## $S_{eries} \ A$

### I. MATHEMATICA

417

# PICARD SETS FOR MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{Y}$ 

#### SAKARI TOPPILA

HELSINKI 1967 SUOMALAINEN TIEDEAKATEMIA

doi:10.5186/aasfm.1968.417

Communicated 10 October 1967 by K. I. VIRTANEN and LAURI MYRBERG
KESKUSKIRJAPAINO HELSINKI 1967

#### **Preface**

I am deeply indebted to Professor Olli Lehto and Professor K. I. Virtanen for suggesting this subject and for their kind interest and valuable advice.

I also wish to thank the E. J. Sariolan Säätiö for financial support.

Alavus, September 1967.

SAKARI TOPPILA

### Contents

	Page
1. Introduction	7
2. Picard sets in Lehto's sense	8
3. Picard sets in Matsumoto's sense	14
4. A new definition for Picard sets	16
References	24

#### 1. Introduction

1.1. Let us consider functions f meromorphic in the complement of a compact and totally disconnected set E in the extended complex plane. We call E an n-Picard set in the sense of Lehto if every f with at least one essential singularity in E omits at most n values in the intersection of E and an arbitrary neighbourhood of any singularity.

E is called an n-Pichard set in the sense of Matsumoto if every f with a singularity at all points of E omits at most n values in the intersection of E and an arbitrary neighbourhood of any point of E.

In both cases, a 2-Picard set is called briefly a Picard set.

An n-Picard set in Lehto's sense is of course one in Matsumoto's sense. The converse is not true: The set

$$E = \{\infty\} \cup \{2n\pi i\}_{n=0,\pm 1,\pm 2,\dots}$$

is not a Picard set in Lehto's sense, for  $e^* \neq 0, 1, \infty$  in -E, but it is a Picard set in Matsumoto's sense because E has isolated points in every neighbourhood of any of its points.

1.2. The term Picard set was first used by Lehto. In [2] he proved that there exist sets with an infinite number of points which are Picard sets in his sense. Carleson [1] proved that there exist 3-Picard sets in Lehto's sense which are of positive capacity. Matsumoto [4—6] extended these results and proved that there exist perfect Picard sets in his and in Lehto's sense.

In this paper we give in Section 2 a sufficient condition for a countable set with one limit point to be a Picard set in Lehto's sense. An example shows that the condition cannot be improved. In Section 3 we show that by adding points we can make any totally disconnected compact set into a perfect Picard set in the Matsumoto sense. In order to achieve monotonicity, i.e. that A a Picard set implies  $B \subset A$  a Picard set, we modify Matsumoto's definition in Section 4 and study the relationship of these new Picard sets with those of Matsumoto and Lehto.

#### 2. Picard sets in Lehto's sense

2.1. Let  $\{a_n\}_{n=1,2,...}$  be a point set whose points converge to infinity, and let E denote the union of  $\{a_n\}_{n=1,2,...}$  and the point at infinity. Lehto [2] proved that E is a Picard set in his sense if the points  $a_n$  satisfy the condition

$$(\log |a_n|)^{2+\alpha} = O(\log |a_{n+1}|) \quad (\alpha > 0).$$

Matsumoto [6] established the same result under the condition

$$(1) |a_n|^3 = O(|a_{n+1}|).$$

We first show by an example that the exponent in condition (1) cannot be made smaller than 2, and we then prove that it really can be replaced by 2.

- 2.2. We begin by presenting three lemmas which are essentially due to Carleson [1]. Let  $\Sigma$  be the Riemann sphere with radius 1/2 touching the w-plane at the origin. The chordal distance of the images on  $\Sigma$  of two points w and w' in the plane is denoted by [w, w'], and  $C(w, \delta)$  is the spherical open disc with centre at the image of w and with chordal radius  $\delta$ .
- **Lemma 1.** Let f be analytic in an annulus  $1 < |z| < e^{\mu}$  and omit the values 0 and 1. There exists a positive constant A such that the spherical diameter of the image curve of  $|z| = e^{\mu/2}$  by f is not greater than  $Ae^{-\mu/2}$  for all  $\mu > 0$ .

*Proof.* The lemma is proved by Matsumoto [6]. (See also Carleson [1], Matsumoto [5] and Sario-Noshiro [9].)

**Lemma 2.** Let f be analytic in a closed annulus  $r \le |z| \le R$ . If  $|f(z)| \le m$  on |z| = r and  $|f(z)| \le M$  on |z| = R then the euclidian diameter of the image curve of  $|z| = \varrho$ ,  $r < \varrho < R$ , by f is dominated by

$$rac{\pi m r}{arrho (1-r/arrho)^2} + rac{\pi M arrho}{R (1-arrho/R)^2} \, .$$

Proof. By Cauchy's integral theorem we have

$$f'(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left\{ \int_{|t|=R} f(t)(t-z)^{-2} dt - \int_{|t|=r} f(t) (t-z)^{-2} dt \right\}$$

for every z on  $|z| = \varrho$ , so that

$$|f'(z)| \le mr(\varrho - r)^{-2} + MR(R - \varrho)^{-2}$$
 .

For any z and  $z_0$  on  $|z| = \varrho$  this implies

$$|f(z) - f(z_0)| \le \pi m \varrho r(\varrho - r)^{-2} + \pi M \varrho R(R - \varrho)^{-2}$$
,

and the lemma is proved.

Let  $\Delta$  be a triply connected domain with boundary components  $\Gamma_1$ ,  $\Gamma_2$  and  $\Gamma_3$ , and let f be analytic and omit the values 0 and 1 in  $\bar{\Delta}$ . We assume that the images of  $\Gamma_1$ ,  $\Gamma_2$  and  $\Gamma_3$  by f are contained in the spherical discs  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  and  $C_3$ , respectively, and give the following lemma of Matsumoto [6].

**Lemma 3.** Let  $\delta > 0$  be so small that the spherical discs  $C(0, 2\delta)$ ,  $C(1, 2\delta)$  and  $C(\infty, 2\delta)$  are mutually disjoint. If the radii of  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  are less than  $\delta/2$ , only two possibilities can occur:

- (1)  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  contain the origin, the point w=1, and the point at infinity, one by one, so that  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  are contained in  $C(0, \delta)$ ,  $C(1, \delta)$  and  $C(\infty, \delta)$ , respectively, and f takes each value outside the union of  $C(0, \delta)$ ,  $C(1, \delta)$  and  $C(\infty, \delta)$  once and only once in  $\Delta$ .
- (2) Of  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  none can be disjoint from the union of the other two, so that there is a disc with radius less than  $3\delta/2$  which contains the image of  $\Delta$ .
  - 2.3. We can now construct the desired counter example:

**Theorem 1.** For each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a point set

$$E = \{a_n : n = 1, 2, \ldots\} \cup \{\infty\}$$

for which

$$|a_n|^{2-\varepsilon} = O(|a_{n+1}|)$$

but which is not a Picard set in the sense of Lehto.

*Proof.* We construct the desired set E with the aid of the function

$$f(z) = z \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1 - ze^{-M^{2n}}}{1 - ze^{-M^{2n-1}}} \right)^2 ,$$

where we first take  $M \geq 5$ .

Let  $z, |z| > e^{M}$ , be an 1-point of f. We choose n such that  $z \in \overline{S}_{n}$ , where

$$S_n = \{z : \exp[(M^n + M^{n-1})/2] < |z| < \exp[(M^n + M^{n+1})/2]\}.$$

If n=2p, we note

$$\log \left| z \prod_{s=1}^{p-1} \left( \frac{1 - z e^{-M^{2s}}}{1 - z e^{-M^{2s-1}}} \right)^{2} \right| = \log |z| - \frac{2M^{2p-1}}{M+1} + O(1)$$

where O(1) is bounded when  $n \to \infty$ , and

$$\log \left| \prod_{s=p+1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1 - ze^{-M^{2s}}}{1 - ze^{-M^{2s-1}}} \right|^2 = O(1).$$

Further, we have

$$\log \left| \frac{1 - z e^{-M^2 p}}{1 - z e^{-M^2 p - 1}} \right|^2 = -2 \log|z| + 2M^{2p - 1} + 2 \log|1 - z e^{-M^2 p}| + O(1).$$

Combining these results we get

$$-\log|z| + \frac{2M^{2p}}{M+1} + 2\log|1 - ze^{-M^{2p}}| + O(1) = 0$$
.

This is possible only for  $\log |z| > M^{2p} + O(1)$  and we get the estimate

$$|z| = \exp \left\{ 2M^{2p} - rac{2M^{2p}}{M+1} + O(1) 
ight\}.$$

In the same manner we get for n = 2p + 1 a similar estimate, so that an arbitrary 1-point of  $f, z \in \overline{S}_n$ , satisfies the condition

(i) 
$$|z| = \exp \left\{ 2M^n - \frac{2M^n}{M+1} + O(1) \right\}.$$

On the other hand, since  $f(z) \ge 0$  on the positive real axis,  $f(e^{M^{2n}}) = 0$  and  $f(e^{M^{2n-1}}) = \infty$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ , we see that f has at least one 1-point in each annulus  $e^{M^n} < |z| < e^{M^{n+1}}$ .

We take a  $\mu > 0$ , such that  $\delta = Ae^{-\mu/2}$ , where A is the constant of Lemma 1, is so small that the spherical discs  $C(0, 8\delta)$ ,  $C(1, 8\delta)$  and  $C(\infty, 8\delta)$  are mutually disjoint. From condition (i) it then follows that the annulus  $\exp(-\mu + M^n) < |z| < \exp(\mu + M^n)$  contains no 1-point of f for sufficiently large values of n, say  $n \ge n_1$ .

Let

$$t_n = \{z : |z| = \exp(-\mu/2 + M^n)\},$$
  
 $T_n = \{z : |z| = \exp(\mu/2 + M^n)\},$ 

and denote by  $R_n$  be the triply connected domain buonded by  $t_n$ ,  $\{e^{M^n}\}$ , and  $T_n$ . We conclude from Lemma 1 that for  $n \geq n_1$ , the curves  $f(t_n)$  and  $f(T_n)$  are contained in some spherical discs  $c_n$  and  $C_n$  with radius  $\delta$ .

- 2.4. Let us suppose that the boundary components of some  $R_{2n+1}$ ,  $2n \geq n_1$ , are mapped by f into  $C(0, 2\delta)$ ,  $C(1, 2\delta)$  and  $C(\infty, 2\delta)$ , respectively. By Lemma 3 we see that f takes each value outside the union of  $C(0, 2\delta)$ ,  $C(1, 2\delta)$  and  $C(\infty, 2\delta)$  exactly once in  $R_{2n+1}$ . Since  $e^{M^{2n+1}}$  is a pole of order two, f takes a value w outside the union of  $\{\infty\}$ ,  $C(0, 2\delta)$  and  $C(1, 2\delta)$  at two points z' and z'' of  $R_{2n+1}$ . We join w to  $C(0, 2\delta)$  with a curve A which lies outside this union and does not pass through any point which is the projection of a branch point of the Riemann surface  $f(R_{2n+1})$ . The elements of the inverse function  $f^{-1}$  corresponding to z' and z'' can be continued analytically along A to its end point and, since  $f(\bar{R}_{2n+1} R_{2n+1})$  is contained in the union of  $\{\infty\}$ ,  $C(0, 2\delta)$ , and  $C(1, 2\delta)$ , we see that every value on A is taken at two points of  $R_{2n+1}$ . This is not possible for  $w \in A$ ,  $[w, \infty] > 2\delta$ . By means of the linear transformation 1/f we get the same contradiction if  $R_{2n+1}$ ,  $2n \geq n_1$ , is replaced by  $R_{2n}$ ,  $2n \geq n_1$ .
- 2.5. In view of Lemma 3, it follows from the considerations in 2.4 that the discs  $c_{2n}$  and  $C_{2n}$ ,  $2n \geq n_1$ , are contained in  $C(0, 4\delta)$ . We see now in the same manner as in 2.4, since  $e^{M^{2n+1}}$  is a pole of order two that f takes each value outside  $C(0, 4\delta)$  exactly twice in the annulus bounded by  $T_{2n}$  and  $t_{2n+2}$ , and we note that f has exactly one 1-point in the closed region  $e^{M^n} \leq |z| \leq e^{M^{n+1}}$  for  $n \geq n_1$ .

Let now  $\{a_n\}_{n=1,2,...}$ ,  $|a_1| \leq |a_2| \leq ...$ , be the set of the zeros, 1-points, and poles of f. It follows from the above and (i) that for any  $\varepsilon < 0$ , we can take M so large that the numbers  $a_n$  satisfy the condition

$$|a_n|^{2-\varepsilon} = O(|a_{n+1}|).$$

The set  $E = \{\infty\} \cup \{a_n\}_{n=1,2...}$  thus provides the desired example, and Theorem 1 is proved.

- 2.6. In view of Theorem 1 it is of interest to show that Matsumoto's condition (1), quarantering E to be a Picard set, can be improved to  $|a_n|^2 = O(|a_{n+1}|)$ . In order to prove this result we need an estimate for the modulus of a ring domain.
- **Lemma 4.** Let a and b be two points such that |a| < |b|, and A a ring domain such that one component of its complement contains the point 0 and a and the other the points b and  $\infty$ . Then

$$\mod A < \log (32|b/a|)$$
.

*Proof.* The modulus of A is majorized by the modulus of the Teichmüller ring T with the boundary components  $\{x:-|a|\leq x\leq 0\}$  and  $\{x:x\geq |b|\}$ . Since

$$\mod T \leq \log \left(16(|b/a|+1)\right),$$

the lemma follows. (For the details we refer to Lehto-Virtanen [3] pp. 58 and 64.)

2.7. We can now give the above mentioned complement for Theorem 1:

**Theorem 2.** If the points  $a_n$  satisfy the condition

$$|a_n|^2 = O(|a_{n+1}|),$$

then  $E = \{a_n : n = 1, 2, ...\} \cup \{\infty\}$  is a Picard set in Lehto's sense.

2.8. Proof. It is obviously sufficient to prove that the assumption of the existence of a function f, meromorphic and non-rational for  $z \neq \infty$ , and different from 0, 1 and  $\infty$  outside of E, leads to a contradiction. There is no loss of generality to assume that the set  $\{a_n\}$  consists only of the zeros, 1-points and poles of f, for we can delete from  $\{a_n\}$  all other points and the remaining points also satisfy the condition (2).

Applying Lemma 1 to the annulus  $|a_n| \leq |z| \leq |a_{n+1}|$ , we conclude that the diameter of the image of  $\Gamma_n = \{z : |z| = |a_n a_{n+1}|^{1/2}\}$  by f is dominated by  $\delta_n = A |a_n/a_{n+1}|^{1/2}$  for all n. Hence there exists a spherical disc  $C_n$  with radius less than  $\delta_n$  which contains this image.

We take  $\delta > 0$  so small that the discs  $C(0, 2\delta)$ ,  $C(1, 2\delta)$  and  $C(\infty, 2\delta)$  are mutually disjoint. By the condition (2) there exists an M > 0 such that  $|a_{n+2}|^2 < M|a_{n+3}|$  for any n. Therefore

$$\frac{|a_{n+2}|}{|a_{n+3}|} < \frac{|a_{n+1}|}{|a_{n+2}|} \frac{M}{|a_{n+1}|}$$
.

We choose an  $n_0$  so large that

(a) 
$$12 \cdot 2400 \pi A |a_{n+2}/a_{n+3}|^{1/4} < |a_{n+1}/a_{n+2}|^{1/4}$$

and  $\delta_n < \delta/8$  for any  $n \ge n_0$ .

2.9. Let  $\Delta_n$  be the domain with boundary components  $\Gamma_n$ ,  $\Gamma_{n+1}$  and  $\{a_{n+1}\}$ . Suppose that there exists no  $\Delta_n$ ,  $n \geq n_0$ , whose boundary components are mapped into  $C(0,\delta)$ ,  $C(1,\delta)$  and  $C(\infty,\delta)$ , respectively. It follows from Lemma 3 that  $f(\Gamma_{n_0} \cup \Gamma_{n_0+1})$  is contained in one of the spherical discs  $C(0,\delta)$ ,  $C(1,\delta)$  and  $C(\infty,\delta)$ , say in  $C(0,\delta)$ . Lemma 3 applied to the region  $\Delta_{n_0+1}$  gives  $f(a_{n_0+1}) = f(a_{n_0+2}) = 0$ , and we conclude by induction that  $f(a_{n_0+p}) = 0$  for every  $p \geq 1$ . Then f is bounded in

 $|z| \geq |a_{n_0+1}|$ , and the point at infinity is no essential singularity of f. This is a contradiction, and so there is a  $\Delta_n, n \geq n_0$ , whose boundary components  $\Gamma_n, \{a_{n+1}\}$  and  $\Gamma_{n+1}$  are mapped into  $C(0, \delta), C(1, \delta)$  and  $C(\infty, \delta)$ , respectively. We may assume that  $C_n \subset C(0, \delta), f(a_{n+1}) = 1$  and  $C_{n+1} \subset C(\infty, \delta)$ .

Let  $\Delta = \Delta_n \cup \Delta_{n+1} \cup \Gamma_{n+1}$ . Since the image of the boundary component  $\Gamma_{n+2}$  of  $\Delta$  is contained in the spherical disc  $C_{n+2}$  with radius less than  $\delta_{n+2} < \delta/8$  we see, by applying Lemma 3 to f in  $\Delta_{n+1}$  and the maximum principle to f in  $\Delta$ , that f has a pole at  $a_{n+2}$  and  $C_{n+1} \cup C_{n+2}$  is contained in  $C(\infty, 4\delta_{n+1})$ .

2.10. We now modify the proof given by Matsumoto in [6] by considering the circles  $\gamma_n = \{z : |z| = \varrho_n\}$ , where  $\varrho_n = |a_n a_{n+1}^2 a_{n+2}|^{1/4}$ . By virtue of the condition (a) we obtain  $\gamma_{n+1} \subset S_{n+2} \cap \Delta_{n+1}$ , where  $S_n = \{z : |a_n| < |z| < |a_{n+1}|\}$ . Since  $C_{n+1} \cup C_{n+2} \subset C(\infty, 2\delta)$ , it follows from the maximum principle that  $f(\gamma_{n+1})$  is contained in a  $C(\infty, d)$  with radius  $d = \sup_{z \in \gamma_{n+1}} [f(z), \infty] < 2\delta$ .

Next we shall prove that f takes each value outside the union of the three discs  $C(0, \delta), C(1, \delta)$  and  $C(\infty, d)$  exactly once in the region G bounded by  $\Gamma_n$  and  $\gamma_{n+1}$ . By Lemma 3, f takes each value outside the union of  $C(0, \delta), C(1, \delta)$  and  $C(\infty, \delta)$  once and only once in  $\Delta_n$ . Now suppose that f takes a value  $w_0$  outside the union of  $C(0, \delta)$ ,  $C(1, \delta)$ and  $C(\infty, d)$  at two points z' and z'' in G. We join  $w_0$  to  $C(0, \delta)$  with a curve  $\Lambda$  which lies outside this union and does not pass through any projection of the branch points of the Riemann surface f(G). The elements of the inverse function  $f^{-1}$  corresponding to z' and z'' can be continued analytically along  $\Lambda$  to its end point, and since  $C_n \subset C(0, \delta)$ ,  $f(a_{n+1}) \in$  $C(1, \delta)$  and  $f(\gamma_{n+1}) \subset C(\infty, d)$  we see that every value on  $\Lambda$  is taken by f at least twice in G. Therefore we can assume that  $w_0$  lies outside  $C(\infty, 2\delta)$ . Then one of the points z' and z'', say z', must lie in the domain  $G_0$  bounded by  $\Gamma_{n+1}$  and  $\gamma_{n+1}$ . When we apply the maximum principle to the region  $G_0$  we are led to a contradiction with the fact that  $w_0$  lies outside  $C(\infty, 2\delta)$ .

2.11. Now we estimate d from below. For this purpose we consider the annulus  $R = \{w: 2 < |w| < \sqrt{1-d^2}/d\}$  corresponding to the annulus  $1/\sqrt{5} > [w, \infty] > d$  on the Riemann sphere, which separates  $C(0, \delta)$  and  $C(1, \delta)$  from  $C(\infty, d)$ . Since f(G) is a schlicht covering of R, the ring domain  $f^{-1}(R) \cap G$  has the same modulus as R and separates 0 and  $a_{n+1}$  from  $a_{n+2}$  and  $\infty$ . By Lemma 4 we have

$$\log (\sqrt{1-d^2}/2d) \le \log (32|a_{n+2}/a_{n+1}|)$$
.

14

Since  $d \leq 2\delta \leq \pi/6$ , we have the estimate

$$d \geq (\sqrt{1-(\pi/6)^2}/64) \; |a_{n+1}/a_{n+2}| = m \; .$$

2.12. When we apply Lemma 2 to the region  $\Delta_{n+1} \cup \{a_{n+2}\}$  and to the function 1/f we see that  $f(\gamma_{n+1})$  is contained in a spherical disc  $C'_{n+1}$  with radius  $\delta'_{n+1}$  satisfying the condition

$$\delta'_{n+1} \le 24 \ \pi A |a_{n+1}/a_{n+2}|^{3/4} |a_{n+2}/a_{n+3}|^{1/4} = r.$$

Since 12r < m by the condition (a),  $C'_{n+1}$  cannot contain the point at infinity. Therefore applying Lemma 3 to the region with  $\gamma_{n+1} \cup \{a_{n+3}\} \cup \Gamma_{n+3}$  as boundary we see that  $C_{n+3}$  is contained in  $C(\infty, 6\delta_{n+1})$ . By the same argument as above we conclude that  $\delta'_{n+2} \leq 2r$ . Since  $12r \leq m$ , it results from Lemma 3, applied to the triply connected region bounded by  $\gamma_{n+1}$ ,  $\{a_{n+3}\}$  and  $\gamma_{n+2}$ , that  $a_{n+3}$  cannot be a zero, a 1-point or a pole of f. This is a contradiction and the theorem is proved.

#### 3. Picard sets in Matsumoto's sense

3.1. The following lemma results from the proof of the theorem given by Matsumoto in [5] (For the notations see 4.2).

**Lemma 5.** If the successive ratios  $\xi_n$  of a Cantor set K satisfy the condition

$$\xi_{n+1} = o(\xi_n^2) ,$$

then there exist no open set V and no function f such that  $K \cap V \neq \Phi$ , f is meromorphic in V-K, f has an essential singularity at every point of  $K \cap V$  and f omits three values in V-K.

Remark. It follows from the proofs of our theorems 4 and 5 in Section 4 that Lemma 5 remains true under the weaker condition

$$\xi_{n+1} = o(\xi_n)$$
.

3.2. Using Lemma 5 we can enlarge an arbitrary totally disconnected compact set A so as to make it into a Picard set in Matsumoto's sense. In fact, we take Cantor sets satisfying condition (5) and let them accumulate towards all points of A. A rigorous proof for this will now be given.

**Theorem 3.** Let A be a totally disconnected compact set. Then there exists a perfect totally disconnected compact set  $B \supset A$  which is a Picard set in Matsumoto's sense.

*Proof.* It does not imply any essential restriction to assume that  $\infty \notin A$ . Since A is compact, it is covered by a finite number N(n),  $n=1,2,\ldots$ , of discs  $C_{n,k}$ ,  $k=1,2,\ldots$ , N(n), with centre  $b_{n,k} \in A$  and with radius 1/n.

We define N(0)=1,  $K_{0,1}=\Phi$  and  $K_{n,0}=\Phi$  for each n. After we have determined the sets  $K_{p,s}$ ,  $p=1,2,\ldots,n-1$ ,  $s=1,2,\ldots,N(p)$ , and the sets  $K_{n,s}$ ,  $s=1,2,\ldots,k-1$ , we define  $K_{n,k}$  inductively in the following manner. Let

$$B_{n,k} = A \ \mathsf{U} \ (\bigcup_{p=0}^{n-1} \bigcup_{s=1}^{N(p)} K_{p,s}) \ \mathsf{U} \ (\bigcup_{s=0}^{k-1} K_{n,s}) \ ,$$

and take a point  $z_{n,k} \in C_{n,k} - B_{n,k}$ . Since  $C_{n,k} - B_{n,k}$  is open and nonvoid, there exists an  $r_{n,k} > 0$  such that  $\{z : |z - z_{n,k}| < 2r_{n,k}\}$  is contained in  $C_{n,k} - B_{n,k}$ . We contruct the set  $K_{n,k}$  as a Cantor set on the closed interval

$$I_{n,k} = \{z: |\text{Re}(z - z_{n,k})| \le r_{n,k}, \text{Im}z = \text{Im}z_{n,k}\}$$

with the successive ratios  $\xi_n$  satisfying the condition (5). Since A and the Cantor sets are totally disconnected and compact, we see that  $B_{n,k+1}$  (the set  $B_{n+1,1}$  if k = N(n)) has the same properties, and the process can be continued.

We get the desired set by defining

$$B = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{n,1} .$$

B is trivially totally disconnected. Every point of B is an accumulation point of B, for the points of the Cantor sets are such since each Cantor set is perfect, and if we take a point  $z_0 \in A$  and a neighbourhood  $\{z: |z-z_0| < r\} = U$ , then some  $C_{n,k}$ ,  $2/r \le n < 2/r+1$ ,  $1 \le k \le N(n)$ , contains  $z_0$ , and  $K_{n,k} \subset U$ .

In order to prove that B is closed, and hence compact, let us suppose that there exists a point  $\zeta \in \overline{B} - B$ . Then there is a sequence  $\{z_n\}_{n=1,2,\ldots}$ ,  $z_n \in B$ ,  $n=1,2,\ldots$ , whose points converge to  $\zeta$ . There is only a finite number of points of the sequence such that

$$z_n \in \bigcup_{p=1}^{n_0} \bigcup_{s=1}^{N(p)} K_{p,s}$$

for any fixed  $n_0$ , for otherwise we have a subsequence  $\{z_n'\}_{n=1,2,...}$  whose points converge to  $\zeta$  and belong to some  $K_{p,s}$ ,  $p \leq n_0$ . Then  $\zeta$  must belong to  $K_{p,s}$  and we are led to a contradiction. We may assume that the points of  $\{z_n\}_{n=1,2,...}$  satisfy the condition

$$z_n \notin \bigcup_{p=1}^n \bigcup_{s=1}^{N(p)} K_{p,s}.$$

We define a sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n=1,2,\ldots}$  in the following manner: For  $z_n \in A$ , we set  $a_n = z_n$ , and for  $z_n \notin A$ ,  $z_n$  belonging to some  $C_{p,s}$ , p > n, we set  $a_n = b_{p,s}$ . The points of  $\{a_n\}_{n=1,2,\ldots}$  belong to A and they converge to  $\zeta$  since  $|a_n - z_n| < 1/n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ . Hence  $\zeta$  belongs to  $A \subset B$ , and we have proved that B is compact.

Contrary to our assertion that B is a Picard set in Matsumoto's sense, let us suppose that there exist a function f, meromorphic in -B with an essential singularity at every point of B, and a point  $\zeta \in B$  with a neighbourhood U such that f omits three values in U-B. According to Lemma 5  $\zeta$  cannot belong to any  $K_{n,k}$ . But it follows from the construction of B that there exists a  $K_{n,k} \subset U$ .  $V = U - (B - K_{n,k})$  is open and f omits three values in  $V - K_{n,k}$ . This is a contradiction to Lemma 5 and the theorem is proved.

It follows from Theorem 3 that there exist Picard sets in Matsumoto's sense which are of positive two dimensional Lebesque measure.

#### 4. A new definition for Picard sets

4.1. If A is an n-Picard set in Lehto's sense then so is every compact subset of A. Theorem 3 shows that n-Picard sets in Matsumoto's sense have no property like this. That is why we give the following new definition.

**Definition 1.** A totally disconnected compact set E is an n-Picard set, (a Picard set for n=2), if each compact  $B \subset E$  is an n-Picard set in Matsumoto's sense.

Let f be meromorphic in the complement of a totally disconnected compact set E, and let  $E_f \subset E$  denote the set of the essential singularities of f. Definition 1 can also be expressed as follows: A totally disconnected compact set E is an n-Picard set, if the meromorphic continuation of any function f meromorphic in -E omits at most n values in the intersection of  $-E_f$  and an arbitrary neighbourhood of any  $\xi \in E_f$ .

We see immediately from Definition 1 that if A is a Picard set then so is each closed subset  $B \subset A$ . Of course totally disconnected n-Picard sets in Lehto's sense are n-Picard sets in the sense of our definition, and these are n-Picard sets in Matsumoto's sense.

4.2. We shall give a sufficient condition for a Cantor set to be a Picard set according to Definition 1. First we introduce some notations. Let  $\{\xi_n\}_{n=1,2,\ldots}$  be a sequence of positive numbers satisfying the condition  $0<\xi_n<1/3$ ,  $n=1,2,\ldots$ , and  $I_{0,1}=\{z=x+iy:0\le x\le 1,y=0\}$ .

In the  $n^{th}$  subdivision we exclude an open segment of length  $(1-2\xi_n)\prod_{p=1}^{n-1}\xi_p$  from the middle of each segment  $I_{n-1,k}$ ,  $k=1,2,\ldots,2^{n-1}$ . The remaining  $2^n$  segments, which are of equal length  $l_n=\prod_{p=1}^n\xi_p$ , are denoted by  $I_{n,k}$ ,  $k=1,2,\ldots,2^n$ . The set

$$E = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=1}^{2^n} I_{n,k}$$

is a Cantor set on the interval  $I_{0,1}$  with the successive ratios  $\xi_n$ .

We denote by  $S_{n,k}$ ,  $n=1,2,\ldots,k=1,2,\ldots,2^n$ , the following annuli on the complementary domain -E of E:

$$S_{n,k} = \{z : l_n < |z - z_{n,k}| < l_{n-1}/3\},$$

where  $z_{n,k}$  is the middle point of  $I_{n,k}$ . The transformation  $\eta = (z - z_{n,k})/l_n$  maps  $S_{n,k}$  conformally on the annulus  $1 < |\eta| < e^{\mu_n}$ , where  $\mu_n = -\log(3\xi_n)$  is the modulus of  $S_{n,k}$ . Let  $\Gamma_{n,k}$  denote the preimage of the circle  $|\eta| = e^{\mu_n/2}$  on  $S_{n,k}$ ,  $\Delta_{n,k}$  the triply connected domain bounded by the three circles  $\Gamma_{n,k}$ ,  $\Gamma_{n+1,2k-1}$  and  $\Gamma_{n+1,2k}$ , and  $\Gamma_{n,k}$  the bounded domain with boundary  $\Gamma_{n,k}$ .

We now estimate the modulus of an arbitrary ring domain  $A \subset (\Gamma_{n,k})$  such that one component of its complement contains the circles  $\Gamma_{n,k}$  and  $\Gamma_{n+1,2k-1}$ , the other the circles  $\Gamma_{p+1,2s-1}$  and  $\Gamma_{p+1,2s}$ , and  $\Delta_{p,s} \subset (\Gamma_{n+1,2k})$ . In the same manner as in 2.6 we get the following estimate.

Lemma 6. mod  $A \leq \log (32 l_n/l_p)$ .

4.3. The following theorem shows that there exists perfect Picard sets.

**Theorem 4.** If the successive ratios  $\xi_n = l_n/l_{n-1}$  of a Cantor set E satisfy the condition

(3) 
$$\xi_{n+1} = O(\prod_{p=1}^{n} \xi_p),$$

then E is a Picard set.

4.4. Proof. Contrary to our assertion, let us suppose that there exist a closed set  $B \subset E$  and a function f, meromorphic in -B with B as the set of essential singularities, such that f omits three values in a neighbourhood of a singularity  $\zeta \in B$ . Actually there is no loss of generality to improve the stronger antithesis that f omits the three values 0, 1 and  $\infty$  in -B, since the argument below can be applied locally.

Let  $\delta > 0$  be so small that the discs  $C(0, 2\delta)$ ,  $C(1, 2\delta)$  and  $C(\infty, 2\delta)$  are mutually disjoint. By the condition (3) we can take  $n_0$  so large that  $\delta_n = A(3 \, \xi_n)^{1/2} < \delta/16$ , where A is the constant of Lemma 1, and

(b) 
$$\xi_{n+1} < \xi_n/4$$
, i.e.  $\delta_{n+1} < \delta_n/2$ ,

for any  $n > n_0$ . Since  $\mu_n = -\log(3 \, \xi_n)$ , it follows from Lemma 1 that the image of a circle  $\Gamma_{n,k}$ ,  $n > n_0$ , is contained in a spherical disc  $C_{n,k}$  with radius less than  $\delta_n < \delta/16$ .

4.5. Let us suppose that there exists only a finite number of  $\Delta_{n,k}$ 's where three boundary components are mapped into  $C(0, \delta)$ ,  $C(1, \delta)$  and  $C(\infty, \delta)$ , respectively. By Lemma 3, for any sufficiently large n the image of  $\Delta_{n,k}$  is contained in a spherical disc  $D_{n,k}$  with radius less than  $3\delta_n$ . The union of all  $D_{n,k}$ , for which  $\Delta_{n,k}$  is contained in a given  $(\Gamma_{p,s})$ , is a connected set. Thus its diameter with respect to the chordal distance is dominated by

$$6\sum_{n=p}^{\infty}\delta_n<1/2$$

for p large enough in view of the condition (b) and the triangle inequality. We may assume that f is bounded in  $(\Gamma_{p,s}) - E$ , since this can be achieved by means of a linear transformation. Hence  $E \cap (\Gamma_{p,s})$  contains no essential singularity of f. Since we get the same result for each  $s, s = 1, 2, \ldots, 2^p$ , for sufficiently large p, we are led to a contradiction.

4.6. We may therefore assume that for any  $n_1 > n_0$ , there exists a  $\Delta_{n,k}$ ,  $n > n_1$ , such that its three boundary components are mapped into  $C(0, \delta)$ ,  $C(1, \delta)$  and  $C(\infty, \delta)$ , respectively, and

(e) 
$$C_{n+1,2k} \subset C(\infty, \delta)$$
.

If  $(\Gamma_{n+1,2k}) \cap B = \Phi$ , the maximum principle yields the estimate |f(z)| < 2 in  $\Delta_{n,k} \cup \Gamma_{n+1,2k} \cup (\Gamma_{n+1,2k})$ , which contradicts (c). Because of Picard's theorem no point of B is isolated. Thus we see that there exists a  $\Delta_{p,s} \subset (\Gamma_{n+1,2k}), \ p > n$ , such that  $(\Gamma_{p+1,2s-1}) \cap B \neq \Phi$ ,  $(\Gamma_{p+1,2s}) \cap B \neq \Phi$  and  $(\Gamma_{p,s}) \supset (\Gamma_{n+1,2k}) \cap B$ .

4.7. Lemma 3 says that any one of the discs  $C_{n+1,2k}$ ,  $C_{p+1,2s-1}$  and  $C_{p+1,2s}$  meets the union of the other two. For if we suppose that  $\{0,1\}$   $\subset C_{p+1,2s-1} \cup C_{p+1,2s}$  and apply the maximum principle to the region  $\Delta$  bounded by the circles  $\Gamma_{n,k}$ ,  $\Gamma_{n+1,2k-1}$ ,  $\Gamma_{p+1,2s-1}$ , and  $\Gamma_{p+1,2s}$ , we arrive at a contradiction with (c).

Since  $\delta_{q+1} < \delta_q/2$  for  $q > n_0$ , we have

$$\sum_{q=p+2}^{\infty} 2 \ \delta_q < 2 \ \delta_{p+1}$$
 .

Let us suppose that one of the discs  $C_{p+1,2s-1}$  and  $C_{p+1,2s}$ , say  $C_{p+1,2s}$ , has a common point with the disc  $[w,\infty] \geq 8\delta_{p+1}$ . Since

$$2\delta_{p+1} + 4\sum\limits_{q=p+2}^{\infty}\delta_{q} < 6\;\delta_{p+1}$$
 ,

we see by Lemma 3 that no one of the discs  $C_{q,r}$ ,  $A_{q,r} \subset (\Gamma_{p+1,2s})$ , can have a common point with  $C(\infty, \delta_{p+1})$ . Then  $(\Gamma_{p+1,2s})$  cannot contain any point of B. This is a contradiction, and it follows that

(d) 
$$C_{p+1,2s-1} \cup C_{p+1,2s} \subset C(\infty, 8\delta_{p+1}).$$

4.8. We denote

$$\gamma_{n,k} = \{z : |z - z_{n,k}| = \varepsilon \ l_{n-1} \}$$
,

where  $1/\varepsilon=32^2\cdot 96~\pi A$ , and g=1/f. By the condition (3) we have  $\varepsilon l_{n-1}>8~\sqrt{l_{n-1}~l_n}$  for sufficiently large n. Let  $n_1$  in 4.6 be chosen such that this is valid for  $n>n_1$ . We estimate  $|g'(z)|,~z\in\gamma_{p+1,2s-1}$ , by means of Cauchy's integral. By (c) and (d), integration along the circles  $\Gamma_{n+1,2k}$ ,  $\Gamma_{p+1,2s-1}$ , and  $\Gamma_{p+1,2s}$  yields

$$|g'(z)| \le 24 A/l_n + 32 A l_{p+1}/\varepsilon^2 l_p^2$$
.

Thus we get for every z and  $z_0$  on the circle  $\gamma_{p+1,2s-1}$ 

$$\begin{split} |g(z) \ - \ g(z_0)| &= |\int\limits_{z_0}^z g'(t) dt| \\ &\leq 24 \ \pi A \varepsilon l_p |l_n + \ 32 \ \pi A l_{p+1} / \varepsilon l_p \\ &= 64^{-2} \ l_p |l_n + \ N l_{p+1} / l_p = \delta'_{p+1} \ , \end{split}$$

where N is a constant,  $N=32 \pi A \varepsilon^{-1}$ . Since the chordal distance remains invariant under the transformation 1/f, we note that  $f(\gamma_{p+1,2s-1})$  is contained in a spherical disc  $C'_{p+1,2s-1}$  with radius less than  $\delta'_{p+1}$ . Similarly,  $f(\gamma_{p+1,2s})$  is contained in as pherical disc  $C'_{p+1,2s}$  with radius less than  $\delta'_{p+1}$ .

4.9. Let us denote  $\Delta_1 = (\Gamma_{n+1,2k}) - ((\Gamma_{p+1,2s-1}) \cup (\Gamma_{p+1,2s}))$ . By (c) and (d), we obtain with the help of the maximum principle  $f(\Delta_1) \subset C(\infty, \delta)$ . Since  $\gamma_{p+1,2s-1} \cup \gamma_{p+1,2s} \subset \Delta_1$ , it follows that  $f(\gamma_{p+1,2s-1} \cup \gamma_{p+1,2s}) \subset C(\infty, d)$  with radius  $d = \sup \{ [f(z), \infty] : z \in \gamma_{p+1,2s-1} \cup \gamma_{p+1,2s} \} < \delta$ .

We prove now that f takes each value outside the union of the three discs  $C(0, \delta)$ ,  $C(1, \delta)$  and  $C(\infty, d)$  once and only once in the region  $\Delta'$ bounded by the circles  $\Gamma_{n,k}$ ,  $\Gamma_{n+1,2k-1}$ ,  $\gamma_{p+1,2s-1}$  and  $\gamma_{p+1,2s}$ . Let us suppose that f takes a value  $w_0$  outside the union of  $C(0, \delta)$ ,  $C(1, \delta)$  and  $C(\infty, d)$ at two points z' and z'' in  $\Delta'$ . We join  $w_0$  to  $C(0, \delta)$  with a curve  $\Delta$ which lies outside this union and does not pass through any projection of the branch points of the Riemann surface  $f(\Delta')$ . The elements of the inverse function  $f^{-1}$  corresponding to z' and z'' can be continued analytically along  $\Lambda$  to its end point, and since  $f(\Gamma_{n,k}) \subset C(0,\delta)$ ,  $f(\Gamma_{n+1,2k}) \subset$  $C(1,\delta)$  and  $f(\gamma_{p+1,2s-1} \cup \gamma_{p+1,2s}) \subset C(\infty,d)$ , we see that every value on  $\Lambda$  is taken by f at least twice in  $\Delta'$ . Therefore we may assume that  $w_0$ lies outside  $C(\infty, 2\delta)$ . By Lemma 3, f takes each value outside the union of  $C(0, \delta)$ ,  $C(1, \delta)$  and  $C(\infty, \delta)$  exactly once in  $\Delta_{n,k}$ . Then one of the points z' and z'', say z', must lie in the domain  $\Delta''$  bounded by  $\Gamma_{n+1,2k}$ ,  $\gamma_{p+1,2s-1}$  and  $\gamma_{p+1,2s}$ . When we apply the maximum principle to the function 1/f, we get by (c) and (d)

$$f(\Delta'' \cup \Delta_{p,s}) \subset C(\infty, \delta)$$
,

since  $8\delta_{p+1} < \delta$ . Then  $f(z') = w_0 \in C(\infty, \delta)$ , since  $z' \in \Delta''$ , and we are led to a contradiction with the assumption that  $w_0$  lies outside  $C(\infty, 2\delta)$ .

4.10. We estimate d from below. To this purpose we consider the annulus  $R = \{w: 2 < |w| < \sqrt{1-d^2}/d\}$ , which separates  $C(0, \delta)$  and  $C(1, \delta)$  from  $C(\infty, d)$ . Since  $f(\Delta')$  is a schlicht covering of R, the ring domain  $f^{-1}(R) \cap \Delta'$  has the same modulus as R and separates the boundary components  $\gamma_{p+1,2s-1}$  and  $\gamma_{p+1,2s}$  from the boundary components  $\Gamma_{n,k}$  and  $\Gamma_{n+1,2k-1}$ . By Lemma 6 we have

$$\log (\sqrt{1-d^2}/2d) \le \log(32 l_n/l_p).$$

Since  $d \leq \delta \leq \pi/6$ , we obtain the estimate

$$d \ge (l_p/64l_n)\sqrt{1-(\pi/6)^2} > l_p/128l_n = m$$
.

4.11. This implies that at least one of the discs  $C'_{p+1,2s-1}$  and  $C'_{p+1,2s}$ , say  $C'_{p+1,2s}$ , must intersect the disc  $[w,\infty] \geq m$ .  $C'_{p+1,2s}$  cannot contain the point at infinity for sufficiently large n since

(e) 
$$\delta'_{p+1} = 64^{-2}l_p/l_n + Nl_{p+1}/l_p$$
$$= m/32 + 128mNl_nl_{p+1}/l_p^2$$
$$= m\left(\frac{1}{32} + \frac{O(\prod_{r=1}^p \xi_r)}{\prod_{r=n+1}^p \xi_r}\right) < m/16$$

for n large enough by the condition (3). Let  $n_1$  in 4.6 be chosen such that this is valid for  $n > n_1$ .

We have by (b) the estimate

$$\sum_{q=p+2}^{\infty} \delta_q \leq 2 \,\, \delta_{p+2} \,.$$

We get by (3)

$$\begin{split} \delta_{p+2} &= A (3 \; \xi_{p+2})^{1/2} \\ &= O(\xi_{p+1}^{1/2} \; (\prod_{q=1}^p \; \xi_q)^{1/2}) \\ &= o(\prod_{q=n+1}^p \xi_q) < m/32 \end{split}$$

for sufficiently large n. We assume that  $n_1$  in 4.6 is sufficiently large in this sense. Then we have

$$2\delta_{p+1}^{'} + 4\sum_{q=p+2}^{\infty} \delta_{q} < m/2$$
 ,

and see by Lemma 3 and the triangle inequality that there exists no  $A_{q,r} \subset (\Gamma_{p+1,2s})$  whose three boundary components are mapped into  $C(0, \delta)$ ,  $C(1, \delta)$  and  $C(\infty, \delta)$ , respectively. Then f is bounded in  $(\Gamma_{p+1,2s})$  and cannot contain any point of B. This is a contradiction, and the theorem is proved.

4.12. By the same argument we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.** If the successive ratios  $\xi_n$  of a Cantor set E satisfy the condition

$$\xi_{n+1} = o(\xi_n) .$$

then E is a Picard set in Matsumoto's sense.

As we remarked in the beginning of Section 3, Matsumoto has established the same result under the condition

$$\xi_{n+1} = o(\xi_n^2) \; . \label{eq:xi_n}$$

Our improvement is of interest for the following reason. A Cantor set is of positive capasity if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{-\log \xi_n}{2^n} < \infty$$

(Nevanlinna [8]). Under the condition (4) it is therefore possible to choose the ratios  $\xi_n$  such that the capasity of E is positive. There are thus Picard sets in Matsumoto's sense with positive capasity. Matsumoto [7] has proved the same result but his method is different.

Proof of Theorem 5. We modify the proof of Theorem 4. Taking B = E in 4.5, we get p = n + 1. By (e) and (4) we get

(g) 
$$\begin{aligned} \delta'_{n+2} &= 64^{-2} \, l_{n+1} / l_n + N l_{n+2} / l_{n+1} \\ &= m/32 \, + \, 128 \, m N l_n l_{n+2} / l_{n+1}^2 \\ &= m/32 \, + \, m \, \, \xi_{n+1}^{-1} \, o(\xi_{n+1}) < m/16 \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large n ( $m = l_{n+1}/128l_n$ ). Let  $n_1$  in 4.6 be chosen such that this is valid for all  $n > n_1$ .

At least one of the discs  $C'_{n+2,4k-1}$  and  $C'_{n+2,4k}$ , say  $C'_{n+2,4k}$ , has a common point with  $[w,\infty] \geq m$ . Since  $\delta'_{n+2} < m/16$ ,  $\infty \notin C'_{n+2,4k}$ , and we see by Lemma 3 that no one of the discs  $C'_{n+2,4k}$ ,  $C_{n+3,8k-1}$  and  $C_{n+3,8k}$  can be disjoint from the union of the other two. Then we see in the same manner as in 4.7—4.8 that  $f(\gamma_{n+3,8k-1})$  and  $f(\gamma_{n+3,8k})$  are contained in spherical discs  $C'_{n+3,8k-1}$  and  $C'_{n+3,8k}$ , respectively, with radius less than

$$\delta_{n+3}' = 64^{-2} \, l_{n+2}/l_{n+1} + N l_{n+3}/l_{n+2} \, .$$

We get by (g)

$$\delta_{n+3}' \leq 16^{-1} \cdot 128^{-1} \, l_{n+2} / l_{n+1} < m/32 \; ,$$

and inductively  $\delta'_{n+2+r} < m/2^r \cdot 16$  for any r = 1, 2, ... Since now

$$2\delta'_{n+2} + 4\sum_{s=n+3}^{\infty} \delta'_{s} < m/2 < d/2$$

(see 4.10) we see by repeating the conclusion above that no one of the discs  $C'_{p,s}$ ,  $A_{p,s} \subset (\Gamma_{n+2,4k})$ , can have a common point with  $[w, \infty] \leq d/2$ . Then f is bounded in  $(\Gamma_{n+2,4k})$ , and  $(\Gamma_{n+2,4k})$  cannot contain any essential singularity of f. This is a contradiction and the theorem is proved.

4.13. Matsumoto [6] has proved that a Cantor set E is a Picard set in Lehto's sense if its successive ratios  $\xi_n$  satisfy the condition

$$\xi_{n+1} = O(\exp(-1/\prod_{p=1}^{n} \xi_p)).$$

Considering the product

$$f(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - r_n(1-z)/z)$$

we get a result in the opposite direction if the points of  $\{r_n\}_{n=1,2,\ldots}$ ,  $0 < r_n < 1/2$ , tend to zero with sufficient rapidity.

**Theorem 6.** There exists a Cantor set E whose successive ratios  $\xi_n$  satisfy the condition

(6) 
$$\xi_{n+1} = O((\prod_{p=1}^{n} \xi_p)^{(n-2)/2})$$

and which is no Picard set in Lehto's sense.

Proof. Let

$$f(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{-e^{e^n}} (1 - z)/z).$$

We denote  $e^{-e^{n}} = r_n$  and  $s_n = r_n/(1 + r_n)$ . We see immediately that the zeros of f are  $s_n, n = 1, 2, \ldots$  Let  $\zeta_n = s_n + t_n, n \geq 2$ , be a 1-point of f on the positive real axis satisfying  $z \in \overline{R}_n$  with

$$R_n = \{z : (s_n s_{n-1})^{1/2} < |z| < (s_n s_{n+1})^{1/2} \}.$$

We get immediately for  $z \in \bar{R}_n$ 

$$\log |\prod_{p=1}^{n-1} (1 - r_p(1-z)/z)| = \log |\prod_{p=1}^{n-1} r_p/z| + O(1)$$

and

$$\log |\prod_{p=n+1}^{\infty} (1 - r_p(1-z)/z)| = O(1).$$

Setting

$$f(\zeta_n) = \{ \prod_{p=1}^{n-1} (1 - r_p(1-\zeta_n)/\zeta_n) \} (1 - r_n(1-\zeta_n)/\zeta_n) \prod_{p=n+1}^{\infty} (1 - r_p(1-\zeta_n)/\zeta_n) = 1 \}$$

we get  $|t_n/s_n| = o(1)$  and hence

$$\log |1 - r_n(1 - \zeta_n)/\zeta_n| = \log |t_n| - \log r_n + O(1).$$

Combining these results we get

(h) 
$$|t_n| = \left(\prod_{p=1}^{n-1} \zeta_n/r_p\right) r_n e^{O(1)}$$

$$= (s_n - |t_n|)^{n-1} r_n \left(\prod_{p=1}^{n-1} r_p\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{s_n + t_n}{s_n - t_n}\right)^{n-1} e^{O(1)}$$

$$= O((s_n - |t_n|)^{n-1})$$

Since  $f((s_{2n}s_{2n-1})^{1/2}) < 0$  and  $f((s_{2n}s_{2n+1})^{1/2}) > 1$  we see that f has at least one 1-point  $\zeta_n = s_n + t_n$  on the positive real axis in  $\bar{R}_n$ .

Since |f(z)| > 2 for  $|z| = (s_n s_{n+1})^{1/2}$  for sufficiently large n, we see in the same manner as in 2.4 that f takes the value 1 as many times as the value 0 in  $|z| > (s_n s_{n+1})^{1/2}$ . Because f has in  $|z| > (s_n s_{n+1})^{1/2}$  n zeros each of order one, the only 1-points of f in  $|z| > (s_n s_{n+1})^{1/2}$  are  $\zeta_1 = 1$  and the above mentioned  $\zeta_q \in \bar{R}_q$ ,  $q = 2, 3, \ldots, n$ .

We set  $l_0=1, l_1=s_1$  and for  $n\geq 1$   $l_{2n}=s_{n+1}+\max(0,t_{n+1})$  and  $l_{2n+1}=|t_{n+1}|$ . We construct a Cantor set E on the interval  $\{z=x+iy:0\leq x\leq 1,\ y=0\}$  with the successive ratios  $\xi_n=l_n/l_{n-1},\ n=1,2,\ldots$ . We see by (h) that the ratios  $\xi_n$  satisfy (6) and the calculations above show that  $f\neq 0,1$  and  $\infty$  in -E. Then E is the desired set and Theorem 6 is proved.

University of Helsinki and University of Jyväskylä Finland

#### References

- [1] Carleson, L.: A remark on Picard's theorem. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 67 (1961), 142-144.
- [2] Lehto, O.: A generalization of Picard's theorem. Ark. Mat. 3 (1958), 495-500.
- [3] —»— and K. I. VIRTANEN: Quasikonforme Abbildungen. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1965.
- [4] Matsumoto, K.: Some notes on exeptional values of meromorphic functions. -Nagoya Math. J. 22 (1963), 189-201.
- [5] ->- Existence of perfect Picard sets. Nagoya Math. J. 27 (1966), 213-222.
- [6] --- Some remarks on Picard sets. Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. A. I. 403, 1967.
- [7] ->- Perfect Picard set of positive capasity. Nagoya Math. J. 29 (1967), 51-56.
- [8] NEVANLINNA, R.: Eindeutige analytische Funktionen. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1953.
- [9] Sario, L. and K. Noshiro: Value distribution theory. D. van Nostrand Company, Toronto-New York-London, 1966.