

**ANNALES ACADEMIAE SCIENTIARUM FENNICAE**

---

Series A

**I. MATHEMATICA**

472

**A CONGRUENCE FOR THE CLASS NUMBER  
OF A CYCLIC FIELD**

BY

**TAUNO METSÄNKYLÄ**

---

**HELSINKI 1970  
SUOMALAINEN TIEDEAKATEMIA**

doi:10.5186/aasfm.1971.472

Communicated 9 April 1970 by K. Inkeri

KESKUSKIRJAPAINO

HELSINKI 1970

## A congruence for the class number of a cyclic field

**1. Introduction.** Let  $p$  be an odd prime and  $\zeta$  a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity. In this paper we consider the subfields of the cyclotomic field  $F$  generated by  $\zeta$  over the rational number field  $Q$ .

Put  $p - 1 = ab$  with  $1 \leq b < p - 1$  and denote by  $K$  the subfield of  $F$  whose degree over  $Q$  is  $a$ . Denote further by  $F_0$  and  $K_0$  the maximal real subfields of  $F$  and  $K$ , respectively. Then  $K$  and  $K_0$  are cyclic fields and, in addition,  $K$  is real ( $K = K_0$ ) or imaginary depending on whether  $b$  is even or odd.

Moreover, suppose that the class numbers of  $F$  and  $K$  are

$$H = H_1 H_2, \quad h = h_1 h_2,$$

respectively, where the first factors  $H_1$  and  $h_1$  are integers (the so-called relative class numbers of  $F/F_0$  and  $K/K_0$ ) and the second factors  $H_2$  and  $h_2$  are the class numbers of  $F_0$  and  $K_0$ , respectively. It is known that  $H_1$  is divisible by  $h_1$  and  $H_2$  divisible by  $h_2$  (see, e.g., [2, p. 778] and [1, p. 219]).

Denote by  $r$  a primitive root (mod  $p$ ) and by  $r_s$  the least positive residue of  $r^s$  (mod  $p$ ). Define

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{s=0}^{p-2} q_s x^s$$

with integral coefficients  $q_s = (rr_{s-1} - r_s)/p$ . CARLITZ [4] has proved that

$$(1) \quad \prod_{n=1}^{m-1} \psi(r^{2n-1}) \equiv \pm H_2 G' \pmod{p},$$

where  $m = \frac{1}{2}(p - 1)$  and  $G'$  is an explicitly given integer (see [4], formula (2.16); note that the symbol  $G'$  here stands for CARLITZ's  $CG_0^{-1}$ ). Furthermore, this congruence gives, because of a connexion between its left side and  $H_1$ , a congruence

$$H_1 \equiv \pm H_2 G \pmod{p},$$

where  $G$  is an integer ([4, pp. 31–33]; see (16) below). From this one can see, among other things, the well-known fact that  $H_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  implies  $H_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .

We shall generalize (1) as follows.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $K$  is imaginary, then*

$$\prod_{n=1}^{u-1} \psi(r^{2bn-1}) \equiv \pm h_2 G_b \pmod{p},$$

where  $u = \frac{1}{2}a = (p-1)/2b$  and  $G_b$  is an integer (see (13) below).

**Theorem 2.** *If  $K$  is real, then*

$$\prod_{n=1}^{a-1} \psi(r^{bn-1}) \equiv \pm h_2 \bar{G}_b \pmod{p},$$

where  $\bar{G}_b$  is an integer (see (15) below).

The proofs of these theorems are similar to that of (1). For  $b=1$  we have  $G_b = G'$  so that theorem 1 contains the result (1) as a special case.

The theorems express a dependence between  $h_2$  and  $H_1$ , discussed in more detail in section 6. Here we mention the following

**Corollary.** *If  $K$  is a proper subfield of  $F$ , then  $h_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  implies  $H_1/h_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .*

It should be mentioned that problems associated with the divisibility of the class numbers of cyclic fields are also investigated e.g. in [9] and [10] (see also references given in these papers).

**2. A preliminary lemma.** Let  $f'(x)$  denote the derivative of the function  $f(x)$ . In the following we shall write briefly  $(f'/f)(x)$  for  $f'(x)/f(x)$ .

**Lemma.** *Denote*

$$f_w(x) = (1 - x^{r^w})(1 - x^{-r^w}), \quad g_w(x) = f_{w-1}(x)/f_w(x),$$

where  $w$  is an integer. Then

$$\zeta(g'_w/g_w)(\zeta) = 2r^w \sum_{s=0}^{p-2} (q_{s-w} - t) \zeta^{rs}$$

with  $t = \frac{1}{2}(r-1)$ .

*Proof* (cf. [7, p. 125]). We begin with the relation

$$(2) \quad (1 - \zeta) \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} s \zeta^s = -p$$

that can be easily verified. From this it follows that

$$(1 + \zeta) / (1 - \zeta) = -1 - 2p^{-1} \sum_{s=0}^{p-2} r_s \zeta^{r^s}.$$

Making the substitution  $(\zeta : \zeta^{r^w})$  and applying the result to

$$\zeta(f'_w/f_w)(\zeta) = -r^w(1 + \zeta^{r^w}) / (1 - \zeta^{r^w})$$

we obtain

$$\zeta(f'_w/f_w)(\zeta) = r^w(1 + 2p^{-1} \sum_{s=0}^{p-2} r_s \zeta^{r^{w+s}}).$$

This yields further

$$\zeta(g'_w/g_w)(\zeta) = r^w(r - 1 + 2p^{-1} \sum_{s=0}^{p-2} (rr_{s-1} - r_s) \zeta^{r^{w+s}})$$

so that, by definition of  $q_s$  and because of

$$\sum_{s=0}^{p-2} \zeta^{r^s} = -1,$$

we have

$$\zeta(g'_w/g_w)(\zeta) = r^w(2 \sum_{s=0}^{p-2} q_s \zeta^{r^{w+s}} - (r - 1) \sum_{s=0}^{p-2} \zeta^{r^s}).$$

From this it is seen that the assertion of the lemma is true.

**3. Relation between the fundamental and circular units.** Consider first the case of imaginary  $K$ . Then  $b$  is odd and  $a$  even,  $a = 2u$ .

Put

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} e(\zeta) &= \left\{ \prod_{k=0}^{2b-1} (1 - \zeta^{r^{ku+1}}) / (1 - \zeta^{r^{ku}}) \right\}^{1/2} \\ &= \left\{ \prod_{k=0}^{b-1} g_{ku}(\zeta) \right\}^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where by the exponent  $\frac{1}{2}$  is meant the positive square root (for  $g_{ku}(\zeta)$ , see the above lemma). The numbers

$$e(\zeta^{r^i}) \quad (i = 0, \dots, u - 2)$$

are the circular units (Kreiseinheiten, cf. [2, p. 461] or [5, p. 23]) of  $K_0$ . We denote by  $\Delta$  the regulator of this unit system, i.e.

$$\Delta = |\det(\log e(\zeta^{r^{i+n}}))| \quad (i, n = 0, \dots, u - 2).$$

Let  $\varepsilon_j(\zeta)$  ( $j = 1, \dots, u - 1$ ) be a system of positive fundamental units of  $K_0$ ; then the regulator of  $K_0$  is

$$R = |\det(\log \varepsilon_j(\zeta^n))| \quad (j = 1, \dots, u-1; n = 0, \dots, u-2),$$

and it is known that

$$h_2 = \Delta / R$$

(see, e.g., [2, pp. 461–462]). By writing

$$(4) \quad e(\zeta^i) = \prod_{j=1}^{u-1} \varepsilon_j(\zeta)^{r_{ij}} \quad (i = 0, \dots, u-2)$$

where the  $r_{ij}$ 's are rational integers, we further get for  $h_2$  an »integral» expression

$$(5) \quad h_2 = \pm \det(r_{ij}) \quad (i = 0, \dots, u-2; j = 1, \dots, u-1).$$

Now, consider (4) with a fixed  $i$  as an equation in the field  $F$ . Replace  $\zeta$  by an indeterminate  $x$  and note that the equation thus received holds for  $x = \zeta, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{p-1}$ . Hence we have

$$e(x^i) + (1 + x + \dots + x^{p-1}) \Phi(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{u-1} \varepsilon_j(x)^{r_{ij}},$$

where  $\Phi(x)$  is a polynomial with rational integral coefficients. After differentiating logarithmically, multiplying by  $x$  and setting  $x = \zeta$  we obtain

$$(6) \quad r^i \zeta^i (e'/e)(\zeta^i) + M_i \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} s \zeta^s = \sum_{j=1}^{u-1} r_{ij} \zeta (\varepsilon'_j / \varepsilon_j)(\zeta) \quad (i = 0, \dots, u-2),$$

where  $M_i = \Phi(\zeta) / e(\zeta^i)$  is an integer of  $F$ . (Cf. [8, pp. 3–4].)

The second case where  $K$  is real is fully analogous to the above case. Here, one need only replace  $u$  by  $a = 2u$  everywhere in this section and, in addition,  $b$  by  $\frac{1}{2}b$  in (3).

**4. Proof of theorem 1.** We turn back to the case where  $K$  is imaginary, and consider the equation (6).

Put  $\lambda = 1 - \zeta$  and let  $d_i$  ( $i = 0, \dots, u-2$ ) be rational integers such that

$$M_i \equiv d_i \pmod{\lambda}.$$

Since  $p = \varepsilon \lambda^{p-1}$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is a unit of  $F$ , we have by (2)

$$\sum_{s=1}^{p-1} s \zeta^s \equiv 0 \pmod{\lambda^{p-2}}.$$

Consequently

$$(7) \quad M_i \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} s \zeta^s \equiv d_i \sum_{s=0}^{p-2} r_s \zeta^{r^s} \pmod{p}.$$

Making use of our lemma we infer from (3) that

$$\zeta(e'/e)(\zeta) = \sum_{k=0}^{b-1} \sum_{s=0}^{p-2} r^{ku} (q_{s-ku} - t) \zeta^{r^s}$$

and, further,

$$(8) \quad \zeta^i(e'/e)(\zeta^{r^i}) = \sum_{s=0}^{p-2} \sum_{k=0}^{b-1} r^{ku} (q_{s-i-ku} - t) \zeta^{r^s} \quad (i = 0, \dots, u-2).$$

We now write

$$\zeta(\varepsilon'_j/\varepsilon_j)(\zeta) = \sum_{s=0}^{p-2} c_{js} \zeta^{r^s} \quad (j = 1, \dots, u-1),$$

where the  $c_{js}$ 's are rational integers, and substitute this with (7) and (8) into (6). Thus we get

$$(9) \quad \sum_{s=0}^{p-2} \sum_{k=0}^{b-1} r^{i+ku} (q_{s-i-ku} - t) \zeta^{r^s} + d_i \sum_{s=0}^{p-2} r_s \zeta^{r^s} \\ \equiv \sum_{s=0}^{p-2} \sum_{j=1}^{u-1} r_{ij} c_{js} \zeta^{r^s} \pmod{p} \quad (i = 0, \dots, u-2).$$

Comparing coefficients we can then conclude that the following rational congruences hold:

$$(10) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{b-1} r^{i+ku} (q_{s-i-ku} - t) + d_i r_s \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{u-1} r_{ij} c_{js} \pmod{p} \\ (i = 0, \dots, u-2; s = 0, \dots, p-2).$$

The next step consists of multiplying both sides of (10) by  $r^{(2bn-1)s}$  ( $n = 1, \dots, u-1$ ) and summing over  $s$ . By virtue of

$$\sum_{s=0}^{p-2} r^{(2bn-1)s} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \\ \sum_{s=0}^{p-2} r_s r^{(2bn-1)s} \equiv \sum_{s=0}^{p-2} r^{2bns} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

this yields

$$(11) \quad \sum_{s=0}^{p-2} \sum_{k=0}^{b-1} r^{i+ku+(2bn-1)s} q_{s-i-ku} \equiv \sum_{s=0}^{p-2} \sum_{j=1}^{u-1} r_{ij} c_{js} r^{(2bn-1)s} \pmod{p} \\ (i = 0, \dots, u-2; n = 1, \dots, u-1).$$

Here, the double sum on the left can be written in the form

$$\sum_{k=0}^{b-1} r^{i+ku} \sum_{s=0}^{p-2} r^{(2bn-1)(s+i+ku)} q_s =$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{b-1} r^{2bn(i+ku)} \sum_{s=0}^{p-2} r^{(2bn-1)s} q_s \equiv b r^{2bni} \psi(r^{2bn-1}) \pmod{p}.$$

Defining, in addition,

$$C_{jn} = \sum_{s=0}^{p-2} c_{js} r^{(2bn-1)s} \quad (j, n = 1, \dots, u-1)$$

we see that (11) reduces to

$$b r^{2bni} \psi(r^{2bn-1}) \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{u-1} r_{ij} C_{jn} \pmod{p}$$

$$(i = 0, \dots, u-2; n = 1, \dots, u-1).$$

From this, using (5) and denoting

$$D = \det(r^{2bni}) \quad (i = 0, \dots, u-2; n = 1, \dots, u-1),$$

$$C = \det(C_{jn}) \quad (j, n = 1, \dots, u-1),$$

we get that

$$(12) \quad b^{u-1} D \prod_{n=1}^{u-1} \psi(r^{2bn-1}) \equiv \pm h_2 C \pmod{p}.$$

The determinant  $D$ , being of Vandermonde type, equals, except for sign, the product of all  $r^{2bi} - r^{2bn}$ , where  $1 \leq i < n \leq u-1$ . Hence  $D \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , and we may set

$$(13) \quad G_b \equiv b^{1-u} D^{-1} C \pmod{p}.$$

Combined with (12) this proves theorem 1.

We remark that the numbers  $D^{-1}$  and  $C$ , occurring in (13), of course depend on  $b$ , i.e., on the subfield  $K$  in question.

**5. Proof of theorem 2.** Let the field  $K$  be a real one. Then we see, by the final statement of section 3, that (8), and further (9) and (10) hold with  $b$  replaced by  $\frac{1}{2}b$  and  $u$  replaced by  $a$ . We multiply both sides of this new (10) by  $r^{(bn-1)s}$  ( $n = 1, \dots, a-1$ ) and sum over  $s$ . Proceeding as in the proof of theorem 1 we finally arrive at

$$(14) \quad \left(\frac{1}{2}b\right)^{a-1} \bar{D} \prod_{n=1}^{a-1} \psi(r^{bn-1}) \equiv \pm h_2 \bar{C} \pmod{p},$$

where



$$\bar{D} = \det (r^{bni}), \quad \bar{C} = \det (\bar{C}_{jn}), \quad \bar{C}_{jn} = \sum_{s=0}^{p-2} c_{js} r^{(bn-1)s}$$

$$(i = 0, \dots, a-2; j, n = 1, \dots, a-1).$$

As before,  $D \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Thus, by setting

$$(15) \quad \bar{G}_b \equiv 2^{a-1} b^{1-a} \bar{D}^{-1} \bar{C} \pmod{p}$$

we see from (14) that theorem 2 is proved.

**6. Residues of  $H_1$  and  $h_1 \pmod{p}$ .** As is well-known,

$$H_1 = (-1)^m 2p \prod_{n=1}^m (2p)^{-1} \sum_{s=0}^{p-2} r_s Z^{(2n-1)s},$$

where  $Z$  is a primitive  $(p-1)$ -th root of unity. (See, e.g., [5] or [6, pp. 377, 430]. In the literature the expression of  $H_1$ , as regards the sign, is frequently incorrect.) Furthermore, if  $K$  is a proper imaginary subfield of  $F$ , then

$$h_1 = (-1)^u 2 \prod_{n=1}^u (2p)^{-1} \sum_{s=0}^{p-2} r_s Z^{(2n-1)bs}$$

(see, e.g., [2, pp. 461, 776]).

Assume now that  $r$  is a primitive root  $\pmod{p^2}$  so that  $r^m + 1$  is divisible by  $p$  but not by  $p^2$ . When studying the residues of  $H_1$  and  $h_1 \pmod{p}$  one has to observe that

$$(rZ^v - 1) p^{-1} \sum_{s=0}^{p-2} r_s Z^{vs} \equiv \psi(r^v) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}},$$

where  $v$  is any integer and  $\mathfrak{p}$  a prime ideal factor of  $p$  in the  $(p-1)$ -th cyclotomic field  $Q(Z)$ . From this it can be easily deduced that

$$H_1 \equiv 2^{1-m} p (r^m + 1)^{-1} \prod_{n=1}^m \psi(r^{2n-1}) \pmod{p},$$

and further, as shown in [4, p. 32],

$$(16) \quad H_1 \equiv -2^{2-m} \prod_{n=1}^{m-1} \psi(r^{2n-1}) \pmod{p}.$$

Analogously, we find that

$$(17) \quad h_1 \equiv 2^{1-u} (r^u + 1)^{-1} \prod_{n=1}^u \psi(r^{(2n-1)b}) \pmod{p}$$

and

$$(18) \quad H_1/h_1 \equiv -2^{u-m+1} (r^u + 1) \prod_n \psi(r^{2n-1}) \pmod{p},$$

where the last product contains those  $\psi(r^{2n-1})$  from (16) that do not occur in (17).

Now  $h_2$ , the class number of  $K_0$ , is by theorem 1 related with the product of those  $\psi(r^{2n-1})$  from (16) where  $2n-1$  is of the form  $2bn_1-1$  ( $n_1 = 1, \dots, u-1$ ). Since these  $\psi(r^{2n-1})$  occur also on the right side of (18), we see that our corollary is true in the case of imaginary  $K$ .

On the other hand, if  $K$  is real, then  $h_1 = 1$  and, by (16) and theorem 2,  $h_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  implies  $H_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , so that the corollary is proved also in this case. — Note that the latter case is trivial in view of the previously known facts about class numbers, mentioned in section 1. Indeed, if  $h_2$  is divisible by  $p$ , then so is  $H_2$  and hence also  $H_1$ .

We finally recall that, for  $n = 1, \dots, m-1$ ,  $\psi(r^{2n-1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  if and only if  $B_{2n} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , where the  $B_i$ 's are the Bernoulli numbers in the even suffix notation (see [6, pp. 431–432]). This together with (16) gives the known criterion, due to KUMMER [7], for the divisibility of  $H_1$  by  $p$ . It is seen from (17) that an analogous criterion for  $h_1$  reads as follows:  $h_1$  is divisible by  $p$  if and only if the numerator of at least one of the Bernoulli numbers  $B_{(2n-1)b+1}$  ( $n = 1, \dots, u$ ) is divisible by  $p$ . (Another proof for this is presented by CARLITZ in [3].) Moreover, applying theorems 1 and 2 we find that if  $h_2$  is divisible by  $p$ , then so is the numerator of at least one  $B_{2bn}$  ( $n = 1, \dots, u-1$ ) or  $B_{bn}$  ( $n = 1, \dots, u-1$ ) according to whether  $K$  is imaginary or real.

University of Turku  
Turku, Finland

### References

- [1] ANKENY, N. C., CHOWLA, S., and HASSE, H.: On the class number of the maximal real subfield of a cyclotomic field. — *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 217 (1965), 217–220.
- [2] BEEGER, N. G. W. H.: Über die Teilkörper des Kreiskörpers  $K(e^{2\pi i/h})$ . — *Proc. Akad. Wet. Amsterdam* 21 (1919), 454–465, 758–779.
- [3] CARLITZ, L.: The first factor of the class number of a cyclic field. — *Canad. J. Math.* 6 (1954), 23–26.
- [4] —»— A congruence for the second factor of the class number of a cyclotomic field. — *Acta Arith.* 14 (1968), 27–34.
- [5] HASSE, H.: Über die Klassenzahl abelscher Zahlkörper. Berlin (1952).
- [6] HILBERT, D.: Theorie der algebraischen Zahlkörper. — *Jber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein.* 4 (1897).
- [7] KUMMER, E.: Zwei besondere Untersuchungen über die Classen-Anzahl und über die Einheiten der aus  $\lambda$ -ten Wurzeln der Einheit gebildeten complexen Zahlen. — *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 40 (1850), 117–129.
- [8] METSÄNKYLÄ, T.: Congruences modulo 2 for class number factors in cyclotomic fields. — *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Ser. A I* 453 (1969).
- [9] YOKOI, H.: On the class number of a relatively cyclic number field. — *Nagoya Math. J.* 29 (1967), 31–44.
- [10] YOKOYAMA, A.: On the relative class number of finite algebraic number fields. — *J. Math. Soc. Japan.* 16 (1967), 179–184.