}+2 A_{1} \sum_{k=2}^{m} A_{k} \cos \sigma_{k-1}+2 \sum_{2 \leq k<l \leq m} A_{k} A_{l} \cos \left(\sigma_{k-1}-\sigma_{l-1}\right) \\
& \leq\left(A_{1}+\cdots+A_{m}\right)^{2}-2 A_{1} A_{j+1}\left(1-\cos \left(\beta_{j}-\beta_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $A_{1}+\cdots+A_{m}=1, A_{1} \geq 1 / 4$ and $A_{j+1} \geq 1 / 4^{m-1}$, we have

$$
|\langle\zeta, \xi\rangle|^{2} \leq 1-4^{-(m-1)} \sin ^{2} \frac{\left|\beta_{j}-\beta_{j}^{\prime}\right|}{2}
$$

and

$$
d(\zeta, \xi) \geq 2^{-(m-1)} \sin \frac{\pi}{4 N} \geq \frac{2^{-m}}{N}
$$

The lemma is proved.
By Lemma $2, \Gamma_{N}$ is $d$-separated by $2^{-m} /(2 N)$. Thus, using Lemma 1 , we have
Lemma 3. If $n$ is a positive integer, then

$$
\sum_{\zeta \in \Gamma_{N}}|\langle\xi, \zeta\rangle|^{n} \leq 1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(k+2)^{2 m-2} e^{-k^{2} 4^{-m-3 / 2} n / N^{2}} \quad \text { for } \quad \xi \in S .
$$

The following lemma is a direct consequence of Lemma 3.
Lemma 4. If $n$ is a positive integer, then

$$
\sum_{\zeta \in \Gamma_{N}, \zeta \neq \xi}|\langle\xi, \zeta\rangle|^{n} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(k+2)^{2 m-2} e^{-k^{2} 4^{-m-3 / 2} n / N^{2}} \quad \text { for } \xi \in \Gamma_{N} .
$$

It is easy to see that there exists a positive integer $n_{0}$, depending on $m$ only, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(k+2)^{2 m-2} e^{-4^{-m-3 / 2} n_{0} k^{2}}<\frac{1}{2} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For an integer $n \geq n_{0}$, let $N_{n}$ be the largest positive integer such that $n / N_{n}^{2} \geq n_{0}$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n^{1 / 2}}{2 n_{0}^{1 / 2}} \leq N_{n} \leq \frac{n^{1 / 2}}{n_{0}^{1 / 2}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 4,

$$
\sum_{\xi \in \Gamma_{N_{n}}, \xi \neq \zeta}|\langle\zeta, \xi\rangle|^{n}<\frac{1}{2} \quad \text { for } \quad \zeta \in \Gamma_{N_{n}},
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{\zeta,} \sum_{\xi \in \Gamma_{N_{n}}}\langle\zeta, \xi\rangle^{n} & \geq \sum_{\zeta \in \Gamma_{N_{n}}} 1-\sum_{\zeta \in \Gamma_{N_{n}}} \sum_{\xi \in \Gamma_{N_{n}},}|\langle\zeta \neq \zeta\rangle, \xi|^{n}  \tag{2.3}\\
& >\sum_{\zeta \in \Gamma_{N_{n}}} \frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2} N_{n}^{2(m-1)} \geq 2^{1-2 m} n_{0}^{1-m} n^{m-1}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, for $n \geq n_{0}$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(z)=\sum_{\zeta \in \Gamma_{N_{n}}}\langle z, \zeta\rangle^{n} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is an estimate for $\left|f_{n}(z)\right|$. In fact, by Lemma 3 and the definition of $N_{n}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|f_{n}(z)\right| & \left.\leq|z|^{n} \sum_{\zeta \in \Gamma_{N_{n}}}|\langle z /| z|, \zeta\right\rangle\left.\right|^{n} \leq|z|^{n}\left(1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(k+2)^{2 m-2} e^{-k^{2} 4^{-m-3 / 2} n / N_{n}^{2}}\right)  \tag{2.5}\\
& \leq|z|^{n}\left(1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(k+2)^{2 m-2} e^{-k^{2} 4^{-m-3 / 2} n_{0}}\right)=c|z|^{n} .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\Lambda_{n} \subset S$ for $n=n_{0}, n_{0}+1, \cdots$. The sequence of homogeneous polynomials

$$
f_{n}(z)=\sum_{\zeta \in \Lambda_{n}}\langle z, \zeta\rangle^{n}
$$

is called a normal sequence if it possesses the following property: there exists a positive constant $C$ such that
(i) $\left|f_{n}(z)\right| \leq C|z|^{n}$ for $z \in B^{m}$ and $n=n_{0}, n_{0}+1, \cdots$,
(ii) $\sum_{\zeta,} \sum_{\xi \in \Lambda_{n}}\langle\zeta, \xi\rangle^{n} \geq C^{-1} n^{m-1}$ for $n=n_{0}, n_{0}+1, \cdots$.

Because of (2.3) and (2.5), the sequence $f_{n}(z)$ defined by (2.4) is a normal sequence. In what following, we will consider all lacunary series defined by normal sequences of homogeneous polynomials.

## 3. A necessary condition for a lacunary series to be in $Q_{K}$ spaces

In this section we prove a necessary condition for a lacunary series defined by a normal sequence to belong to a $Q_{K}$ space on the unit ball.

Theorem 1. Let $f_{n}(z), n=n_{0}, n_{0}+1, \cdots$, be a normal sequence and

$$
f(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k} f_{n_{k}}(z),
$$

where $n_{0} \leq n_{1}<n_{2}<\cdots<n_{k}<\cdots$ is a sequence of positive integers such that $\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} n_{k+1} / n_{k}>1$. If $f \in Q_{K}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_{k}^{m} K\left(n_{k}^{-m}\right)\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}<\infty \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $f \in Q_{K}$. We have, by (1.1),

$$
|\widetilde{\nabla} f(z)|^{2}=\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left(\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_{k} a_{k} \sum_{\xi \in \Lambda_{n_{k}}}\langle z, \xi\rangle^{n_{k}-1} \bar{\xi}\right|^{2}-\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_{k} a_{k} \sum_{\xi \in \Lambda_{n_{k}}}\langle z, \xi\rangle^{n_{k}}\right|^{2}\right),
$$

and

$$
\int_{B^{m}}|\widetilde{\nabla} f(z)|^{2} \left\lvert\, K(g(|z|)) d \tau(z)=2 m \int_{0}^{1} \frac{r^{2 m-1}}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{m}}\left(\int_{S}\left(|A|^{2}-|B|^{2}\right) d \sigma(\zeta)\right) K(g(r)) d r\right.
$$

where

$$
A=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_{k} a_{k} r^{n_{k}-1} \sum_{\xi \in \Lambda_{n_{k}}}\langle\zeta, \xi\rangle^{n_{k}-1} \bar{\xi}, \quad B=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_{k} a_{k} r^{n_{k}} \sum_{\xi \in \Lambda_{n_{k}}}\langle\zeta, \xi\rangle^{n_{k}} .
$$

If $k \neq k^{\prime}$, integrating by slices gives
and

$$
\int_{S} \sum_{\xi \in \Lambda_{n_{k}}}\langle\zeta, \xi\rangle^{n_{k}-1} \sum_{\xi \in \Lambda_{n_{k^{\prime}}}} \overline{\langle\zeta, \xi\rangle^{n_{k^{\prime}}-1}} d \sigma(\zeta)=0 .
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{B^{m}}|\widetilde{\nabla} f(z)|^{2} \mid K(g(|z|)) & d \tau(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2 m n_{k}^{2}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{r^{2 n_{k}+2 m-3}}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{m}}  \tag{3.2}\\
& \cdot\left(\int_{S} A_{k}(\zeta) d \sigma(\zeta)-r^{2} \int_{S} B_{k}(\zeta) d \sigma(\zeta)\right) K(g(r)) d r
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{k}(\zeta)=\sum_{\xi, \xi^{\prime} \in \Lambda_{n_{k}}}\langle\zeta, \xi\rangle^{n_{k}-1} \overline{\left\langle\zeta, \xi^{\prime}\right\rangle}{ }^{n_{k}-1}\left\langle\bar{\xi}, \overline{\xi^{\prime}}\right\rangle, \quad B_{k}(\zeta)=\sum_{\xi, \xi^{\prime} \in \Lambda_{n_{k}}}\langle\zeta, \xi\rangle^{n_{k}}\left\langle\zeta, \xi^{\prime}\right\rangle^{n_{k}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\xi, \xi^{\prime} \in \Lambda_{n_{k}}$, let $T$ be a unitary transformation such that $T \xi=(1,0, \cdots, 0)$. Denote $\xi^{\prime \prime}=T \xi^{\prime}=\left(\xi_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \cdots, \xi_{m}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Then, $\xi_{1}^{\prime \prime}=\left\langle T \xi^{\prime}, T \xi\right\rangle=\left\langle\xi^{\prime}, \xi\right\rangle=\overline{\left\langle\xi, \xi^{\prime}\right\rangle}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\bar{\xi}, \overline{\xi^{\prime}}\right\rangle \int_{S}\langle\zeta, \xi\rangle^{n_{k}-1}{\overline{\left\langle\zeta, \xi^{\prime}\right.}}^{n_{k}-1} d \sigma(\zeta)=\left\langle\bar{\xi}, \overline{\left.\xi^{\prime}\right\rangle} \int_{S}\langle\zeta, T \xi\rangle^{n_{k}-1}\left\langle{\overline{\left.\zeta, T \xi^{\prime}\right\rangle}}^{n_{k}-1} d \sigma(\zeta)\right.\right. \\
& =\left\langle\bar{\xi}, \overline{\xi^{\prime}}\right\rangle \int_{S} \zeta_{1}^{n_{k}-1}\left(\overline{\zeta_{1}} \overline{\left\langle\xi, \xi^{\prime}\right\rangle}+\overline{\zeta_{2}} \xi_{2}^{\prime \prime}+\cdots+\overline{\zeta_{m}} \xi_{m}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{n_{k}-1} d \sigma(\zeta) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating by slices, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\bar{\xi}, \overline{\xi^{\prime}}\right\rangle \int_{S}\langle\zeta, \xi\rangle^{n_{k}-1}{\overline{\left\langle\zeta, \xi^{\prime}\right\rangle}}^{n_{k}-1} d \sigma(\zeta) & =\left\langle\bar{\xi}, \bar{\xi}^{\prime}\right\rangle^{n_{k}} \int_{S}\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2\left(n_{k}-1\right)} d \sigma(\zeta) \\
& =\left\langle\bar{\xi}, \overline{\left.\xi^{\prime}\right\rangle}{ }^{n_{k}} \cdot \frac{(m-1)!\left(n_{k}-1\right)!}{\left(m-1+n_{k}-1\right)!},\right. \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where the known formula [13]

$$
\int_{S}\left|\zeta_{1}^{\beta_{1}} \cdots \zeta_{m}^{\beta_{m}}\right|^{2} d \sigma(\zeta)=\frac{(m-1)!\beta_{1}!\cdots \beta_{m}!}{\left(m-1+\beta_{1}+\cdots+\beta_{m}\right)!}
$$

is used. By the same reason,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}\langle\zeta, \xi\rangle^{n_{k}}{\overline{\left\langle\zeta, \xi^{\prime}\right\rangle}}^{n_{k}} d \sigma(\zeta)=\left\langle\bar{\xi}, \overline{\xi^{\prime}}\right\rangle^{n_{k}} \cdot \frac{(m-1)!n_{k}!}{\left(m-1+n_{k}\right)!} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by condition (ii) of the normal sequence, (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{S} A_{k}(\zeta) d \sigma(\zeta)-r^{2} \int_{S} B_{k}(\zeta) d \sigma(\zeta) \\
& =\left(\frac{(m-1)!\left(n_{k}-1\right)!}{\left(m-1+n_{k}-1\right)!}-\frac{r^{2}(m-1)!n_{k}!}{\left(m-1+n_{k}\right)!}\right) \sum_{\xi, \xi^{\prime} \in \Lambda_{n_{k}}}\left\langle\bar{\xi}, \overline{\xi^{\prime}}\right\rangle^{n_{k}}  \tag{3.6}\\
& \geq C^{-1} n_{k}^{m-1} \cdot \frac{(m-1)!\left(n_{k}-1\right)!\left(m-1+n_{k}\left(1-r^{2}\right)\right)}{\left(m-1+n_{k}\right)!} \\
& \geq \frac{C^{-1}(m-1)!n_{k}^{m-2} n_{k}!}{\left(m-1+n_{k}\right)!}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, it follows from (3.2) and (3.6) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\int_{B^{m}}|\widetilde{\nabla} f(z)|^{2}\left|K(g(|z|)) d \tau(z) \geq c^{-1} C^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\right| a_{k}\right|^{2} n_{k} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{r^{2 n_{k}+2 m-3}}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{m}} \cdot K(g(r)) d r, \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is a positive number depending on $m$ only. By (1.2),

$$
K(g(r)) \geq K\left(c_{2}^{-1}(1-r)^{m}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad 1 / 2 \leq r \leq 1 .
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1} \frac{r^{2 n_{k}+2 m-3}}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{m}} \cdot K(g(r)) d r \geq c_{1}^{-1} \int_{1 / 2}^{1} \frac{r^{2 n_{k}-1}}{(1-r)^{m}} \cdot K\left(c_{2}^{-1}(1-r)^{m}\right) d r \\
& \geq c_{1}^{-1} \int_{0}^{\log 2} t^{-m} e^{-2 n_{k} t} K\left(c_{3}^{-1} t^{m}\right) d t \geq c_{1}^{-1} K\left(n_{k}^{-m}\right) \int_{c_{3}^{1 / m} n_{k}^{-1}}^{\log 2} t^{-m} e^{-2 n_{k} t} d t \\
& =c_{1}^{-1} n_{k}^{m-1} K\left(n_{k}^{-m}\right) \int_{c_{3}^{1 / m}}^{n_{k} \log 2} t^{-m} e^{-2 t} d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $k^{\prime}$ be sufficiently large such that $n_{k^{\prime}} \log 2 \geq c_{3}^{1 / m}+1$. Then, for $k \geq k^{\prime}$,

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{r^{2 n_{k}+2 m-3}}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{m}} \cdot K(g(r)) d r \geq C^{-1} c^{-1} n_{k}^{m-1} K\left(n_{k}^{-m}\right)
$$

and, by (3.7),

$$
\infty>\left.\int_{B^{m}}|\widetilde{\nabla} f(z)|^{2}\left|K(g(|z|)) d \tau(z) \geq C^{-1} c^{-1} \sum_{k=k^{\prime}}^{\infty} n_{k}^{m} K\left(n_{k}^{-m}\right)\right| a_{k}\right|^{2} .
$$

This shows (3.1) and the theorem is proved.
It can be seen from the proof that the condition (i) for the normal sequence $f_{n}(z)$ and the lacunary condition for the sequence $n_{k}$ are not necessary for Theorem 1 . As an implication of Theorem 1, we prove that (1.5) is also necessary for $Q_{K}=\mathscr{B}$ on the unit ball $B^{m}$.

Theorem 2. If $Q_{K}=\mathscr{B}$ on the unit ball $B^{m}$, then (1.5) holds.
Proof. Assume that $Q_{K}=\mathscr{B}$. Among lacunary series defined by normal sequences, we consider

$$
f(z)=\sum_{k=k_{0}}^{\infty} f_{2^{k}}(z)
$$

where $f_{2^{k}}(z)$ are constructed by (2.4)and $2^{k_{0}} \geq n_{0}$. By (2.5), $\left|f_{2^{k}}(z)\right| \leq c|z|^{2^{k}}$ for $k \geq k_{0}$ and $z \in B^{m}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)|\mathscr{R} f(z)| & \leq\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \sum_{k=k_{0}}^{\infty}\left|\mathscr{R} f_{2^{k}}(z)\right| \leq\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \sum_{k=k_{0}}^{\infty} 2^{k}\left|f_{2^{k}}(z)\right| \\
& \leq c\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \sum_{k=k_{0}}^{\infty} 2^{k}|z|^{2^{k}} \leq 4 c(1-|z|) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}|z|^{n} \leq 4 c .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (1.4), this shows that $f \in \mathscr{B}$ and, consequently, $f \in Q_{K}$ since $Q_{K}=\mathscr{B}$. Using Theorem 1 gives

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{m k} K\left(2^{-m k}\right)<\infty
$$

By (1.2), we have

$$
\int_{1 / 2}^{1} \frac{r^{2 m-1}}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{m+1}} K(g(r)) d r \leq \int_{1 / 2}^{1} \frac{K\left(c(1-r)^{m}\right)}{(1-r)^{m+1}} d r \leq c_{1} \int_{0}^{c^{1 / m} \log 2} t^{-(m+1)} K\left(t^{m}\right) d t
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1 / 2} t^{-(m+1)} K\left(t^{m}\right) d t & =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^{-(k+1)}}^{2^{-k}} t^{-(m+1)} K\left(t^{m}\right) d t \\
& \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-(k+1)} 2^{(m+1)(k+1)} K\left(2^{-m k}\right) \\
& =2^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{m k} K\left(2^{-m k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

since $K$ is non-decreasing. Thus,

$$
\int_{1 / 2}^{1} \frac{r^{2 m-1}}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{m+1}} K(g(r)) d r<\infty
$$

Combining this with (1.3), we obtain (1.5). The theorem is proved.

## 4. The necessary and sufficient condition for a lacunary series to be in $Q_{p}$ spaces

The condition (3.1) in Theorem 1 is not sufficient if one does not put any extra restriction on $K$. We don't know what is a better restriction. Now, we can prove the sufficiency only for $Q_{p}$ spaces, $(m-1) / m<p \leq 1$.

In [10], the following equivalent characterization for $Q_{p}$ spaces on the unit ball $B^{m}$ with $m>1$ was proved.

Lemma 5. Let $(m-1) / m<p \leq 1$. Then, for $f \in H\left(B^{m}\right), f \in Q_{p}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{a \in B^{m}} \int_{B^{m}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2}|\mathscr{R} f(z)|^{2}\left(1-\left|\phi_{a}(z)\right|^{2}\right)^{m p} d \tau(z)<\infty . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following lemma can be found in [13].
Lemma 6. If $\lambda>0$ and $z \in B^{m}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S} \frac{d \sigma(\zeta)}{|1-\langle\zeta, z\rangle|^{m+\lambda}} \leq \frac{c}{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\lambda}} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3. Let $(m-1) / m<p \leq 1$ and $f$ be defined as in Theorem 1. Then, $f \in Q_{p}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2} n_{k}^{m(1-p)}<\infty \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The necessity of the condition (4.3) follows from Theorem 1. Now, assume that (4.3) holds. By condition (i) of the normal sequence, for $z \in B^{m}$,

$$
|\mathscr{R} f(z)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}\right| n_{k}\left|f_{n_{k}}(z)\right| \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}\right| n_{k}|z|^{n_{k}} .
$$

Let $0<\eta<1$. For $0<r<1$, using Schwarz's inequality gives

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}\right| n_{k} r^{n_{k}}\right)^{2} \leq\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_{k}^{\eta} r^{n_{k}}\right)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_{k}^{2-\eta}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2} r^{n_{k}}\right) .
$$

There exists an $M>1$ such that $n_{k} /\left(n_{k}-n_{k-1}\right) \leq M$ for $k=1,2, \cdots$, since $\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} n_{k+1} / n_{k}>1$. Let $\mu_{0}=0$ and $n_{k}|\log r|=\mu_{k}$ for $k=1,2, \cdots$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_{k}^{\eta} r^{n_{k}} & =|\log r|^{-\eta} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_{k}^{\eta} e^{-\mu_{k}} \\
& =|\log r|^{-\eta} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{n_{k}}{n_{k}-n_{k-1}}\left(\mu_{k}-\mu_{k-1}\right) \mu_{k}^{\eta-1} e^{-\mu_{k}} \\
& \leq M|\log r|^{-\eta} \Gamma(\eta)
\end{aligned}
$$

By (1.2), the concavity of $t^{p}$ and (4.2), for $a \in B^{m}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{S}\left(1-\left|\phi_{a}(r \zeta)\right|^{2}\right)^{m p} d \sigma(\zeta) & =\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)^{m p}\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{m p} \int_{S} \frac{d \sigma(\zeta)}{|1-\langle r a, \zeta\rangle|^{2 m p}} \\
& \leq\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)^{m p}\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{m p}\left(\int_{S} \frac{d \sigma(\zeta)}{|1-\langle r a, \zeta\rangle|^{2 m}}\right)^{p} \\
& \leq c \cdot \frac{\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)^{m p}\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{m p}}{\left(1-|a|^{2} r^{2}\right)^{m p}} \leq c\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{m p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the above estimates, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(a) & =\int_{B^{m}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2}|\mathscr{R} f(z)|^{2}\left(1-\left|\phi_{a}(z)\right|^{2}\right)^{m p} d \tau(z) \\
& =\int_{B^{m}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{-m+1}|\mathscr{R} f(z)|^{2}\left(1-\left|\phi_{a}(z)\right|^{2}\right)^{m p} d \nu(z) \\
& \leq c_{1} M \Gamma(\eta) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{r^{2 m-1}|\log r|^{-\eta}}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{m-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2} n_{k}^{2-\eta} r^{n_{k}} d r \int_{S}\left(1-\left|\phi_{a}(r \zeta)\right|^{2}\right)^{m p} d \sigma(\zeta) \\
& \leq c_{2} M \Gamma(\eta) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2} n_{k}^{2-\eta} \int_{0}^{1} r^{2 m-1+n_{k}}|\log r|^{-\eta}\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{1-m(1-p)} d r .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to calculate that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} r^{2 m-1+n_{k}}|\log r|^{-\eta}\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{1-m(1-p)} d r & \leq 2 \int_{0}^{1} r^{n_{k}}|\log r|^{-\eta}(1-r)^{1-m(1-p)} d r \\
& =2 \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-\eta}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)^{1-m(1-p)} e^{-n_{k} t} d t \\
& \leq 2 \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{1-\eta-m(1-p)} e^{-n_{k} t} d t \\
& =2 n_{k}^{\eta+m(1-p)-2} \Gamma(2-\eta-m(1-p))
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
I(a) \leq c M \Gamma(\eta) \Gamma(2-\eta-m(1-p)) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2} n_{k}^{m(1-p)}
$$

holds for $a \in B^{m}$. Because of (4.3), the right side of the above inequality is a finite number. Using Lemma 5 , we see that $f \in Q_{p}$. The theorem is proved.
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