

GENERAL DECAY OF SOLUTIONS TO A VISCOELASTIC WAVE EQUATION WITH NONLINEAR LOCALIZED DAMPING

Wenjun Liu

Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, College of Mathematics and Physics
Nanjing 210044, P. R. China; wjliu@nuist.edu.cn

Abstract. In this paper we consider the nonlinear viscoelastic equation

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + \int_0^t g(t - \tau) \Delta u(\tau) d\tau + a(x) |u_t|^m u_t + b |u|^\gamma u = 0$$

in a bounded domain. We prove that, for certain class of relaxation functions and certain initial data, the decay rate of the solution energy is similar to that of the relaxation function regardless of the presence or the absence of the frictional damping. This result improves earlier ones in Berrimi and Messaoudi [1] in which only the exponential decay rate is obtained.

1. Introduction

In [1], Berrimi and Messaoudi studied the following nonlinear problem:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & u_{tt} - \Delta u + \int_0^t g(t - \tau) \Delta u(\tau) d\tau + a(x) u_t |u_t|^m + |u|^\gamma u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ & u(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \quad t \geq 0, \\ & u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where Ω is a bounded domain of \mathbf{R}^n ($n \geq 1$) with a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, $\gamma > 0$, $m \geq 0$, g is a positive function, and $a: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ is a function, which may vanish on any part of Ω (including Ω itself). Under the condition that

$$g'(t) \leq -\xi g(t) \quad \text{for } t \geq 0$$

for some positive constant ξ , the authors obtained an exponential decay result under weaker conditions on both a and g which improved [5].

In fact, in [5], Cavalcanti et al. dealt with the equation

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & u_{tt} - \Delta u + \int_0^t g(t - \tau) \Delta u(\tau) d\tau + a(x) u_t + |u|^\gamma u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ & u(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \quad t \geq 0, \\ & u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where $a: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ is a function, which may be null on a part of Ω . Assuming that $a(x) \geq a_0 > 0$ on $\omega \subset \Omega$, with ω satisfying some geometry restrictions and

$$-\xi_1 g(t) \leq g'(t) \leq -\xi_2 g(t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 35B35, 35L20, 35L70

Key words: General decay, viscoelastic equation, exponential decay, polynomial decay, relaxation function, nonlinear localized damping.

such that $\|g\|_{L^1((0,\infty))}$ is small enough, they obtained an exponential decay result of energy for (1.2). This work extended the result of Zuazua [20], in which he considered (1.2) with $g = 0$ and the linear damping is localized. A related problem, in a bounded domain, of the form

$$|u_t|^\rho u_{tt} - \Delta u - \Delta u_{tt} + \int_0^t g(t - \tau)\Delta u(\tau) d\tau - \gamma \Delta u_t = 0,$$

for $\rho > 0$, was also studied by Cavalcanti et al. [3]. A global existence result for $\gamma \geq 0$, as well as an exponential decay for $\gamma > 0$, has been established. This last result has been extended to a situation, where $\gamma = 0$, by Messaoudi and Tatar [18, 17] and exponential and polynomial decay results have been established in the absence, as well as in the presence, of a source term.

Recently, Messaoudi [15] studied (1.1) without the nonlinear localized damping term $a(x)|u_t|^m u_t$ and the nonlinear term $|u|^\gamma u$. He proved that the solution energy decays at the same rate of decay of the relaxation function, which is not necessarily decaying in a *polynomial or exponential* fashion. Motivated by the ideas of Messaoudi [15], Han and Wang [8] investigated the general decay of solution energy for the nonlinear viscoelastic equation

$$|u_t|^\rho u_{tt} - \Delta u - \Delta u_{tt} + \int_0^t g(t - \tau)\Delta u(\tau) d\tau + |u_t|^m u_t = 0.$$

Since the term $-\Delta u_{tt}$ was included, the nonlinear damping term $|u_t|^m u_t$ can be controlled there. For other related works, we refer the reader to [6]–[14] and [19].

In the present paper we are also concerned with problem (1.1). Our intention is to show that, for certain class of relaxation functions and certain initial data, the decay rate of the solution energy is similar to that of g (see (G2) below) regardless of the presence or the absence of the frictional damping. Therefore, our result allows a larger class of relaxation functions and improves earlier results in [1] in which only the exponential rate was considered. Our ideas come from [15] while we should overcome the difficulty brought by the control of the nonlinear localized damping term $a(x)u_t|u_t|^m$.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we introduce some notations and prepare some materials. Section 3 contains the statement and proof of our result concerning the general decay of the solution.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we present some materials needed in the proof of our main result. We use the standard Lebesgue space $L^p(\Omega)$ and Sobolev space $H_0^1(\Omega)$ with their usual scalar products and norms. We will also use the embedding $H_0^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$ for $2 \leq q \leq 2n/(n - 2)$ if $n \geq 3$ or $q \geq 2$ if $n = 1, 2$ and $L^r(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$ for $q < r$. We will use the same embedding constant denoted by C_p ; i.e.,

$$\|v\|_q \leq C_p \|\nabla v\|_2, \quad \|v\|_q \leq C_p \|v\|_r.$$

For the relaxation function $g(t)$ we assume

(G1) $g: \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ is a bounded \mathcal{C}^1 function such that $g(0) > 0$ and

$$1 - \int_0^\infty g(s) ds = l > 0.$$

(G2) There exist a positive differentiable functions $\xi(t)$ satisfying

$$g'(t) \leq -\xi(t)g(t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

where

$$\left| \frac{\xi'(t)}{\xi(t)} \right| \leq k, \quad \xi(t) > 0, \quad \xi'(t) \leq 0 \quad \forall t > 0, \quad \int_0^{+\infty} \xi(t) dt = +\infty.$$

Remark 2.1. (G1) is necessary to guarantee the hyperbolicity of the equation (1.1).

Remark 2.2. Since $\xi(t)$ is nonincreasing then $\xi(t) \leq \xi(0) = M$.

We introduce the same “modified” energy functional as in [1]

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{E}(t) := \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \int_0^t g(s) ds \right) \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|u_t\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} (g \circ \nabla u)(t) + \frac{1}{\gamma + 2} \|u\|_{\gamma+2}^{\gamma+2},$$

where

$$(2.2) \quad (g \circ v)(t) = \int_0^t g(t - \tau) \|v(t) - v(\tau)\|_2^2 d\tau, \quad p \geq 1.$$

Lemma 2.3. (See Remark 2.3 of [1]) *The modified energy functional satisfies, along the solution of (1.1),*

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}'(t) &\leq - \left(\int_{\Omega} a(x) |u_t|^{m+2} dx - \frac{1}{2} (g' \circ \nabla u)(t) + \frac{1}{2} g(t) \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 \right) \\ &\leq - \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u_t|^{m+2} dx + \frac{1}{2} (g' \circ \nabla u)(t) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.4. This means that the “modified” energy is uniformly bounded (by $\mathcal{E}(0)$) and is decreasing in t .

We also need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.5. (See Lemma 3.1 of [1]) *Let $m \leq 2/(n - 2)$ for $n \geq 3$. Then there exists a constant C depending on $C_p, \|a\|_{\infty}, \mathcal{E}(0)$, and m only, such that the solution of (1.1) satisfies*

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u|^{m+2} dx \leq C (\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|u\|_{\gamma+2}^{\gamma+2}).$$

3. General decay of the solution

In this section we state and prove that the rate of decay of energy is similar to that of the relaxation function. We suppose that $u_0, u_1 \in H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$. Then, the existence of a unique global solution is guaranteed by Proposition 2.1 of [1].

We define the functional

$$(3.1) \quad F(t) := \mathcal{E}(t) + \varepsilon_1 \Psi(t) + \varepsilon_2 \chi(t)$$

where ε_1 and ε_2 are positive constants to be specified later and

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(t) &:= \xi(t) \int_{\Omega} u u_t dx, \\ \chi(t) &:= -\xi(t) \int_{\Omega} u_t \int_0^t g(t - \tau) (u(t) - u(\tau)) d\tau dx. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.1. This functional for $\xi(t) \equiv 1$ was first introduced in [1, 2], and for $\xi(t) \not\equiv 1$ it was first introduced in [15].

Our main result reads as follow.

Theorem 3.2. Assume that g satisfies (G1) and (G2), such that

$$0 \leq \max\{m, \gamma\} \leq \frac{2}{n-2}, \quad n \geq 3.$$

Then, for each $t_0 > 0$, there exist strictly positive constants K and κ such that the solution of (1.1) satisfies

$$(3.2) \quad E(t) \leq Ke^{-\kappa \int_{t_0}^t \xi(s) ds}, \quad t \geq t_0.$$

To prove the above result, we establish a series of lemmas by combining the arguments of [1] and [15].

Lemma 3.3. For ε_1 and ε_2 small, we have

$$(3.3) \quad \alpha_1 F(t) \leq \mathcal{E}(t) \leq \alpha_2 F(t)$$

holds for two positive constants α_1 and α_2 .

Proof. By using Young’s inequality, Sobolev embedding theorem and (2.1), we easily deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} F(t) &\leq \mathcal{E}(t) + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} \xi(t) \int_{\Omega} |u_t|^2 dx + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} \xi(t) \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx \\ &\quad + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2} \xi(t) \int_{\Omega} |u_t|^2 dx + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2} \xi(t) \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_0^t g(t-\tau)(u(t) - u(\tau)) d\tau \right)^2 dx \\ &\leq \mathcal{E}(t) + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} M \int_{\Omega} |u_t|^2 dx + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} C_p M \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \\ &\quad + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2} M \int_{\Omega} |u_t|^2 dx + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2} C_p(1-l)M(g \circ \nabla u)(t) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha_1} \mathcal{E}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(t) &\geq \mathcal{E}(t) - \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} M \int_{\Omega} |u_t|^2 dx - \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} C_p M \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \\ &\quad - \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2} M \int_{\Omega} |u_t|^2 dx - \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2} C_p(1-l)M(g \circ \nabla u)(t) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} l \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|u_t\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} (g \circ \nabla u)(t) + \frac{1}{\gamma+2} \|u\|_{\gamma+2}^{\gamma+2} \\ &\quad - \frac{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2}{2} M \int_{\Omega} |u_t|^2 dx - \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} C_p M \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2} C_p(1-l)M(g \circ \nabla u)(t) \\ &\geq \left(\frac{l}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} C_p M \right) \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2}{2} M \right) \|u_t\|_2^2 \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2} C_p(1-l)M \right) (g \circ \nabla u)(t) + \frac{1}{\gamma+2} \|u\|_{\gamma+2}^{\gamma+2} \geq \frac{1}{\alpha_1} \mathcal{E}(t) \end{aligned}$$

for ε_1 and ε_2 small enough. □

Lemma 3.4. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.2, the functional*

$$\Psi(t) := \xi(t) \int_{\Omega} uu_t dx$$

satisfies, along solutions of (1.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi'(t) \leq & \left[1 + \frac{2kC_p^2}{l} \right] \xi(t) \int_{\Omega} u_t^2 dx - \frac{l}{8} \xi(t) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \\ (3.4) \quad & - \frac{4-l}{4} \xi(t) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\gamma+2} dx + \frac{1-l}{2l} \xi(t) (g \circ \nabla u)(t) \\ & + c(\delta) \xi(t) \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u_t|^{m+2} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using equation (1.1), we easily see that

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi'(t) &= \xi(t) \int_{\Omega} (uu_{tt} + u_t^2) dx + \xi'(t) \int_{\Omega} uu_t dx \\ (3.5) \quad &= \xi(t) \int_{\Omega} u_t^2 dx - \xi(t) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \\ &+ \xi(t) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u(t) \int_0^t g(t-\tau) \nabla u(\tau) d\tau dx - \xi(t) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\gamma+2} dx \\ &- \xi(t) \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u_t|^m u_t u dx + \xi'(t) \int_{\Omega} uu_t dx. \end{aligned}$$

For the third term of the right-hand side of (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \nabla u(t) \int_0^t g(t-\tau) \nabla u(\tau) d\tau dx \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(t)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_0^t g(t-\tau) (|\nabla u(\tau) - \nabla u(t)| + |\nabla u(t)|) d\tau \right)^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

We then use Cauchy-Schwarz and Young's inequality, and the fact that $\int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau \leq \int_0^\infty g(\tau) d\tau = 1-l$ to obtain, for any $\eta > 0$ (see also [1])

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_0^t g(t-\tau) (|\nabla u(\tau) - \nabla u(t)| + |\nabla u(t)|) d\tau \right)^2 dx \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_0^t g(t-\tau) (|\nabla u(\tau) - \nabla u(t)| d\tau \right)^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_0^t g(t-\tau) |\nabla u(t)| d\tau \right)^2 dx \\ & \quad + 2 \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_0^t g(t-\tau) (|\nabla u(\tau) - \nabla u(t)| d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^t g(t-\tau) |\nabla u(t)| d\tau \right) dx \\ & \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\eta} \right) \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t g(t-\tau) d\tau \int_0^t g(t-\tau) |\nabla u(\tau) - \nabla u(t)|^2 d\tau dx \\ & \quad + (1+\eta) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(t)|^2 \left(\int_0^t g(t-\tau) d\tau \right)^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq (1 + \eta)(1 - l)^2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(t)|^2 dx \\ &\quad + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\eta}\right) (1 - l) \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t g(t - \tau) |\nabla u(\tau) - \nabla u(t)|^2 d\tau dx. \end{aligned}$$

For the fifth term of the right-hand side of (3.5), we use Young’s inequality and Lemma 2.5 to get

$$\begin{aligned} (3.6) \quad \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u_t|^m u_t u dx &\leq \delta \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u|^{m+2} dx + c(\delta) \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u_t|^{m+2} dx \\ &\leq c(\delta) \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u_t|^{m+2} dx + \delta C \{ \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|u\|_{\gamma+2}^{\gamma+2} \}. \end{aligned}$$

By combining (3.5)–(3.6) and using

$$\int_{\Omega} uu_t dx \leq \alpha C_p^2 \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{4\alpha} \|u_t\|_2^2,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi'(t) &\leq \left[1 + \frac{1}{4\alpha} \left| \frac{\xi'(t)}{\xi(t)} \right| \right] \xi(t) \int_{\Omega} u_t^2 dx - \xi(t) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\gamma+2} dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - (1 + \eta)(1 - l)^2 - 2 \left| \frac{\xi'(t)}{\xi(t)} \right| \alpha C_p^2 \right] \xi(t) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(t)|^2 dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\eta}\right) (1 - l) \xi(t) (g \circ \nabla u)(t) + c(\delta) \xi(t) \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u_t|^{m+2} dx \\ &\quad + \delta C \xi(t) \{ \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|u\|_{\gamma+2}^{\gamma+2} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\left| \frac{\xi'(t)}{\xi(t)} \right| \leq k$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi'(t) &\leq \left[1 + \frac{1}{4\alpha} k \right] \xi(t) \int_{\Omega} u_t^2 dx - \xi(t) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\gamma+2} dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} [1 - (1 + \eta)(1 - l)^2 - 2k\alpha C_p^2] \xi(t) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(t)|^2 dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\eta}\right) (1 - l) \xi(t) (g \circ \nabla u)(t) + c(\delta) \xi(t) \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u_t|^{m+2} dx \\ &\quad + \delta C \xi(t) \{ \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|u\|_{\gamma+2}^{\gamma+2} \}. \end{aligned}$$

By choosing $\eta = l/(1 - l)$, $\delta = l/4C$ and $\alpha = l/8kC_p^2$, (3.4) is established. □

Lemma 3.5. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.2, the functional*

$$\chi(t) := -\xi(t) \int_{\Omega} u_t \int_0^t g(t - \tau) (u(t) - u(\tau)) d\tau dx$$

satisfies, along solutions of (1.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \chi'(t) &\leq \delta \left\{ 1 + 2(1-l)^2 + C_p \left(\frac{2\mathcal{E}(0)}{l} \right)^\gamma \right\} \xi(t) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \\
 &+ \left[\left(\frac{1}{2\delta} + 2\delta + \frac{C_p(k+1)}{4\delta} \right) (1-l) + \frac{C(\delta)}{m+2} \|a\|_\infty \left(\frac{2\mathcal{E}(0)}{l} \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \xi(t) (g \circ \nabla u)(t) \\
 (3.7) \quad &+ \frac{g(0)}{4\delta} C_p \xi(t) (-g' \circ \nabla u)(t) + \left[\delta(k+1) - \int_0^t g(s) ds \right] \xi(t) \int_\Omega u_t^2 dx \\
 &+ \delta(1-l) \frac{m+1}{m+2} \xi(t) \int_\Omega a(x) |u_t|^{m+2} dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Direct computations, using (1.1), yield

$$\begin{aligned}
 \chi'(t) &= -\xi(t) \int_\Omega u_{tt} \int_0^t g(t-\tau)(u(t) - u(\tau)) d\tau dx \\
 &\quad - \xi(t) \int_\Omega u_t \int_0^t g'(t-\tau)(u(t) - u(\tau)) d\tau dx \\
 &\quad - \xi(t) \left(\int_0^t g(s) ds \right) \int_\Omega u_t^2 dx - \xi'(t) \int_\Omega u_t \int_0^t g(t-\tau)(u(t) - u(\tau)) d\tau dx \\
 (3.8) \quad &= \xi(t) \int_\Omega \nabla u(t) \left(\int_0^t g(t-\tau)(\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(\tau)) d\tau \right) dx \\
 &\quad - \xi(t) \int_\Omega \left(\int_0^t g(t-\tau) \nabla u(\tau) d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^t g(t-\tau)(\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(\tau)) d\tau \right) dx \\
 &\quad + \xi(t) \int_\Omega a(x) u_t |u_t|^m \int_0^t g(t-\tau)(u(t) - u(\tau)) d\tau dx \\
 &\quad + \xi(t) \int_\Omega |u|^\gamma u \int_0^t g(t-\tau)(u(t) - u(\tau)) d\tau dx \\
 &\quad - \xi(t) \int_\Omega u_t \int_0^t g'(t-\tau)(u(t) - u(\tau)) d\tau dx - \xi(t) \left(\int_0^t g(s) ds \right) \int_\Omega u_t^2 dx \\
 &\quad - \xi'(t) \int_\Omega u_t \int_0^t g(t-\tau)(u(t) - u(\tau)) d\tau dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to (3.5), we estimates the right-hand side terms of the above inequality (see also [1]). So for $\delta > 0$, we have: For the first term,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.9) \quad &\int_\Omega \nabla u(t) \left(\int_0^t g(t-\tau)(\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(\tau)) d\tau \right) dx \\
 &\leq \delta \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{1-l}{4\delta} (g \circ \nabla u)(t).
 \end{aligned}$$

For the second term,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_\Omega \left(\int_0^t g(t-s) \nabla u(s) ds \right) \left(\int_0^t g(t-s)(\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(s)) ds \right) dx \\
 &\leq \delta \int_\Omega \left| \int_0^t g(t-s) \nabla u(s) ds \right|^2 dx + \frac{1}{4\delta} \int_\Omega \left| \int_0^t g(t-s)(\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(s)) ds \right|^2 dx
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \delta \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_0^t g(t-s) |\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(s)| ds \right)^2 dx \\ &\quad + 2\delta(1-l)^2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{4\delta}(1-l)(g \circ \nabla u)(t) \\ &\leq \left(2\delta + \frac{1}{4\delta} \right) (1-l)(g \circ \nabla u)(t) + 2\delta(1-l)^2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

For the third term, we use Hölder’s inequality, Young’s inequality and Lemma 2.3 to get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} a(x)u_t|u_t|^m \int_0^t g(t-\tau)(u(t) - u(\tau)) d\tau dx \\ &\leq \int_0^t g(t-\tau) \left(\int_{\Omega} a(x)|u_t|^{m+2} dx \right)^{\frac{m+1}{m+2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} a(x)|u(t) - u(\tau)|^{m+2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{m+2}} d\tau \\ &\leq \delta \frac{m+1}{m+2} \int_0^t g(t-\tau) d\tau \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u_t|^{m+2} dx \\ &\quad + \frac{C(\delta)}{m+2} \int_0^t g(t-\tau) \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u(t) - u(\tau)|^{m+2} dx d\tau \\ &\leq \delta(1-l) \frac{m+1}{m+2} \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u_t|^{m+2} dx \\ &\quad + \frac{C(\delta)}{m+2} \|a\|_{\infty} \int_0^t g(t-\tau) \|\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(\tau)\|_2^{m+2} d\tau \\ &\leq \delta(1-l) \frac{m+1}{m+2} \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u_t|^{m+2} dx + \frac{C(\delta)}{m+2} \|a\|_{\infty} \left(\frac{2\mathcal{E}(0)}{l} \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} (g \circ \nabla u)(t). \end{aligned}$$

For the fourth term,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.10) \quad &\int_{\Omega} |u|^{\gamma} u \int_0^t g(t-\tau)(u(t) - u(\tau)) d\tau dx \\ &\leq \delta \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2(\gamma+1)} dx + \frac{1}{4\delta} \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_0^t g(t-\tau)(u(t) - u(\tau)) d\tau \right)^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

We use (2.1) and (2.3) to obtain

$$(3.11) \quad \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2(\gamma+1)} dx \leq C_p \|\nabla u\|_2^{2(\gamma+1)} \leq C_p \left(\frac{2\mathcal{E}(0)}{l} \right)^{\gamma} \|\nabla u\|_2^2.$$

By inserting (3.11) in (3.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} |u|^{\gamma} u \int_0^t g(t-\tau)(u(t) - u(\tau)) d\tau dx \\ &\leq \delta C_p \left(\frac{2\mathcal{E}(0)}{l} \right)^{\gamma} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{C_p(1-l)}{4\delta} (g \circ \nabla u)(t). \end{aligned}$$

For the fifth term,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.12) \quad & - \int_{\Omega} u_t \int_0^t g'(t - \tau)(u(t) - u(\tau)) \, d\tau \, dx \\
 & \leq \delta \int_{\Omega} |u_t|^2 \, dx + \frac{g(0)}{4\delta} C_p \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t -g'(t - s) |\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(s)|^2 \, ds \, dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

For the last term,

$$(3.13) \quad \int_{\Omega} u_t \int_0^t g(t - \tau)(u(t) - u(\tau)) \, d\tau \, dx \leq \delta \int_{\Omega} u_t^2 \, dx + \frac{C_p(1 - l)}{4\delta} (g \circ \nabla u)(t).$$

By combining (3.8)–(3.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.14) \quad \chi'(t) & \leq \delta \left\{ 1 + 2(1 - l)^2 + C_p \left(\frac{\mathcal{E}(0)}{l} \right)^{2\gamma} \right\} \xi(t) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \\
 & + \left[\left(\frac{1}{2\delta} + 2\delta + \frac{C_p(k + 1)}{4\delta} \right) (1 - l) + \frac{C(\delta)}{m + 2} \|a\|_{\infty} \left(\frac{2\mathcal{E}(0)}{l} \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \xi(t) (g \circ \nabla u)(t) \\
 & + \frac{g(0)}{4\delta} C_p \xi(t) (-g' \circ \nabla u)(t) + \left[\delta \left(\left| \frac{\xi'(t)}{\xi(t)} \right| + 1 \right) - \int_0^t g(s) \, ds \right] \xi(t) \int_{\Omega} u_t^2 \, dx \\
 & + \delta(1 - l) \frac{m + 1}{m + 2} \xi(t) \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u_t|^{m+2} \, dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\left| \frac{\xi'(t)}{\xi(t)} \right| \leq k$, the assertion of the lemma is established. □

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Since g is positive, continuous, and $g(0) > 0$ then for any $t_0 > 0$ we have

$$(3.15) \quad \int_0^t g(s) \, ds \geq \int_0^{t_0} g(s) \, ds = g_0 > 0 \quad \forall t \geq t_0.$$

Using (3.1), (3.4), (3.7), and (3.15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 F'(t) & \leq - \left[1 - \varepsilon_1 c(\delta) - \varepsilon_2 \delta (1 - l) \frac{m + 1}{m + 2} \right] \xi(t) \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u_t|^{m+2} \, dx \\
 & - \left[\varepsilon_2 \{g_0 - \delta(k + 1)\} - \varepsilon_1 \left(1 + \frac{2kC_p^2}{l} \right) \right] \xi(t) \int_{\Omega} u_t^2 \, dx \\
 & - \left[\frac{\varepsilon_1 l}{8} - \varepsilon_2 \delta \left(1 + 2(1 - l)^2 + C_p \left(\frac{2\mathcal{E}(0)}{l} \right)^{\gamma} \right) \right] \xi(t) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \\
 & - \varepsilon_1 \frac{4 - l}{4} \xi(t) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\gamma+2} \, dx + \left[\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon_2 \frac{g(0)}{4\delta} C_p M \right] (g' \circ \nabla u)(t) \\
 & + \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon_1(1 - l)}{2l} + \varepsilon_2 \left[\left(\frac{1}{2\delta} + 2\delta + \frac{C_p(k + 1)}{4\delta} \right) (1 - l) \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. + \frac{C(\delta)}{m + 2} \|a\|_{\infty} \left(\frac{2\mathcal{E}(0)}{l} \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \right\} \xi(t) (g \circ \nabla u)(t).
 \end{aligned}$$

At this point we choose δ so small that

$$\frac{g_0 - \delta(k + 1)}{1 + \frac{2kC_p^2}{l}} > \frac{1}{2}g_0,$$

$$\frac{8}{l}\delta \left\{ 1 + 2(1 - l)^2 + C_p \left(\frac{2\mathcal{E}(0)}{l} \right)^\gamma \right\} < \frac{1}{4}g_0.$$

Whence δ is fixed, the choice of any two positive constants ε_1 and ε_2 satisfying

$$(3.16) \quad \frac{1}{4}g_0\varepsilon_2 < \varepsilon_1 < \frac{1}{2}g_0\varepsilon_2$$

will make

$$k_1 := \varepsilon_2 \{g_0 - \delta(k + 1 + \|a\|_\infty)\} - \varepsilon_1 \left(1 + \frac{2kC_p^2}{l} \right) > 0$$

$$k_2 := \frac{\varepsilon_1 l}{8} - \varepsilon_2 \delta \left\{ 1 + 2(1 - l)^2 + C_p \left(\frac{2\mathcal{E}(0)}{l} \right)^\gamma \right\} > 0.$$

We then pick ε_1 and ε_2 so small that (3.3) and (3.16) remain valid and

$$k_3 := 1 - \varepsilon_1 c(\delta) - \varepsilon_2 \delta (1 - l) \frac{m + 1}{m + 2} > 0,$$

$$k_4 := \left[\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon_2 \frac{g(0)}{4\delta} C_p M \right] - \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon_1 (1 - l)}{2l} + \varepsilon_2 \left[\left(\frac{1}{2\delta} + 2\delta + \frac{C_p(k + 1)}{4\delta} \right) (1 - l) + \frac{C(\delta)}{m + 2} \|a\|_\infty \left(\frac{2\mathcal{E}(0)}{l} \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \right\} > 0.$$

Hence

$$\left[\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon_2 \frac{g(0)}{4\delta} C_p M \right] (g' \circ \nabla u)(t) + \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon_1 (1 - l)}{2l} + \varepsilon_2 \left[\left(\frac{1}{2\delta} + 2\delta + \frac{C_p(k + 1)}{4\delta} \right) (1 - l) + \frac{C(\delta)}{m + 2} \|a\|_\infty \left(\frac{2\mathcal{E}(0)}{l} \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \right\} \xi(t) (g \circ \nabla u)(t) \leq -k_4 \xi(t) (g \circ \nabla u)(t),$$

since $\xi(t)$ is nonincreasing. Therefore, we arrive at

$$F'(t) \leq -\beta \xi(t) \mathcal{E}(t) \quad \forall t \geq t_0.$$

This inequality and (3.3) yield

$$F'(t) \leq -\beta \alpha_1 \xi(t) F(t) \quad \forall t \geq t_0.$$

A simple integration leads to

$$F(t) \leq F(t_0) e^{-\beta \alpha_1 \int_{t_0}^t \xi(s) ds} \quad \forall t \geq t_0.$$

This inequality and (3.3) yields

$$(3.17) \quad \mathcal{E}(t) \leq F(t_0) e^{-\beta \alpha_1 \int_{t_0}^t \xi(s) ds} = K e^{-\kappa \int_{t_0}^t \xi(s) ds} \quad \forall t \geq t_0,$$

which completes the proof. □

Similar as in [15], we have the following Remarks.

Remark 3.6. This result generalizes and improves the results of [1]. In particular, it allows some relaxation functions which satisfy $g' \leq -ag^p, 1 \leq p < 2$ instead of $p = 1$.

Remark 3.7. Note that the exponential decay estimate, given in [1] is only a particular case of (3.17). More precisely, we can obtain exponential decay for $\xi(t) \equiv a$ and polynomial decay for $\xi(t) \equiv a(1+t)^{-1}$, where $a > 0$ is a constant.

Remark 3.8. Estimate (3.17) is also true for $t \in [0, t_0]$ by virtue of the continuity and boundedness of $E(t)$ and $\xi(t)$.

Acknowledgment. The work was supported by the Science Research Foundation of Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology. The author wish to express his gratitude to the anonymous referee for a number of valuable comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] BERRIMI, S., and S. A. MESSAOUDI: Exponential decay of solutions to a viscoelastic equation with nonlinear localized damping. - *Electron. J. Differential Equations* 2004:88, 2004, 1–10.
- [2] BERRIMI, S., and S. A. MESSAOUDI: Existence and decay of solutions of a viscoelastic equation with a nonlinear source. - *Nonlinear Anal.* 64, 2006, 2314–2331.
- [3] CAVALCANTI, M. M., V. N. DOMINGOS CAVALCANTI, and J. FERREIRA: Existence and uniform decay for nonlinear viscoelastic equation with strong damping. - *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* 24, 2001, 1043–1053.
- [4] CAVALCANTI, M. M., V. N. DOMINGOS CAVALCANTI, J. S. PRATES FILHO, and J. A. SORIANO: Existence and uniform decay rates for viscoelastic problems with nonlinear boundary damping. - *Differential Integral Equations* 14:1, 2001, 85–116.
- [5] CAVALCANTI, M. M., V. N. DOMINGOS CAVALCANTI, and J. A. SORIANO: Exponential decay for the solution of semilinear viscoelastic wave equations with localized damping. - *Electron. J. Differential Equations* 2002:44, 2002, 1–14.
- [6] CACALCANTI, M. M., and H. P. OQUENDO: Frictional versus viscoelastic damping in a semilinear wave equation. - *SIAM J. Control Optim.* 42:4, 2003, 1310–1324.
- [7] GEORGIEV, V., and G. TODOROVA: Existence of solutions of the wave equation with nonlinear damping and source terms. - *J. Differential Equations* 109, 1994, 295–308.
- [8] HAN, X. S., and M. X. WANG: General decay of energy for a viscoelastic equation with nonlinear damping. - *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, DOI: 10.1002/mma.1041.
- [9] HARAUX, A., and E. ZUAZUA: Decay estimates for some semilinear damped hyperbolic problems. - *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 150, 1988, 191–206.
- [10] KAWASHIMA, S., and Y. SHIBATA: Global Existence and exponential stability of small solutions to nonlinear viscoelasticity. - *Comm. Math. Phys.* 148:1, 1992, 189–208.
- [11] KOPACKOVA, M.: Remarks on bounded solutions of a semilinear dissipative hyperbolic equation. - *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* 30, 1989, 713–719.
- [12] LEVINE, H. A., and S. RO PARK: Global existence and global nonexistence of solutions of the Cauchy problem for a nonlinearly damped wave equation. - *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 228, 1998, 181–205.
- [13] LIU, W. J.: The exponential stabilization of the higher-dimensional linear system of thermo-viscoelasticity. - *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 37, 1998, 355–386.
- [14] MESSAOUDI, S. A.: Blow up and global existence in a nonlinear viscoelastic wave equation. - *Math. Nachr.* 260, 2003, 58–66.
- [15] MESSAOUDI, S. A.: General decay of solutions of a viscoelastic equation. - *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 341, 2008, 1457–1467.
- [16] MESSAOUDI, S. A., and N.-E. TATAR: Global existence asymptotic behavior for a nonlinear viscoelastic problem. - *Math. Methods Sci. Research J.* 7:4, 2003, 136–149.

- [17] MESSAOUDI, S. A., and N.-E. TATAR: Global existence and uniform stability of solutions for a quasilinear viscoelastic problem. - *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* 30, 2007, 665–680.
- [18] MESSAOUDI, S. A., and N.-E. TATAR: Exponential and polynomial decay for a quasilinear viscoelastic equation. - *Nonlinear Anal.* 68, 2008, 785–793.
- [19] VITILLARO, E.: Global nonexistence theorems for a class of evolution equations with dissipation. - *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 149, 1999, 155–182.
- [20] ZUAZUA, E.: Exponential decay for the semilinear wave equation with locally distributed damping. - *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 15, 1990, 205–235.

Received 9 June 2008