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## Introduction

A representation formula for normalized quasiconformal homeomorphisms $f$ of the plane whose complex dilatation $\mu$ has bounded support provides an efficient tool for studying the dependence of $f(z)$ on $\mu$. If $\mu$ depends analytically on a complex parameter, then the same is also true of $f(z)$. Making use of this result and resorting to fundamental theorems of the classical theory of analytic functions, Lehto [7] presented a general inequality which provides a method for studying the properties of normalized univalent functions with quasiconformal extensions.

Another approach to these problems is based on variational techniques introduced by Belinskii [1] and Schiffer [11]. Such methods have later been used by Kruškal [5], Kühnau [6], and Schiffer and Schober [12] for solving a variety of extremal problems.

We also mention the work of Blevins [2] who studied conformal homeomorphisms mapping the unit disc onto domains bounded by quasiconformal circles.

In this paper we study the class of conformal homeomorphisms $f$ of the unit dise which are normalized by the conditions $f(0)=0, f^{\prime}(0)=1$, and have $k$-quasiconformal extensions to the whole plane such that the point at infinity remains fixed.

After some preliminary remarks in section 1, we summarize Lehto's results in sections 2 and 3 . In section 4 we apply the general inequalities and obtain estimates for $|f(z)|$ and $\left|f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right| f^{\prime}(z) \mid$. The latter es imate is needed in section 5 where we study the power series coefficients $a_{n}$ of $f$. Modifying a method of Clunie and Pommerenke [3] we obtain an upper bound for $\left|a_{n}\right|$ in terms of $n$ and $k$.

## 1. The families $S$ and $S_{k}(\infty)$

1.1. Definitions. Let $S$ be the class of functions $f$ that are analytic and univalent in the unit disc $D=\{z| | z \mid<1\}$ with the normalization $f(0)=0, f^{\prime}(0)=1$. We denote by $S_{k}(\infty)$ the class of $k$-quasiconformal homeomorphisms $f$ of the extended plane whose restrictions to $D$ are
in $S$ and which leave $\infty$ fixed. The $k$-quasiconformality means that $f$ is a homeomorphic $L^{2}$-solution of a Beltrami differential equation $f_{\bar{z}}=\mu f_{z}$, where the complex dilatation $\mu$ satisfies the condition $\|\mu\|_{\infty} \leq k<1$.

Conversely, from the existence and uniqueness theorems for the Beltrami equation it follows that a measurable function $\mu$ whose support lies in $|z| \geq 1$ and which has the property $\|\mu\|_{\infty} \leq k$ determines uniquely the element of $S_{k}(\infty)$ whose complex dilatation agrees a.e. with $\mu$. In particular, $S_{0}(\infty)$ consists of the identity mapping only.
1.2. Approximation of functions of $S$ by functions of $S_{k}(\infty)$. The union of the classes $S_{k}^{\prime}(\infty)=\left\{f|D| f \in S_{k}(\infty)\right\}$ is dense in the class $S$, i.e., every $f \in S$ can be approximated by functions $f_{n}$ belonging to some $S_{k}^{\prime}(\infty)$. This is seen as follows: Let $\left\{r_{n}\right\}, r_{n}<1$, be a sequence of numbers with $\lim r_{n}=1$, and set $f_{n}(z)=f\left(r_{n} z\right) / r_{n}$ for $z \in D$. Then every $f_{n}$ admits a quasiconformal extension $g_{n}$ to the rest of the plane, since the image of the unit circle under $f_{n}$ is an analytic curve. Suppose that $g_{n}$ takes the value $\infty$ at the point $z_{n}$. Let $h_{n}$ be a quasiconformal selfmapping of the outside of the unit disc which carries $x$ into the point $z_{n}$ and keeps every boundary point fixed. Then $g_{n}=h_{n}$ is a quasiconformal extension of $f_{n}$ which keeps $\infty$ fixed. Clearly $\lim f_{n}(z)=f(z)$ in $D$.
1.3. $S_{k}(\infty)$ is a closed normal family. Every $S_{k}(\infty), 0 \leq k<1$, is a normal family. To prove this, let $z_{0} \neq 0$ be a point in the unit disc. By the well-known distortion theorem we have for each $f \in S_{k}(\infty)$

$$
\frac{r}{(1+r)^{2}} \leq f\left(z_{0}\right) \leq \frac{r}{(1-r)^{2}}, \quad\left|z_{0}\right|=r
$$

Hence, there is a constant $d>0$, depending on $z_{0}$ but not on $f$, such that the points $f(0)=0, f(x)=\infty$, and $f\left(z_{0}\right)$ have a spherical distance $>d$ from each other. It follows that $S_{k}(x)$ is a normal family ([9], Theorem II.5.1).

Moreover, $S_{k}(\infty)$ is closed under uniform convergence in the spherical metric. Indeed, if $f$ is the uniform limit of a sequence $f_{n} \in S_{k}(\infty)$, then $f$ is either a $k$-quasiconformal mapping, a mapping of the plane onto two points, or a constant ([9], Theorem II.5.3). Since for each $n$, the function $f_{n}$ takes the values 0 and $\infty$, and satisfies $f_{n}^{\prime}(0)=1$, the limit function can be neither a constant nor a mapping onto two points.

As a consequence, the functions $f$ in $S_{k}(\infty)$ are uniformly bounded on every compact subset $E$ of the finite plane. For if not, there is a sequence of functions $f_{n} \in S_{k}(\infty)$ and points $z_{n} \in E$, such that $\lim z_{n}=a \in E$, $\lim f_{n}=f \in S_{k}(\infty)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim f_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)=\infty \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Being a normal family, $S_{k}(\infty)$ is equicontinuous. Therefore, $\lim f_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)=$ $f(a)$, which contradicts (1.1).

In particular,

$$
C_{k}=\sup _{f \in S_{k}(\infty)| | z \mid \leq 1}\left(\max _{\mid z}|f(z)|\right)
$$

is finite. In section 4 we shall give an upper estimate for $C_{k}$.

## 2. Analytic correspondence

In this section we shall review results of the dependence of a quasiconformal mapping on its complex dilatation. For technical reasons, we prefer to consider for a moment a class of quasiconformal mappings whose complex dilatation has bounded support.
2.1. Class $\Sigma_{k}$ and representation formula. Let $\Sigma_{k}$ denote the class of quasiconformal homeomorphisms $f$ of the extended plane which have complex dilatation $\mu$ with $\|\mu\|_{\infty} \leq k<1$, are conformal in

$$
D^{*}=\{z| | z \mid>1\}
$$

and satisfy the normalization condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty}(f(z)-z)=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By a result of Bojarski ([9], p. 218), a function $f \varepsilon \Sigma_{k}$ can be expressed in terms of $\mu$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} T \phi_{i}(z) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the functions $\phi_{i}$ are defined by means of the two-dimensional Hilbert transformation $S: \phi_{1}=\mu, \phi_{i}=\mu S \phi_{i-1}, i=2,3, \ldots$, and

$$
T \phi_{i}(z)=-\frac{1}{\pi} \iint \frac{\phi_{i}(\zeta)}{\zeta-z} d \xi d \eta
$$

The series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} T \phi_{i}(z)$ is uniformly convergent in the whole plane.
2.2. Dependence of $f \in \Sigma_{k}$ on its complex dilatation. Suppose that every point $w$ of a finite domain $G$ determines a unique measurable function $\mu(, w)$ in the plane which has the support in $|z| \leq 1$ and satisfies

$$
\|\mu(, w)\|_{\infty}<1
$$

For each $\mu(, w)$ there is a unique quasiconformal homeomorphism $f(, w)$ of the plane which has complex dilatation equal to $\mu(, w)$ a.e., and the normalization (2.1). Thus, for every fixed finite $z, w \mapsto f(z, w)$ is a complex valued function in $G$. Let $f(z, w)=z+\sum b_{n}(w) z^{-n}$ for $z \in D^{*}$, and let $f^{(n)}(z, w)$ denote the value of the $n$th derivative of the function $f(, w)$ at the point $z \in D^{*}$. Using (2.2) Lehto [7] proved the following result.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\mu(z$, ) be analytic in $G$ for every $z$. Then the function $w \mapsto f(z, w)$ is analytic in $G$ for every finite z. Moreover, the functions $w \mapsto f^{(n)}(z, w), \quad n=1,2, \ldots, z \in D^{*}$, and $w \mapsto b_{n}(w)$, $n=1,2, \ldots$, are analytic in $G$.
2.3. Dependence of $f \in S_{k}(\infty)$ on its complex dilatation. Theorem 2.1 can easily be carried over to functions of $S_{k}(\infty)$. To do this, consider a family of complex dilatations $\mu(, w)$ which are defined as before except that they have support outside the unit disc $D$. Again it follows from the existence and uniqueness theorems for the Beltrami equation that for each $\mu(, w)$ there is a unique quasiconformal homeomorphism $f(, w)$ of the plane which has complex dilatation $\mu(, w)$ a.e., a power series expansion

$$
f(z, w)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n}(w) z^{n}
$$

in $|z|<1$, and which fixes the point at infinity.
Theorem 2.2. If $\mu(z$,$) is analytic in a domain G$ for every $z$, then the function $w \mapsto f(z, w)$ is analytic in $G$ for every finite $z$. Furthermore, the functions $w \mapsto f^{(n)}(z, w), n=1,2, \ldots$, are analytic in $G$ for every $z \in D$.

Proof: Consider the function $\psi(, u)$, defined by

$$
\psi(z, w)=\frac{1}{f\left(1 z, u^{\prime}\right)}-a_{2}\left(u^{\prime}\right) .
$$

which is quasiconformal in the plane, conformal in $D^{*}$, and satisfies the normalization condition (2.1). If $\gamma$ is the complex dilatation of $\psi$, then $v(z, w)=(z / \bar{z})^{2} \mu(1 / z, w)$. Hence, we first conclude from Theorem 2.1 that the function $a_{2}=\psi(0$,$) is analytic in G$. From Theorem 2.1 it then follows that the functions $w \mapsto f(z, w)=\left(\psi(1 / z, w)-a_{2}(w)\right)^{-1}$ and $w \mapsto f^{(n)}(z, w)$ are analytic in $G$. The coefficients $a_{n}, n=3,4, \ldots$, are analytic in $G$, since $a_{n}=f^{(n)}(0,) / n!$.

## 3. General inequalities

3.1. Analytic functionals. Let $f$ be a function of $S$. We define an analytic functional $\phi$ on $S$ to be a complex-valued function which depends analytically on finitely many power series coefficients of $f$, and on the values of $f$ and its derivatives $f^{(k)}, k=1,2, \ldots, n$, at finitely many given points. An analytic functional is continuous, i.e., $\lim \phi\left(f_{n}\right)=\phi(f)$ whenever $f$ is the uniform limit of the functions $f_{n}$ on compact subsets of the unit disc.

An analytic functional $\phi$ defined on $S$ is defined on every class $S_{k}^{\prime}(\infty)$ (see 1.2); to simplify notation we write $S_{k}(\infty)$ instead of $S_{k}^{\prime}(\infty)$ in the rest of the paper. Since $\phi$ is continuous, and $S$ and $S_{k}(\infty)$ are closed normal families, there are functions which maximize $\phi(f) \mid$ in $S_{k}(\infty)$ and $S$. We set

$$
M(k)=\max _{f \in s_{k}(x)} \phi(f)
$$

and denote by $M(1)$ the maximum of $\mid \phi(f)$ in $S$. Then $M$ is a nondecreasing function on the closed interval $[0,1]$.
3.2. Continuity of $M$. The function $M$ is continuous on [0,1]. To prove this, choose an arbitrary $k_{0}, 0<k_{0}<1$. Because $M$ is nondecreasing, the left and right limits $\lim _{k \rightarrow k_{0}-} M(k)$ and $\lim _{k \rightarrow k_{0}+} M(k)$ exist, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow k_{0}-} M(k) \leq M\left(k_{0}\right), \quad \lim _{k \rightarrow k_{0}+} M(k) \geq M\left(k_{0}\right) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose first that $k<k_{0}$. Let $f_{0}$ be extremal in $S_{k_{0}}(\infty)$, with complex dilatation $\mu$. Consider the functions $f_{k}$ with complex dilatation $k \mu / k_{0}, 0<k<k_{0}$, so normalized that $f_{k} \in S_{k}(\infty)$. Since $\left\{f_{k}\right\}$ is a normal family, there is a sequence $k_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots$, so that $\lim k_{i}=k_{0}$ and the mappings $f_{k_{i}}$ converge uniformly (in the spherical metric) to a limit mapping $g$. Then the mapping $g$ has complex dilatation $\mu$ a.e. ([9], Theorem IV.5.2). Hence, because of normalization $g=f_{0}$. From the continuity of $\phi$ it follows that $\phi\left(f_{k_{i}}\right) \rightarrow \phi\left(f_{0}\right)$. Consequently,

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} M\left(k_{i}\right) \geq \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left|\phi\left(f_{k_{i}}\right)\right|=\left|\phi\left(f_{0}\right)\right|=M\left(k_{0}\right) .
$$

In conjunction with the first inequality (3.1) this shows that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow k_{0}^{-}} M(k)=M\left(k_{0}\right),
$$

i.e., $M$ is continuous to the left at $k_{0}$.

Suppose next that $k>k_{0}$. Let $f_{k}$ now denote the extremal mapping in $S_{k}(\infty)$. Again, there is a sequence $k_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots$, so that $\lim k_{i}=k_{0}$ and the mappings $f_{k_{i}}$ converge uniformly to a limit $g$. Then, by Theorem I.5.2 in [9], the maximal dilatation of $g$ is not greater than the limit of the maximal dilatations of $f_{k_{i}}$. Consequently, $g \in S_{k_{0}}(\infty)$. It follows that

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} M\left(k_{i}\right)=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left|\phi\left(f_{k_{i}}\right)\right|=|\phi(g)| \leq M\left(k_{0}\right) .
$$

Together with the second inequality (3.1) this yields $\lim _{k \rightarrow k_{0}+} M(k)=M\left(k_{0}\right)$, i.e., $M$ is continuous to the right at $k_{0}$.

Continuity to the right at 0 is proved similarly. Finally, $1 \in \mathrm{t} f$ be extremal in $S$, and $f_{n}(z)=f((1-1 / n) z) /(1-1 / n)$. Then $f_{n}$ admits a quasiconformal extension so that the extended mapping is in a class $S_{k_{n}}(\infty)$, where $k_{n}$ tends increasingly to 1 . Since $f_{n}(z) \rightarrow f(z)$, uniformly in every compact subset of the unit disc, $\phi\left(f_{n}\right) \rightarrow \phi(f)$. Hence,

$$
M(1)=|\phi(f)|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\phi\left(f_{n}\right)\right| \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} M\left(k_{n}\right),
$$

and left continuity at 1 follows.
3.3. Majorant principle. The following inequality, which has wide applications in transferring many of the results known to hold for univalent functions to the classes $S_{k}(\infty)$ and $\Sigma_{k}, k<1$, is due to Lehto. ${ }^{1}$

Theorem 3.1. Let $\phi$ be an analytic functional defined on $S$, which vanishes for the identity mapping. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(k) \leq k M(1) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If equality holds in (3.2) for one value of $k, 0<k<1$, then it holds for all values of $k$, and if $\mu$ is an extremal complex dilatation, then all dilatations $w \mu, u^{\prime}<1 \mu_{x}$, are extremal. For the proofs we refer to [7].
3.4. Real part of an analytic functional. An analogue of Theorem 3.1 is obtained if one considers $\operatorname{Re} \phi$ instead of $\phi$. Again, the extremal problems max $\operatorname{Re} \phi(f), \min \operatorname{Re} \phi(f)$ have solutions in $S_{k}(\infty)$. We shall first consider the minimum, and write

[^0]$$
m(k)=\min _{f \in S_{k}(\infty)} \operatorname{Re} \phi(f)
$$

The following theorem is due to Lehto (unpublished). We denote

$$
m(1)=\min _{f \in s} \operatorname{Re} \phi(f) \text { and } m(0)=\operatorname{Re} \phi(i d)
$$

Theorem 3.2. Let $\phi$ be an analytic functional defined on $S$. Then for every $f \in S_{k}(\infty)$
(3.3) $\frac{2 k}{1+k}(m(1)-m(0)) \leq \operatorname{Re} \phi(f)-m(0) \leq \frac{2 k}{1-k}(m(0)-m(1))$.

Proof: Let $f$ be an arbitrary mapping in $S_{k}(\infty), 0 \leq k<1$, and $\mu$ its complex dilatation. Consider the subclass of $S$ whose functions are restrictions to $D$ of quasiconformal homeomorphisms $\hat{f}$ of the plane with the complex dilatation $w \mu$, where $|w|<1 / k$. By Theorem 2.2, the functional $\phi(\hat{f})$ depends analytically on $w$ in the disc $|w|<1 / k$. Therefore, $u=\operatorname{Re} \phi(\hat{f})$ is harmonic in $u<1 / k$. Applying Poisson's formula for $|w| \leq \varrho<1 / k$ we have

$$
u(w)-m(1)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \cdot \tau} \frac{\varrho^{2}-r^{2}}{\left|\varrho e^{i \theta}-w\right|^{2}}\left(u\left(\varrho e^{i \theta}\right)-m(1)\right) d \theta,
$$

where $|w|=r<\varrho$. Since $u-m(1)$ is non-negative and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varrho-r}{\varrho+r} \leq \frac{\varrho^{2}-r^{2}}{\left|\varrho e^{i 9}-w\right|^{2}} \leq \frac{\varrho+r}{\varrho-r} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

this yields the upper estimate

$$
u(w)-m(1) \leq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \frac{\underline{o}+r}{\varrho-r} \int_{0}^{2 \cdot T}\left(u\left(\varrho e^{i \theta}\right)-m(1)\right) d \theta
$$

The arithmetic mean of $u\left(\underline{o} e^{i s}\right)-m(1)$ over the interval $0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi$ equals $u(0)-m(1)=m(0)-m(1)$, and it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(w)-m(1) \leq \frac{\varrho+r}{\varrho-r}(m(0)-m(1)) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $\varrho$ tend to $1 / k$ and rearranging the terms, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(w)-m(0) \leq \frac{2 r k}{1-r k}(m(0)-m(1)) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $w=1$ we have $u(1)=\operatorname{Re} \phi(f)$, and the right-hand side of (3.3) follows from (3.6). Similarly, using the left-hand inequality (3.4), we obtain the lower estimate in (3.3).

Remark. Since the inequali'ies (3.3) hold for every function $f \in S_{k}(\infty)$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(k) \geq \frac{1-k}{1+k} m(0)+\frac{2 k}{1+k} m(1) . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denoting by $M_{R}(k)$ the maximum of $\operatorname{Re} \phi$ in $S_{k}(\infty)$, we also conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{R}(k) \leq \frac{1+k}{1-k} m(0)-\frac{2 k}{1-k} m(1) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

3.5. Equality in the estimates. Let us assume that equality holds in (3.8) [or in (3.7)] for one value of $k, 0<k<1$. Then it holds for all values of $k$, and if $\mu$ is an extremal complex dilatation, then all dilatations $w \mu$ with $0<w<1 /\|\mu\|_{\infty}$ are extremal. To prove this, suppose that (3.8) holds as an equality for a $k, 0<k<1$; let $f_{0}$ be the extremal function and $\mu_{0}$ its complex dilatation. For functions with complex dilatation $w \mu_{0},|w|<1 / k$, the Poisson formula yields the inequality (3.5). For brevity, let us write $U(w)=(u(w)-m(1)) /(m(0)-m(1))$. Thus, letting $\varrho$ tend to $1 / k$ in (3.5) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{\prime}(w) \leq \frac{1+k|w|}{1-k w} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $U(1)=\left(M_{R}(k)-m(1)\right)(m(0)-m(1)), \quad$ we conclude from (3.8) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(1)=\frac{1+k}{1-k} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $U$ is a non-negative harmonic function in $u<1 / k$, we can apply the Poisson-Stieltjes formula to $C^{\prime}$, the integral being extended along the boundary $|w|=1 / k$. For $u=r e^{i q}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(w)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} \frac{1-k^{2} r^{2}}{1+k^{2} r^{2}-2 k r \cos (\theta-\varphi)} d \psi(\theta) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\psi$ is a non-decreasing function determined up to an additive constant. We normalize $\psi$ so that $\psi(-\pi)=0$. Since $U(0)=1$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} d \psi(\theta)=2 \pi([10], \text { pp. 191-201). Comparison of (3.10) and (3.11) gives } \\
U(1)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} \frac{1-k^{2}}{1+k^{2}-2 k \cos \theta} d \psi(\theta)=\frac{1+k}{1-k}
\end{gathered}
$$

Since the function

$$
\theta \mapsto \frac{1-k^{2}}{1+k^{2}-2 k \cos \theta}
$$

is continuous on the interval $[-\pi, \pi]$ and strictly less than $(1+k) /(1-k)$ for $\theta \neq 0$, it follows that the function $\psi$ must be of the form

$$
\psi(\theta)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for }-\pi \leq \theta<0 \\ 2 \pi & \text { for } \quad 0<\theta \leq \pi\end{cases}
$$

Hence, again from (3.11), it follows that

$$
U(w)=\frac{1-k^{2} r^{2}}{1-k^{2} r^{2}-2 k r \cos \varphi}=\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{1+k w}{1-k w}\right]
$$

Thus for every $w>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(w)=\frac{1+k w}{1-k w} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $k^{\prime} \in[0,1]$ is arbitrarily given, then for $w=k^{\prime} / k$ the function $f$ is in $S_{k^{\prime}}(\infty)$. From (3.12) we conclude that (3.8) holds as an equality with $k$ replaced by $k^{\prime}$. The function $f$ is extremal in $S_{k^{\prime}}(\infty)$ and $w \mu_{0}$ is the extremal complex dilatation.

## 4. Maximum modulus estimates

4.1. Estimates for $|f|$. Making use of Theorem 3.2 we shall first give estimates for $|f(z)|$ in the case when $f \in S_{k}(\infty)$ and $z$ lies in the closure of the unit disc. In what follows, $K=(1+k) /(1-k)$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $f \in S_{k}(\infty)$. Then for $|z|=r<1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(1+r)^{2(1 / K-1)} \leq|f(z)| \leq r(1+r)^{2(K-1)} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: For $z$ fixed, $\phi(f)=\log (f(z) / z)$ is an analytic functional, and
$\operatorname{Re} \phi(f)=\log |f(z)| z \mid, \quad \operatorname{Re} \phi(i d)=0 . \quad$ From the theory of univalent functions it is well-known that

$$
m(1)=\min _{f \in S} \operatorname{Re} \phi(f)=\log \frac{1}{(1+r)^{2}}
$$

Thus, (4.1) follows directly from Theorem 3.2.
Remark. Application of Theorem 3.1 to the functional $\phi(f)=\log (f(z) / z)$ yields the upper bound

$$
|f(z)| \leq \frac{r}{(1-r)^{2 k}}
$$

For small values of $r$ this is sharper than the upper estimate in (4.1).
Letting $r$ tend to 1 , we obtain from (4.1) simple upper and lower bounds for $|f(z)|$ on the unit circle.

Corollary 4.1. If $f \in S_{k}(\infty)$, then for $z=1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{1-1 / K} \leq|f(z)| \leq 4^{K-1} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $k=0$, the lower and upper bound both take the value 1. As $k \rightarrow 1$, the lower bound tends to the sharp limit $1 / 4$. For further discussion of (4.2), let us introduce the modified Koebe functions

$$
f(z)= \begin{cases}\frac{z}{\left(1+k e^{i 9} z\right)^{2}} & \text { if }|z| \leq 1  \tag{4.3}\\ \frac{z \bar{z}}{\left(\sqrt{\bar{z}}+k e^{i q} \sqrt{z}\right)^{2}} & \text { if } z>1\end{cases}
$$

Direct computation shows that $f \in S_{k}(\infty)$. For this function

$$
\min _{z=1} f(z)=\frac{1}{(1-k)^{2}} .
$$

It follows that the lower bound $4^{1 / K-1}$ in (4.2) cannot be replaced by $4^{-k}$.

Let us again consider $C_{k}=\sup _{f \in S_{k}(x)}\left(\max _{z \leq 1} f(z)\right)$, for which Corollary 4.1 yields the upper bound $C_{k} \leq 4^{K-1}$. The function (4.3) tells us also that $C_{k} \geq(1-k)^{-2}$. It is an interesting open problem to determine the exact value of $C_{k}$.
4.2. Estimates for $\left|f^{\prime \prime}\right| f^{\prime} \mid$. We shall use the following consequence of Theorem 3.1 later in estimating the coefficients of the functions $f \in S_{k}(\infty)$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $f \in S_{k}(\infty)$. Then for $|z|=r<1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right| \leq 2 k \frac{r+2}{1-r^{2}} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Consider the analytic functional $\phi(f)=f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z), \quad z \in D$, which vanishes for the identity mapping. In $S$

$$
\left|\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right| \leq 2 \frac{r+2}{1-r^{2}}
$$

([4], p. 50). Hence (4.4) follows from the inequality (3.2).
This estimate is sharp for $z=0$, equality holding for the functions (4.3).

For our applications we need (4.4) when $r$ is close to 1 . We shall show that this estimate is essentially sharp in the sense that for every $k>0$ there is a function $f \in S_{k}(\infty)$ and a boundary point $e^{i \theta}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1}\left(1-r^{2}\right)\left|\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}\right|>0 \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

To construct a mapping for which (4.5) holds we map the unit disc by $z \mapsto(1+z) /(1-z)$ onto the right half-plane $\operatorname{Re} \zeta>0$. We note that the desired function $f$ must have singularity on $|z|=1$ since $f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)$ must tend to $\infty$ as $r \rightarrow 1$. Therefore, we map $\operatorname{Re} \zeta>0$ onto the angular domain $|\arg (\omega+1 /(2+2 k))| \leq \pi(1+k) / 2$ by the function

$$
\omega(\zeta)=\left(\zeta^{k+1}-1\right) / 2(1+k),
$$

which has the $k$-quasiconformal extension $\omega(\zeta)=\left[\zeta(-\bar{\zeta})^{k}-1\right] / 2(1+k)$. If $\omega(f(\infty))=\omega_{0}$, then this function is transformed into the class $S_{k}(\infty)$ by the Möbius transformation $h(\omega)=\omega_{0} \omega^{\prime}\left(\omega_{0}-\omega\right)$. In the unit disc the function $f=h \circ \omega \circ \zeta$, which is in $S_{k}(\infty)$, has the expression

$$
f(z)=\frac{(1+z)^{1-k}-(1-z)^{1+k}}{(1+k)\left[(1+k)(1+z)^{1+k}-k(1-z)^{1+k}\right]} .
$$

Hence

$$
\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}=k\left(\frac{1}{1+z}-\frac{1}{1-z}\right)+O(1)
$$

so that

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1}\left(1-r^{2}\right)\left|\frac{f^{\prime \prime}( \pm r)}{f^{\prime}( \pm r)}\right|=2 k
$$

## 5. Coefficient estimates

5.1. Preliminary remarks. Let $f$ be a function in $S_{k}(\infty)$. Then the area of the image of the unit disc under the mapping $f$ is at most $\pi C_{k}^{2}$. It follows that

$$
\sum_{1}^{\infty} n\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}<C_{k}^{2}
$$

This yields the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}=O\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For bounded univalent functions it was shown by Clunie and Pommerenke [3] that the estimate $a_{n}=O\left(n^{-12}\right)$ is not the best possible.

Application of the inequality (3.2) to the functional $\phi(f)=a_{n}$, which vanishes for the identity mapping, gives the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{s_{k}(\infty)}\left|a_{n}\right| \leq k \max _{S}\left|a_{n}\right| \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This inequality is sharp only if $n=2$ ([8], Corollary 4.2). Since $\max \left|a_{n}\right| \geq n$, this estimate becomes very inaccurate for large values of $n$, in view of ( 5.1 ).

In the present section we shall estimate the coefficients of the functions in $S_{k}(\infty)$. It turns out that the existence of a $k$-quasiconformal extension not only gives a $k$-contraction to the coefficient estimate but has a marked effect on the order of magnitude: $a_{n} \leq k A_{k} n^{-12-\chi(k)}$, where $A_{k}$ is finite for every $k<1$ and $x(k)$ decreases from 12 to a value $>0$ as $k$ grows from 0 to 1 .
5.2. Mean value estimate for $f^{\prime}{ }^{2}$. We find it convenient first to establish the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. If $f \in S_{k}(\infty)$, then for $r<1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \cdot \tau} \left\lvert\, f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)^{2} d \theta \leq \frac{C_{k}^{2}}{1-r^{4}}\right. \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: An easy computation gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2.7}\left|f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2} d \theta=\sum_{1}^{\infty} n^{2}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} r^{2 n-2} . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set $n\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}=b_{n}, a_{1}=1$. Then

$$
\sum_{1}^{\infty} n\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}=\sum_{1}^{\infty} b_{n}<C_{k}^{2}
$$

Consider the analytic function $\varphi(z)=\sum_{1}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}$ in the unit disc $|z|<1$. Then $|\varphi(z)|<C_{k}^{2}$ for $|z|<1$. From Schwarz's Lemma it follows that

$$
\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{C_{k}^{2}-|\varphi(z)|^{2} / C_{k}^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}} \leq \frac{C_{k}^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}}
$$

Hence, for $z=r$

$$
\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|=\sum_{1}^{\infty} n b_{n} r^{n-1} \leq \frac{C_{k}^{2}}{1-r^{2}}
$$

Replacing $r$ by $r^{2}$ we obtain

$$
\sum_{1}^{\infty} n b_{n} r^{2 n-2}=\sum_{1}^{\infty} n^{2}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} r^{2 n-2} \leq \frac{C_{k}^{2}}{1-r^{4}}
$$

and (5.3) follows from (5.4).
5.3. Mean value estimate for $\left|f^{\prime}\right|$. The proof of the following lemma is carried out by the method of Clunie and Pommerenke [3], with the difference that Theorem 4.2 is taken into consideration.

Lemma 5.2. If $f \in S_{k}(\infty)$, then for $r<1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \cdot-}\left|f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta \leq\left(1+C_{k}\right)(1-r)^{-1 / 2+\alpha(k)} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad \alpha(k)=1 / 2-8 k\left(\sqrt{1+64 k^{2}}-8 k\right)$.
Proof: Let $\delta>0$. By Schwarz's inequality
(5.6) $J(r)=\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{1+\delta} d \theta\right)^{2} \leq \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2} d \theta \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2 \delta} d \theta$.

To estimate the last integral in (5.6) we write

$$
\left[f^{\prime}(z)\right]^{\jmath}=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_{m} z^{m}, \quad c_{0}=1
$$

Then

$$
F^{\prime}(r)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 . \pi}\left|\left[f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right]^{\delta}\right|^{2} d \theta=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left|c_{m}\right|^{2} r^{2 n}
$$

Direct calculation gives

$$
\begin{gathered}
F^{\prime \prime}(r) \leq 4 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{2}\left|c_{m}\right|^{2} r^{2 m-2}=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\frac{d}{d z}\left[f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \vartheta}\right)\right]^{\delta}\right|^{2} d \theta \\
=\left.\frac{2 \delta^{2}}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}\right|^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)^{2 \lambda} d \theta
\end{gathered}
$$

By Theorem 4.2,

$$
\left|\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(r e^{i 9}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i 9}\right)}\right| \leq 2 k \frac{r+2}{1-r^{2}} \leq \frac{4 k}{1-r}
$$

Combining this with the preceding inequality we get

$$
F^{\prime \prime}(r) \leq 64 \delta^{2} k^{2} \frac{F(r)}{(1-r)^{2}}
$$

Integrating by parts we obtain

$$
F^{\prime}(r) \leq 64 \delta^{2} k^{2}\left[\frac{F(r)}{1-r}-F(0)-\int_{0}^{r} \frac{F^{\prime}(t)}{1-t} d t\right]
$$

Dropping the last two negative terms and dividing by $F(r)$ we deduce

$$
\frac{F^{\prime}(r)}{F(r)} \leq \frac{64 \delta^{2} k^{2}}{1-r}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(r) \leq(1-r)^{-6+8^{8} k^{2}} . \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 5.1,

$$
\int_{0}^{2 . \pi}\left|f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2} d \theta \leq \frac{C_{k}^{2}}{1-r}
$$

From the inequalities (5.6) and (5.7) it thus follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2.7}\left|f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{1+\delta} d \theta \leq 2 \pi C_{k}(1-r)^{-1 / 2-32\rangle \gamma^{2} k^{2}} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a $\beta>0$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{1}=\left\{\theta| | f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) \mid \leq(1-r)^{-\beta}\right\} \\
& E_{2}=\left\{\theta| | f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \gamma}\right) \mid>(1-r)^{-\beta}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by (5.8)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{2 . \pi}\left|f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta & =\int_{E_{1}}\left|f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta+\int_{E_{2}}\left|f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta \\
& \leq 2 \pi(1-r)^{-\beta}+(1-r)^{\beta \delta} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{1+\delta} d \theta \\
& \leq 2 \pi(1-r)^{-\beta}+2 \pi C_{k}(1-r)^{-12+\beta\rangle-32 \delta^{2} k^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The choice $\beta=\beta_{0}=\left(1+64 \delta^{2} k^{2}\right) / 2(1+\delta)$ gives

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \cdot \tau} f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) d \theta \leq 2 \pi\left(1+C_{k}\right)(1-r)^{-\beta_{0}}
$$

Finally, in order to minimize $\beta_{0}$ we take $\delta=-1+\sqrt{1+64 k^{2}} / 8 k$, and (5.5) follows.
5.4. An estimate for $a_{n}$. Using the above lemma and once more Theorem 4.2, we now obtain an estimate for $a_{n}$.

Theorem 5.1. Let $f \in S_{k}(\infty)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n} \leq k A_{k} n^{-1,2-x(k)} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{k}=4 e\left(1+C_{k}\right)$ and $\alpha(k)$ is defined as in Lemma 5.2.
Proof: Applying the Cauchy integral formula to $f^{\prime \prime}$ we get

$$
n(n-1)\left|a_{n}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2 \pi r^{n-2}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f^{\prime \prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta, r<1
$$

From Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 5.2 it follows, therefore, that

$$
n(n-1)\left|a_{n}\right| \leq 4 k \frac{1+C_{k}}{r^{n-2}}(1-r)^{-3 / 2+\alpha(k)}
$$

Taking $r=1-1 / n$, we obtain

$$
\left|a_{n}\right| \leq 4 k\left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)^{n-1}\left(1+C_{k}\right) n^{-1 / 2-\alpha(k)}
$$

and (5.9) follows.
As $k \rightarrow 0$, the exponent $1 / 2+\alpha(k)$ tends to 1 . This order of magnitude cannot be improved in the sense that an estimate of the form (5.9) with an exponent tending to a limit $>1$ as $k \rightarrow 0$ is not possible. A counterexample is provided by the function $f$ defined by

$$
f(z)= \begin{cases}z\left(1+k z^{n-1}\right)^{2(1-n)} & \text { for }|z|<1, \\ z \bar{z}\left(z^{\frac{1}{2}(n-1)}+k z^{\frac{1}{2}(n-1)}\right)^{2(1-n)} & \text { for }|z| \geq 1 .\end{cases}
$$

This function belongs to $S_{k}(\infty)$, and $\left|a_{n}\right|=2 k /(1-n)$ ([8]).
5.5. Estimates of Clunie and Pommerenke. Let $f \in S$ and assume that $|f(z)| \leq M . \quad$ For $\quad|z|<1 \quad$ write $\quad f_{m}(z)=f((1-1 / m) z) /(1-1 / m)$, $m=2,3, \ldots$. We showed in 1.2 that $f_{m}$ admits a quasiconformal extension so that the extended mapping belongs to a class $S_{k}(\infty)$ for some $k<1$. By Schwarz's Lemma, $|f((1-1 / m) z)| \leq M(1-1 / m)$ in $|z|<1$. Hence $\left|f_{m}(z)\right| \leq M$. If we take $C_{k}=M$ and $k=1$ in (5.9), then the inequality holds for the $n$th coefficient of $f_{m}$ for every $m$. Therefore, it also holds for the coefficient $a_{n}$ of $f$, and we obtain

$$
\left|a_{n}\right| \leq 4 e(1+M) n^{-(1 / 2+1 / 517)} .
$$

Essentially, this is the estimate of Clunie and Pommerenke [3] for bounded functions.
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