

Series A

I. MATHEMATICA

598

ON IRREDUCIBLE MODULES OF A LIE ALGEBRA
WHICH ARE COMPOSED OF FINITE-DIMENSIONAL
MODULES OF A SUBALGEBRA

BY

JOUKO MICKELSSON

HELSINKI 1975
SUOMALAINEN TIEDEAKATEMIA

Copyright © 1975 by
Academia Scientiarum Fennica
ISSN 0066-1953
ISBN 951-41-0214-2

Communicated 19 September 1974

KESKUSKIRJAPAINO
HELSINKI 1975

1. Introduction

Let G be a Lie algebra and K a subalgebra of G . If K is semi-simple (or at least reductive) then the finite-dimensional K -modules are well-known. We can then pose the following question: What are the irreducible G -modules which, when regarded as a K -module, are direct sums of irreducible finite-dimensional K -modules? We call such modules K -finite.

This problem has been extensively studied in the following special case (see e.g. [1]–[3], [5], [7]): Let \mathcal{G} be a non-compact semisimple Lie group and let \mathcal{K} be the maximal compact subgroup of \mathcal{G} . Let G (resp. K) be the Lie algebra of \mathcal{G} (resp. \mathcal{K}). As was shown by Harish-Chandra, study of unitary irreducible representations of \mathcal{G} in a Hilbert space leads in a natural way to a study of irreducible K -finite G -modules.

In this paper G is an arbitrary (finite-dimensional) complex Lie algebra and K is a semi-simple (or reductive) subalgebra of G . The work is divided into two parts. In section 3 we study irreducible G -modules admitting a vector of maximal weight λ with respect to a Cartan subalgebra H_T of G such that $H = K \cap H_T$ is a Cartan subalgebra of K . We prove that for a »special» subalgebra K (Definition 3.7) and for any weight λ such that the restriction $\lambda|_H$ is a dominant integral weight of K there exists a unique equivalence class of K -finite G -modules which have the maximal weight λ .

In section 4 we study irreducible G -modules V with the help of the minimal component V_{\min} of V ; if α is a dominant integral weight of K we denote by V_α the sum of all irreducible finite-dimensional K -modules in V which have α as their maximal weight; by definition $V_{\min} = V_\alpha$ if $V_\alpha \neq 0$ and $V_\beta = 0$ for all $\beta < \alpha$. Let $\text{rank } G = \text{rank } K$. We prove that if α is »large enough» (see Definition 4.1) then there exists a unique equivalence class $[V]$ of irreducible K -finite G -modules V such that $V_{\min} = V_\alpha$. Such G -modules are called discrete because they are completely characterized by the weight α i.e. by a sequence of integers consisting of the components of α .

We take profit at crucial steps (Theorem 3.9 and Lemma 4.7) of the results of J. Lepowsky and G. W. McCollum, [6]: If V is a G -module

such that $V_\alpha \neq 0$ for some dominant integral weight α and V is generated by V_α ($V = \mathcal{E}(C)V_\alpha$ where $\mathcal{E}(G)$ is the enveloping algebra of G) then V is K -finite. In addition V is completely determined by the action of $\mathcal{E}(K)C$ on V_α where C is the centralizer of K in $\mathcal{E}(G)$. These results have earlier been obtained by Harish-Chandra, [1] and [2], in case G is semi-simple.

See also for related recent results by van den Hombergh in »A note on Mickelsson's step algebra» and »On some Harish-Chandra modules» (to appear in *Indagationes Mathematicae*).

The author would like to thank Professor Kalevi Suominen for reading the manuscript and suggesting improvements.

2. Notation

In this paper all Lie algebras are finite-dimensional. All algebras and vector spaces are over \mathbf{C} , the field of complex numbers. If A is an algebra, V an A -module, S a subset of A and X a subset of V we denote by SX the subset $\{sx \mid s \in S, x \in X\}$ of V .

We denote by V^* the dual space of a vector space V .

Let K be a semi-simple Lie algebra, H a Cartan subalgebra of K and \langle, \rangle the Killing form on K . Because \langle, \rangle is non-degenerate, there exists (for each $\alpha \in H^*$) an element $h_\alpha \in H$ such that $\langle h_\alpha, h \rangle = \alpha(h)$ for all $h \in H$. Let $(,)$ be the symmetric non-degenerate bilinear form on H^* defined by $(\alpha, \beta) = \langle h_\alpha, h_\beta \rangle$.

For any Lie algebra G , we denote by $x \mapsto \text{ad } x$ the adjoint representation of G , $\text{adx}(y) = [x, y]$.

If L is any Lie algebra then $\mathcal{E}(L)$ is the universal enveloping algebra of L . If L' is a subalgebra of L then $\mathcal{E}(L')$ can be identified in a natural way with a subalgebra of $\mathcal{E}(L)$.

If $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of L then the monomials $x_{i(1)}x_{i(2)} \dots x_{i(k)}$ ($i(1) \leq i(2) \leq \dots \leq i(k)$) along with 1 give a basis of $\mathcal{E}(L)$ (Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem).

For any subset S of $\mathcal{E}(L)$ we denote by $\mathcal{J}(S)$ the left ideal generated by S , $\mathcal{J}(S) = \mathcal{E}(L)S$.

Let \mathcal{B} be an associative algebra, \mathcal{A}_1 an ideal of \mathcal{B} and V a $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{A}_1$ -module. If \mathcal{A}_2 is any ideal of \mathcal{B} such that $\mathcal{A}_2 \subset \mathcal{A}_1$ then by the extension of V into a $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{A}_2$ -module we mean the $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{A}_2$ -module V , where the action of $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{A}_2$ is defined by

$$(b + \mathcal{A}_2)v = i(b)v; \quad b \in \mathcal{B}, \quad v \in V,$$

where $i: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{A}_1$ is the canonical projection.

3. Modules with maximal weight

If L is any Lie algebra and H_L a Cartan subalgebra of L then we can write

$$L = H_L \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \neq 0} L_\alpha$$

where L_α is the root subspace corresponding to the non-zero root α . Because we assume L to be finite-dimensional, the sum is finite. By definition L_α consists of all elements $x \in L$ such that

$$(\text{ad } h - \alpha(h))^n x = 0 \text{ for some positive integer } n .$$

Let H_L^* be the dual of H_L and $\{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_l\}$ a fixed basis of H_L . Let $\lambda, \mu \in H_L^*$ with $\lambda \neq \mu$. We say that λ is bigger than μ ($\lambda > \mu$) if the first non-zero number in the sequence

$$\lambda(h_1) - \mu(h_1), \dots, \lambda(h_l) - \mu(h_l)$$

is of the form $x + iy$ with $x > 0$ or $x = 0$ and $y > 0$. We denote by $L_+(L_-)$ the solvable subalgebra of L generated by the subspaces L_α with $\alpha > 0$ ($\alpha < 0$).

Definition 3.1. Let V be an L -module. We denote by V^+ the subspace of V consisting of all vectors v with the property $xv = 0$ for all $x \in L_+$. We say that V is bounded above if $1 \leq \dim V^+ < \infty$.

Lemma 3.2. *If V is an irreducible L -module which is bounded above then $\dim V^+ = 1$ and there exists $\lambda \in H_L^*$ such that $hv = \lambda(h)v$ for each $v \in V^+$ and $h \in H_L$. (We say that λ is the maximal weight of V and v is a maximal vector.)*

Proof. Because $1 \leq \dim V^+ < \infty$ and H_L is nilpotent there is a common eigenvector $v \in V^+$ of all $h \in H_L$ (V^+ is clearly H_L -invariant). Because of the irreducibility of V and of the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem we have $V = \mathcal{C}(L_-)v$. If $v' = uv$, $u \in \mathcal{C}(L_-)L_-$, is another vector in V^+ then

$$V' = \mathcal{C}(L)v' = \mathcal{C}(L_-)\mathcal{C}(H_L)v'$$

is a non-trivial ($v \notin V'$) invariant subspace if $v' \neq 0$. Thus $v' = 0$ and $\{v\}$ is a basis of V^+ .

Definition 3.3. Let V be an L -module. For each $\alpha \in H_L^*$ the weight subspace V_α consists of all vectors $v \in V$ for which

$$(h - \alpha(h))^n v = 0 \text{ for all } h \in H_L \text{ and for some positive integer } n .$$

Theorem 3.4. *Let L be a Lie algebra and let H_L be a Cartan subalgebra of L . For each $\lambda \in H_L^*$ such that $\lambda|_{[H_L, H_L]} = 0$ there exists a unique equivalence class of irreducible L -modules which are bounded above and have maximal weight λ . Any such an L -module is a direct sum of weight subspaces*

of finite dimension.

Proof. We define $W^\lambda = \mathcal{E}(L)/\mathcal{J}_\lambda$ where \mathcal{J}_λ is an ideal,

$$\mathcal{J}_\lambda = \mathcal{J}(L_+) + \mathcal{J}(\{h - \lambda(h) \cdot \mathbf{1} \mid h \in H_L\}).$$

W^λ is an L_- (and $\mathcal{E}(L) -$) module in a natural way. Let $v_0 = \mathbf{1} + \mathcal{J}_\lambda$. Then

$$L_+ v_0 = 0, \quad h v_0 = \lambda(h) v_0 \quad (h \in H_L), \quad W^\lambda = \mathcal{E}(L_-) v_0.$$

It follows that a basis of W^λ is given by vectors of the type

$$(*) \quad e_{\beta_1} e_{\beta_2} \dots e_{\beta_k} v_0 \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

where e_{β_i} ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$) is any element of some fixed basis of L_{β_i} and $0 > \beta_1 \geq \beta_2 \geq \dots \geq \beta_k$ are negative roots of L . Let W_α^λ be the subspace of W^λ spanned by the vectors (*) for which

$$\alpha = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k + \lambda.$$

We show by induction on k that W_α^λ is a weight subspace with weight α . Assume that the vector v has weight β ,

$$(h - \beta(h))^n v = 0 \quad \text{for all } h \in H_L.$$

Let γ be a root and $e_\gamma \in L_\gamma$,

$$(\text{ad } h - \gamma(h))^m e_\gamma = 0 \quad \text{for all } h \in H_L.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (h - (\beta + \gamma)(h))^{n+m} e_\gamma v &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+m} (\text{ad } h - \gamma(h))^k e_\gamma \cdot \binom{n+m}{k} \\ &\cdot (h - \beta(h))^{n+m-k} v = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the weight of $e_\gamma v$ is $\beta + \gamma$. It follows that each $v \in W_\alpha^\lambda$ is of weight $\alpha \leq \lambda$. It is clear that $\dim W_\alpha^\lambda < \infty$ and each vector of weight α belongs to W_α^λ . Note that W_λ^λ is spanned by the vector $v_0 = \mathbf{1} + \mathcal{J}_\lambda$. Let N^λ be the sum of all invariant subspaces in W^λ which do not contain v_0 . Then $v_0 \notin N^\lambda$ and we define

$$V^\lambda = W^\lambda / N^\lambda.$$

The L -module V^λ is irreducible, has $v_0 + N^\lambda$ as the maximal vector and λ is the maximal weight. The uniqueness part of the proof goes as in the case of a semisimple Lie algebra (see [4, p. 109]).

Let G be a Lie algebra, K a semi-simple subalgebra of G and H a Cartan subalgebra of K . Because K is semi-simple, there exists a subspace T in G such that $G = K \oplus T$ and $[K, T] \subset T$. We denote by T_0 the null component of H in T ,

$$T_0 = \{x \in T \mid [h, x] = 0 \quad \forall h \in H\}.$$

Lemma 3.5. *Let H_T be a Cartan subalgebra of the Lie algebra $H + T_0 \subset G$. Then $H \subset H_T$ and H_T is even a Cartan subalgebra of G .*

Proof. There exists $x \in H + T_0$ such that

$$H_T = \{y \in H + T_0 \mid (\text{ad } x)^n y = 0 \text{ for some } n \in \mathbf{N}\},$$

[4, pp.79–80]. Now $[x, H] = 0$ for all $x \in H + T_0$, thus $H \subset H_T$. Next let S be the normalizer of H_T in G . From $[S, H_T] \subset H_T$ it follows that $[S, H] = 0$ and therefore $S \subset H + T_0$. Because H_T is a Cartan subalgebra of $H + T_0$ it follows that $S = H_T$ and we can conclude that H_T is a Cartan subalgebra of G .

Let Φ be the set of roots of K relative to H , $\Delta \subset \Phi$ is a set of simple roots and Φ^+ (resp. Φ^-) is the set of positive (resp. negative) roots with respect to Δ . Next we divide T into weight subspaces,

$$T_\lambda = \{x \in T \mid [h, x] = \lambda(h)x, \forall h \in H\}.$$

We denote by Ψ the set of weights of K in T , Ψ^+ (resp. Ψ^-) is the set of positive (resp. negative) weights in Ψ relative to an ordered basis $\{h_1, \dots, h_l\}$ of H which is dual to the basis $\{h_{\alpha_1}, \dots, h_{\alpha_l}\}$,

$$\alpha_i(h_j) = \langle h_{\alpha_i}, h_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}.$$

Here $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l$ are the distinct simple roots of K .

Definition 3.6. The semi-simple subalgebra K of G is a special subalgebra if

$$\mathbf{N}(\{\alpha\}) \cap \mathbf{N}(\Psi^+) = \{0\}$$

for all $\alpha \in \Delta$. If $\Omega \subset H^*$ is any subset, we denote by $\mathbf{N}(\Omega)$ the linear span of Ω with non-negative integral coefficients.

Example 3.7. Let $G = \text{gl}(n, \mathbf{C})$, the Lie algebra with basis $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n$ and commutation relations

$$[e_{ij}, e_{kl}] = \delta_{jk}e_{il} - \delta_{il}e_{kj}.$$

Let K be the subalgebra spanned by the vectors $(2 \leq p \leq n - 2)$

$$e_{ij}, i \neq j, 1 \leq i, j \leq p; e_{ii} - e_{i+1, i+1}, i = 1, 2, \dots, p - 1;$$

and

$$e_{ij}, i \neq j, p + 1 \leq i, j \leq n; e_{ii} - e_{i+1, i+1}, i = p + 1, p + 2, \dots, n - 1.$$

Note that K is isomorphic to $A_{p-1} \oplus A_{n-p-1}$. As H we can take the subalgebra of K spanned by the vectors $e_{ii} - e_{i+1, i+1}, 1 \leq i \leq n - 1, i \neq p$. It is easily seen that in this case

$$T_0 = \left\{ a \sum_{i=1}^p e_{ii} + b \sum_{i=p+1}^n e_{ii} \mid a, b \in \mathbf{C} \right\}$$

and $H_T = H + T_0$. Using the properties of the roots of the classical simple Lie algebras A_l it is not difficult to verify that K is special.

We return to the general case. Let K be a special subalgebra of G and $l = \text{rank } K$, $p = \text{rank } G$. We fix an ordered basis $\{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_p\}$ of H_T such that $\{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_l\}$ is the basis of H described above. We define the following subalgebras of G :

$$G_+ = K_+ + \sum_{\lambda > 0} T_\lambda + S_+,$$

$$G_- = K_- + \sum_{\lambda < 0} T_\lambda + S_-$$

where K_+ (resp. K_-) is the subalgebra of K spanned by the vectors belonging to positive (resp. negative) roots of K . We define

$$H + T_0 = S_+ + S_- + H_T$$

to be the corresponding decomposition for $H + T_0$. Because of our choice of basis of H_T (see also Lemma 3.5) it is clear that $G = G_+ + G_- + H_T$ is a similar decomposition for G relative to the Cartan subalgebra H_T .

Definition 3.8. A G -module V is K -finite if it is a sum of finite-dimensional K -modules when considered as a K -module by restriction to K .

Let Δ be the set of dominant integral elements in H^* :

$$\Delta = \{ \lambda \in H^* \mid (\lambda, \alpha) \text{ is a non-negative integer for all } \alpha \in \Delta \}.$$

Theorem 3.9. Let K be a special subalgebra of G . Then for each $\lambda \in H_T^*$ such that $\lambda|_H \in \Delta$ and $\lambda|_{[H_T, H_T]} = 0$ there exists a unique equivalence class of K -finite irreducible G -modules which are bounded above and have λ as the maximal weight.

Proof. The uniqueness follows from Theorem 3.4. We have to prove the existence. We define an ideal

$$\mathfrak{J}_\lambda = \mathfrak{J}(G_+) + \mathfrak{J}(\{h - \lambda(h) \cdot \mathbf{1} \mid h \in H_T\})$$

and $W^\lambda = \mathcal{E}(G)/\mathfrak{J}_\lambda$. Consider the subset S_λ of W^λ ,

$$S_\lambda = \{ e_{-\alpha}^{n_\alpha+1} + \mathfrak{J}_\lambda \mid \alpha \in \Delta \}$$

where $e_{-\alpha}$ belongs to the root $-\alpha$ and

$$n_\alpha = 2 \cdot \frac{(\lambda|_H, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)}, \quad \alpha \in \Delta.$$

Let $U^\lambda = \mathcal{E}(G)S_\lambda$ be the submodule of W^λ generated by S_λ . We claim that U^λ does not contain the vector $\mathbf{1} + \mathfrak{J}_\lambda$. It is well-known that S_λ

is annihilated by K_+ (see [4, p. 115]). Now W^λ is a direct sum of weight subspaces, W^λ is spanned by the vector $\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{J}_\lambda$ and λ is the highest weight in W^λ (compare the proof of Theorem 3.4). Suppose that $\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{J}_\lambda \in U^\lambda$; using the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem it is easily seen that then there exists $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_k \in \Psi^+$ such that

$$\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_k - (n_\alpha + 1) \cdot \alpha = 0$$

for at least one weight $\alpha \in \Delta$. But this is impossible because K is a special subalgebra of G .

Let again N^λ be the sum of all invariant subspaces of W^λ not containing the vector $\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{J}_\lambda$. It is clear that $U^\lambda \subset N^\lambda$. We define

$$V^\lambda = W^\lambda / N^\lambda.$$

The G -module V^λ is irreducible and has a maximal vector $v = \mathbf{1} + \mathcal{J}_\lambda + N^\lambda$ of weight λ . Furthermore, V^λ contains a finite-dimensional K -module, namely ${}^{\mathcal{E}}(K)v$ ([4, p. 115]). It follows from proposition 4.2, [6], that V^λ is K -finite. (See also [1, Theorem 1.]

4. Discrete G -modules

If not otherwise stated, the notation of the previous sections is in force also in this section.

Let C be the centralizer of K in ${}^{\mathcal{E}}(G)$. The algebra C is a finitely generated subalgebra of ${}^{\mathcal{E}}(G)$ (see [8, p. 162, Theorem 2.3.1.4]).

Let an ordered basis $\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_r\}$ be given for the subspace T of G , such that

$$[h, t_i] = \lambda_i(h)t_i, \quad h \in H, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, r,$$

where $\lambda_i \in \Psi$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, r$) and $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_r$. We complete this to an ordered basis of G ,

$$\{t_i, e_{\alpha_i}, h_i, e_{\beta_i}\}$$

where the order is defined through the ordering of roots,

$$\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots < \alpha_q < 0 < \beta_1 < \beta_2 < \dots < \beta_q,$$

and through a labelling of the basis elements h_i of H . According to the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem this ordering induces a basis for ${}^{\mathcal{E}}(G)$ by ordered monomials in the basis elements of G . If $u \in {}^{\mathcal{E}}(G)$ is such a basis vector we denote by $\text{deg}(u)$ the number of vectors t_i contained in u . If $v \in {}^{\mathcal{E}}(G)$ is an arbitrary (finite) linear combination of ordered monomials,

$$v = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k u_k \quad (a_k \in \mathbf{C}),$$

we define $\text{deg } (v) = \max_{k=1, \dots, n} \text{deg } (u_k)$.

Definition 4.1. Let c_1, c_2, \dots, c_ζ be a generating sequence of C . We define

$$n_c = \max_{k=1, 2, \dots, \zeta} \text{deg } (c_k).$$

We call an element λ of Λ , the set of dominant integral weights of K , large if

$$\lambda + \omega_1 + \omega_2 + \dots + \omega_k \in \Lambda \text{ for all } \omega_i \in \Psi, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n_c.$$

Let V be any G -module. Consider V as a K -module by restriction. For any $\lambda \in \Lambda$ we denote by V_λ the sum of all irreducible finite-dimensional K -submodules of V with maximal weight λ . We define

$$V_\lambda^+ = \{x \in V_\lambda \mid e_\beta x = 0 \quad \forall \beta \in \Phi^+\},$$

the subspace of vectors with maximal weight in V_λ ; in other words,

$$V_\lambda^+ = \{x \in V_\lambda \mid hx = \lambda(h)x \quad \forall h \in H\}.$$

We denote by \mathcal{D}_λ the annihilator in $\mathcal{E}(K)$ of the maximal vector in an irreducible finite-dimensional K -module with maximal weight λ ; according to [4, p. 115],

$$\mathcal{D}_\lambda = \mathcal{J}(K_+) + \mathcal{J}(\{h - \lambda(h) \cdot \mathbf{1} \mid h \in H\}) + \mathcal{J}(\{e_{-\alpha}^{n_\alpha+1} \mid \alpha \in \Delta\})$$

where K_+ and the numbers n_α are defined as in section 3.

For all β and α in Λ we define $A_{\beta, \alpha}$ to be the subset of $\mathcal{E}(G)$ for which

$$A_{\beta, \alpha} V_\alpha^+ \subset V_\beta^+$$

for any G -module V .

Lemma 4.2. $A_{\beta, \alpha} = \{u \in \mathcal{E}(G) \mid \mathcal{D}_\beta u \subset \mathcal{E}(G) \mathcal{D}_\alpha\}$.

Proof. Let V be a G -module such that $V_\alpha \neq 0$. Any such G -module is a factor module of the left-module $\mathcal{E}(G)/{}^{\mathcal{E}(G)}\mathcal{D}_\alpha$. It follows that

$$A_{\beta, \alpha} = \{u \in \mathcal{E}(G) \mid u V_\alpha^+ \subset V_\beta^+\}$$

where $V = \mathcal{E}(G)/{}^{\mathcal{E}(G)}\mathcal{D}_\alpha$. Let now $u \in A_{\beta, \alpha}$. If $x = \mathbf{1} + {}^{\mathcal{E}(G)}\mathcal{D}_\alpha$ then $x \in V_\alpha^+$ and

$$ux = u + {}^{\mathcal{E}(G)}\mathcal{D}_\alpha \in V_\beta^+$$

and therefore $\mathcal{D}_\beta u \subset \mathcal{E}(G) \mathcal{D}_\alpha$. To prove the converse, assume that $\mathcal{D}_\beta u \subset \mathcal{E}(G) \mathcal{D}_\alpha$. Let $x \in V_\alpha^+$. Then

$$\mathcal{D}_\beta ux \subset \mathcal{E}(G)\mathcal{D}x = 0 .$$

It follows that $\mathcal{E}(K)ux$ is a finite-dimensional K -module with ux as the vector of maximal weight (which is β) and thus $ux \in V_\beta^+$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let ω be an element of Λ such that $\omega + \lambda \in \Lambda$ for any $\lambda \in \Psi$. Then for each $t_i \in T$ there exists $u \in A_{\omega+\lambda_i, \omega}$ of the form*

$$u = t_i + \sum_{j: \lambda_j > \lambda_i} t_j v_j$$

where $v_j \in \mathcal{E}(K_-)$.

Proof. We can write

$$(*) \quad T = \bigoplus_v T^{(v)}$$

where $T^{(v)}$ is the irreducible component of T under the adjoint action of K , with maximal weight v . We can assume that the basis $\{t_j\}_{j=1}^r$ of T is chosen in such a way that it is compatible with the decomposition (*); thus we may assume that $t_i \in T^{(v)}$ for some weight v .

Put $D_\omega = \mathcal{E}(K)/\mathcal{D}_\omega$ and consider the tensor product $T^{(v)} \otimes D_\omega$, which is a K -module under the diagonal action:

$$k(x \otimes y) = [k, x] \otimes y + x \otimes ky; \quad k \in K, \quad x \in T^{(v)}, \quad y \in D_\omega .$$

It is known that the module $T^{(v)} \otimes D_\omega$ contains an irreducible submodule with maximal weight $\omega + \lambda_i$ (note that $\omega + \lambda \in \Lambda$ for any weight λ in $T^{(v)}$) with a multiplicity which is equal to the multiplicity $m(\lambda_i, v)$ of the weight λ_i in $T^{(v)}$; in other words there are $m(\lambda_i, v)$ linearly independent vectors in $T^{(v)} \otimes D_\omega$ which are annihilated by $\mathcal{D}_{\omega+\lambda_i}$ (see e.g. [4, pp. 141–142]). It follows that for each $t_i \in T^{(v)} = T_{\lambda_i} \cap T^{(v)}$ there exists a nonzero element u_0 of $T^{(v)} \otimes D_\omega$ of the form

$$u_0 = t_i \otimes (a \cdot \mathbf{1} + \mathcal{D}_\omega) + \sum_{\substack{j \\ \lambda_j > \lambda_i}} t_j \otimes (v_j + \mathcal{D}_\omega),$$

$$a \in \mathbf{C}, \quad v_j \in \mathcal{E}(K_-),$$

such that u_0 is annihilated by $\mathcal{D}_{\omega+\lambda_i}$. We define $v_i = a \cdot \mathbf{1}$ and let k be the smallest value of the index j for which $v_j \notin \mathcal{D}_\omega$; because of

$$e_\alpha u_0 = 0 \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \Phi^+,$$

we have $e_\alpha v_k \in \mathcal{D}_\omega$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi^+$. Now any vector in D_ω which is annihilated by K_+ is a multiple of $\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{D}_\omega$; thus $k = i$ and $a \neq 0$. We may assume that $a = 1$ (multiply u_0 by a^{-1}).

Consider the linear mapping

$$\varphi : T^{(v)} \otimes D_\omega \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(G)/\mathcal{E}(G) \mathcal{D}_\omega$$

induced by the multiplication map $T^{(v)} \otimes \mathcal{E}(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(G)$. This mapping is a K -module homomorphism; in fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi (k(t \otimes (v + \mathcal{D}_\omega))) &= \varphi ([k, t] \otimes (v + \mathcal{D}_\omega) + t \otimes (kv + \mathcal{D}_\omega)) \\ &= [k, t]v + \mathcal{E}(G) \mathcal{D}_\omega + tkv + \mathcal{E}(G) \mathcal{D}_\omega = ktv + \mathcal{E}(G) \mathcal{D}_\omega \\ &= k \varphi (t \otimes (v + \mathcal{D}_\omega)), \end{aligned}$$

for all $k \in K$, $t \in T^{(v)}$ and $v \in \mathcal{E}(K)$. Let $u \in \mathcal{E}(G)$,

$$u = t_i + \sum_{j: i_j > i_i} t_j v_j.$$

Then $\varphi(u_0) = u + \mathcal{E}(G) \mathcal{D}_\omega$ and therefore $\mathcal{D}_{\omega+i_i} u \subset \mathcal{E}(G) \mathcal{D}_\omega$. In other words (Lemma 4.2), $u \in A_{\omega+i_i, \omega}$.

We denote by P the projection $P : \mathcal{E}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(G)$ such that $\text{Ker } P = \mathcal{E}(G) \mathcal{D}_\alpha + U_1 \mathcal{E}(K_-)$ and $P(\mathcal{E}(G)) = U_1$ where U_1 consists of the elements

$$b \cdot \mathbf{1} + \sum a_{i_1 \dots i_k} t_{i_1} \dots t_{i_k}$$

where $b, a_{i_1 \dots i_k} \in \mathbf{C}$ and $i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $u_1, u_2 \in A_{\beta, \alpha}$ such that $P(u_1) = P(u_2)$. Then*

$$u_1 - u_2 \in \mathcal{E}(G) \mathcal{D}_\alpha.$$

Proof. We shall again use the fact that any vector in $\mathcal{E}(K)/\mathcal{D}_\alpha$ which is annihilated by K_+ is a multiple of $\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{D}_\alpha$. First we write

$$u_1 - u_2 = w + t_{j_1} \dots t_{j_k} v + \sum_{\langle m, i_p \rangle} t_{i_1} \dots t_{i_m} v_{i_1 \dots i_m}$$

where each term is a sum of ordered monomials and $w \in \mathcal{E}(G) \mathcal{D}_\omega$, v and $v_{i_1 \dots i_m} \in \mathcal{E}(K_-)$ and

$$\lambda_{i_1} + \dots + \lambda_{i_m} \geq \lambda_{j_1} + \dots + \lambda_{j_k}.$$

If $m = k$ then $j_v \neq i_v$ for at least one value of the index v . From $K_+(u_1 - u_2) \in \mathcal{E}(G) \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ it follows that

$$K_+ v \subset \mathcal{D}_\alpha$$

and thus $v \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ ($v \notin a \cdot \mathbf{1} + \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ for any $a \neq 0$ because of $P(u_1 - u_2) = 0$). By induction it follows that the coefficient of any $t_{i_1} \dots t_{i_m}$ belongs to \mathcal{D}_α and therefore $u_1 - u_2 \in \mathcal{E}(G) \mathcal{D}_\alpha$.

It is clear that Lemma 4.4 is valid also if we replace $A_{\beta, \alpha}$ by

$$A_\alpha = \sum_\beta A_{\beta, \alpha}.$$

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\alpha \in A$ be large. Then any $u \in A_\alpha$ such that $\deg(u) \leq n_c$ can be written in the form*

$$u = v + a \cdot \mathbf{1} + \sum_{k, \{i_v\}} u_{i_1} u_{i_2} \dots u_{i_k} \quad (a \in \mathbf{C}, \quad v \in \mathcal{E}(G) \mathcal{D}_\alpha, \quad u_{i_v} \in \mathcal{E}(G);$$

$$v = 1, 2, \dots, k)$$

where $k \leq \deg(u)$, $i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_k$ and

$$(*) \quad u_{i_v} u_{i_{v+1}} \dots u_{i_k} \in A_{\delta_v, \alpha}; \quad \delta_v = \alpha + \lambda_{i_v} + \dots + \lambda_{i_k} \quad (v = 1, 2, \dots, k).$$

Proof. (1) Let S be the set consisting of finite sequences $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)$ where $k \leq n_c$ and the integers i_v satisfy the inequalities

$$0 < i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq r = \dim T.$$

We denote by \mathbf{e} the empty sequence. We define an order in S by putting

$$(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k) < (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m) \text{ if } k < m \text{ or } k = m \text{ and}$$

the first non-zero number in the sequence $i_1 - j_1, i_2 - j_2, \dots$ is positive. In addition, for each $\mathbf{i} \in S$ we define

$$t_{\mathbf{i}} = t_{i_1} \dots t_{i_k} \in \mathcal{E}(G)$$

and $t_{\mathbf{e}} = \mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{E}(G)$. Let V be the subspace of $\mathcal{E}(G)$ which has the set $\{t_{\mathbf{i}} \mid \mathbf{i} \in S\}$ as an ordered basis (the order is defined through the ordering of S).

(2) We put $u^{\mathbf{e}} = \mathbf{1} \in A_\alpha$. From the fact that α is large and from Lemma 4.3. it follows that for each $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k) \in S$ there exists

$$u^{\mathbf{i}} = u_1^i u_2^i \dots u_k^i \in A_\alpha \quad (u_v^i \in \mathcal{E}(G); v = 1, 2, \dots, k)$$

where each u_v^i is of the type described in Lemma 4.3, $P(u_v^i) = t_{i_v}$ and u_i satisfies the relations (*). We denote by U the subspace of A_α which has the set

$$\{u^{\mathbf{i}} \mid \mathbf{i} \in S\}$$

as an ordered basis.

(3) It is clear that the operator P induces a linear mapping from U into V . Furthermore,

$$P(u^{\mathbf{i}}) = t_{\mathbf{i}} + \text{lower terms}$$

as follows easily from the properties of the $u^{\mathbf{i}} : s$ (see Lemma 4.3). Thus the matrix representing P is triangular in the ordered basis described above, the diagonal elements being equal to 1. It follows that the inverse of P exists and therefore for each $u \in A_\alpha$, $\deg(u) \leq n_c$, there exists $u' \in U$ such that

$$P(u) = P(u') .$$

(Note that $P(u) \in V$.) From Lemma 4.4 it follows that there exists $v \in \mathcal{E}(G) \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ such that $u = v + u'$.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$, and let V be an irreducible G -module such that $V_\alpha \neq 0$; then $V_\beta^+ = A_{\beta,\alpha} V_\alpha^+$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the statement for $V = \mathcal{E}(G)/\mathcal{E}(G) \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ (compare with the proof of Lemma 4.2). Then

$$\begin{aligned} V_\beta^+ &= \{u + \mathcal{E}(G) \mathcal{D}_\alpha \mid \mathcal{D}_\alpha u \subset \mathcal{E}(G) \mathcal{D}_\alpha\} \\ &= A_{\beta,\alpha} + \mathcal{E}(G) \mathcal{D}_\alpha = A_{\beta,\alpha} (\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{E}(G) \mathcal{D}_\alpha) \\ &\subset A_{\beta,\alpha} V_\alpha^+ . \end{aligned}$$

The relation $A_{\beta,\alpha} V_\alpha^+ \subset V_\beta^+$ follows from the definition of $A_{\beta,\alpha}$.

Let C be the centralizer of K in $\mathcal{E}(G)$. If V is any G -module then V_α and V_α^+ are C -modules by restriction of $\mathcal{E}(G)$ to the subalgebra C ; in fact V_α is even a $\mathcal{E}(K)C$ -module.

Lemma 4.7. *Let V be an irreducible G -module, $V_\alpha \neq 0$. Then the equivalence class $[V]$ of V is completely determined by the equivalence class of the C -module V_α^+ . V_α^+ is an irreducible C -module.*

Proof. This is an easy consequence of Theorem 5.5, [6]. (Note that the action of $\mathcal{E}(K)C$ on V_α is completely determined by the action of C on V_α^+ .)

Let G'_α be the set of all equivalence classes $[V]$ of irreducible G -modules V such that $V_\alpha \neq 0$ and $V_\beta = 0$ for each $\beta < \alpha$. We call V_α the minimal component of V . Now an irreducible G -module V is K -finite if and only if $V_\beta \neq 0$ for some weight $\beta \in \Lambda$, [6, proposition 4.2]. It follows that V is K -finite if and only if V has a minimal component. Thus the set G' of all equivalence classes of irreducible K -finite G -modules is equal to

$$\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} G'_\alpha .$$

Of course $G'_\alpha \cap G'_\beta = \emptyset$ when $\alpha \neq \beta$.

Let $M_\alpha = \sum_{\beta < \alpha} A_{\beta,\alpha}$. If $[V] \in G'_\alpha$ then V_α^+ is in a natural way a $C/C \cap \mathcal{E}(G)M_\alpha$ -module. We denote by C'_α the set of all equivalence classes of irreducible $C/C \cap \mathcal{E}(G)M_\alpha$ -modules.

Theorem 4.8. *The mapping $V \rightarrow V_\alpha^+$ induces a bijection between G'_α and C'_α .*

Proof. If $[V], [W] \in G'_\alpha$ then it is clear that V_α^+ and W_α^+ are equivalent as C -modules if and only if they are equivalent as $C/C \cap \mathcal{E}(G)M_\alpha$ -modules. The injectivity of the mapping follows now from Lemma 4.7.

Let next $[W] \in C'_\alpha$. We have to show that there exists $[V] \in G'_\alpha$ such that $V_\alpha^+ \cong W$ as $C/C \cap \mathcal{E}(G)M_\alpha$ -modules. First we extend W to a C -

module. Let x be a non-zero element of W , and let \mathcal{L} be the annihilator of x in C so that $W = C/\mathcal{L}$. We define a left ideal of $\mathcal{E}(G)$ by

$$\mathcal{N} = \{u \in \mathcal{E}(G) \mid \mathcal{E}(G)u \cap C \subset \mathcal{L}\}.$$

Consider the G -module $V = \mathcal{E}(G)/\mathcal{N}$. First we show that V is irreducible i.e. the left ideal \mathcal{N} is maximal. Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{E}(G)$ be a left ideal such that $\mathbf{1} \notin \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$. Then

$$\mathcal{L} = C \cap \mathcal{N} \subset C \cap \mathcal{E}(G)\mathcal{M}.$$

Because of the irreducibility of W , \mathcal{L} is a maximal left ideal in C . Now $\mathbf{1} \notin C \cap \mathcal{E}(G)\mathcal{M}$ and therefore $\mathcal{L} = C \cap \mathcal{E}(G)\mathcal{M}$. From the definition of \mathcal{N} it follows that $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}$; thus $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}$ and \mathcal{N} is maximal.

Since $\mathcal{D}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{N}$ the vector $\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{N} \in V$ is annihilated by \mathcal{D}_α , and therefore $\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{N} \in V_\alpha^+$. From Lemma 4.7 we conclude that V_α^+ consists of vectors $c + \mathcal{N}$, $c \in C$. From $C \cap \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{L}$ it then follows that the mapping

$$\varphi : V_\alpha^+ \rightarrow C/\mathcal{L}, \quad \varphi(c + \mathcal{N}) = c + \mathcal{L}$$

is a C -linear isomorphism. Thus $V_\alpha^+ \cong W$ as C -modules. Next we observe that $C \cap \mathcal{E}(G)\mathcal{M}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{L}$, so $\mathcal{M}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{N}$ and therefore $V_\beta^+ = A_{\beta, \alpha} V_\alpha^+ = 0$ for $\beta < \alpha$. It follows that $[V] \in G'_\alpha$.

By Lemma 3.5, $\text{rank } K = \text{rank } G$ if and only if $T_0 = 0$.

Theorem 4.9. *Let $\text{rank } G = \text{rank } K$. Then for any large weight $\alpha \in \Lambda$ the set G'_α contains exactly one element $[V]$ and $\dim V_\alpha^+ = 1$.*

Proof. Let c be one of the generators c_1, \dots, c_o of C (see Definition 4.1). Then $c \in A_\alpha$, $\deg(c) \leq n_c$ and $[H, c] = 0$. Then c can be written in the form described in Lemma 4.5. Since $[H, c] = 0$, $\lambda_{i_1} + \lambda_{i_2} + \dots + \lambda_{i_k} = 0$ for each of the products $u_{i_1}u_{i_2} \dots u_{i_k}$. Now $\lambda_{i_1} \geq \lambda_{i_2} \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{i_k}$ and $\lambda_{i_\nu} \neq 0$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots, k$) ($T_0 = 0$); thus $\lambda_{i_k} < 0$ and $u_{i_1}u_{i_2} \dots u_{i_k} \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha$. It follows that the generators c belong to the subalgebra $C \cdot \mathbf{1} + C \cap \mathcal{E}(G)\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ of C ; hence this is true for all $c \in C$.

We conclude that the algebra $C/C \cap \mathcal{E}(G)\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ is isomorphic (when α is large) to the algebra C of complex numbers and therefore there exists exactly one equivalence class of irreducible (non-zero) $C/C \cap \mathcal{E}(G)\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ -modules and the dimension of such a module is equal to one. Theorem 4.8 completes the job.

Remark 4.10. The results of this section can be easily extended to the case in which K is a reductive subalgebra of G .

University of Jyväskylä
 Department of Mathematics
 SF - 40 100 Jyväskylä 10
 Finland

References

- [1] HARISH-CHANDRA: Representations of a semi-simple Lie group on a Banach space I. - Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 75, 1953, pp. 185—243.
 - [2] —»— Representations of semi-simple Lie groups II. - Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 76, 1954, pp. 26—65.
 - [3] —»— Representations of semi-simple Lie groups III. - Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 76, 1954, pp. 234—253.
 - [4] HUMPHREYS, J.: Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory. - Graduate Texts in Mathematics 9, Springer-Verlag, New York - Heidelberg - Berlin, 1972.
 - [5] LEPOWSKY, J.: Algebraic results on representations of semi-simple Lie groups. - Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 176, 1973, pp. 1—44.
 - [6] LEPOWSKY, J., and G. W. Mc COLLUM: On the determination of irreducible modules by restriction to a subalgebra. - Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 176, 1973, pp. 45—57.
 - [7] PARTHASARATHY, K. R., R. RANGA RAO and V. S. VARADARAJAN: Representations of complex semi-simple Lie groups and Lie algebras. - Ann. of Math. 85, 1967, pp. 383—429.
 - [8] WARNER, G.: Harmonic analysis on semi-simple Lie groups I. - Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften in Einzeldarstellungen 188, Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York, 1972.
-