

Series A

I. MATHEMATICA

331

FIXED POINTS IN NON-NORMED
SPACES

BY

E. DUBINSKY

HELSINKI 1963
SUOMALAINEN TIEDEAKATEMIA

Communicated 9 November 1962 by R. NEVANLINNA and OLLI LEHTO

KESKUSKIRJAPAINO
HELSINKI 1963

Fixed points in non-normed spaces

1. Differential calculus in locally convex topological vector spaces which are not normed has been studied by many authors. de Lamadrid [5] gave a definition of the differential based on the idea of spaces of continuous mappings. More recently, Fischer [3] gave a different definition and proved several differential calculus theorems in addition to a fixed point theorem. The author [2] used the definition of de Lamadrid to prove the differential calculus theorems. The purpose of this note is to prove a fixed point theorem which is more general than that of Fischer. It should be noted that both theorems are relatively simple generalizations of the theorem of Hildebrandt and Graves [4]¹).

All notations and definitions, unless otherwise stated are those of Bourbaki [1].

2. Let X be a locally convex topological vector space, X_0 an open neighborhood of 0 in X , $x_0 \in X$, and $f: x_0 + X_0 \rightarrow X$. Let $k \geq 0$. We shall say that the function f satisfies the condition $L(k)$ if there exists a convex, closed, bounded set $B \subset X_0$ such that $x, y \in x_0 + X_0$ and $y - x \in \lambda B$ implies

$$f(y) - f(x) \in \lambda k B.$$

If X is normable and f is Lipschitz in the ordinary sense with constant k , then f satisfies $L(k)$ with the unit sphere taking the place of B . On the other hand, suppose X is normable and f satisfies $L(k)$. Let $x, y \in x_0 + X_0$. If B happens to be smaller than a sphere, say a line segment, then $y - x$ may not be in any non-zero multiple of B so that we may not conclude that f is Lipschitz in the ordinary sense.

Let us now consider the definition given in [3]. Here we say, essentially, that f satisfies $L'(k)$ if for every seminorm, p , there is a number, r_p , such that if $x, y \in x_0 + X_0$ and $p(y - x_0) \leq r_p$, $p(x - x_0) \leq r_p$, then

$$p(f(y) - f(x)) \leq k p(y - x).$$

¹) *Added in proof:* A sharper form of this theorem has been proved for Banach spaces by Rolf Nevanlinna [6].

If $L'(k)$ is satisfied, we can take B to be the set of $x \in X_0$ such that for all p , $p(x) \leq r_p$. This is a closed, convex, bounded set. If $x, y \in x_0 + X_0$ and $y - x \in \lambda B$, then $p(y - x) \leq \lambda r_p$, so $p(f(y) - f(x)) \leq k \lambda r_p$. This means that $f(y) - f(x) \in \lambda k B$.

Thus we have shown that $L'(k) \Rightarrow L(k)$. The converse is false. This is most easily seen by noting that in the normable case, L' is equivalent to the usual Lipschitz condition, while L , as we have seen, is not. It should also be noted that in locally convex topological vector spaces, L' implies continuity but L does not.

3. We will now show that a fixed point theorem can be proven using L instead of L' .

Theorem. *Let X be complete. Let $f: x_0 + X_0 \rightarrow X$ such that f satisfies $L(k)$, $k < 1$, with corresponding bounded set B . Let*

$$f(x_0) - x_0 \in (1 - k) B.$$

Then there exists a unique $x \in x_0 + B$ such that $f(x) = x$.

Proof. We define the sequence (x_n) by

$$x_{n+1} = f(x_n), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

We must show that $x_n \in x_0 + X_0$ so that $f(x_n)$ is defined. Since $B \subset X_0$, we have

$$x_1 = f(x_0) \in X_0 + (1 - k) B \subset x_0 + X_0.$$

Let us assume that x_1, \dots, x_n are in $x_0 + X_0$, and

$$x_n - x_0 \in (1 - k^n) B, \quad x_n - x_{n-1} \in k^{n-1} (1 - k) B.$$

This assumption is valid for $n = 1$. Let us prove it for $n - 1$.

We have,

$$x_{n+1} - x_n = f(x_n) - f(x_{n-1}) \in k^{n-1} (1 - k) k B = k^n (1 - k) B,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} - x_0 &= x_{n+1} - x_n + x_n - x_0 = k^n (1 - k) B + (1 - k^n) B \\ &\subset [k^n (1 - k) + 1 - k^n] B = (1 - k^{n+1}) B. \end{aligned}$$

Hence our assumptions are valid for all n . In particular, $x_n \in x_0 + X_0$ so that the sequence is well defined.

Further, for any integer $m \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+m+1} - x_n &= x_{n+m+1} - x_{n+m} + x_{n+m} - x_{n+m-1} + \dots + x_{n+1} - x_n \\ &\in (k^{n+m} + k^{n+m-1} + \dots + k^n)(1 - k) B \subset k^n (1 - k^{m+1}) B \subset k^n B. \end{aligned}$$

Hence if V is a convex neighborhood of 0 , there exists $\mu > 0$ such

that $\mu B \subset V$. For n sufficiently large, since $k < 1$ we have $k^n \leq \mu$ so $x_{n+m+1} - x_n \in V$. Thus the sequence (x_n) is cauchy and has a limit, x . Since each x_n is in $x_0 + B$, so is x . In fact, for each n ,

$$x - x_n \in k^n B.$$

This is so because for all m , $x_{n+m+1} - x_n \in k^n B$ and $k^n B$ is closed. Thus,

$$f(x) - x_n = f(x) - f(x_{n-1}) \in k^n B.$$

Therefore, $f(x)$ is the limit of (x_n) , i.e., $f(x) = x$.

Finally let us suppose that $y \in x_0 + B$ and $f(y) = y$.

Then

$$y - x = f(y) - f(x) \in k B.$$

This may be repeated to obtain $y - x \in k^n B$ for all n , or $y = x$.

4. Corresponding to our fixed point theorem, we have the following implicit function theorem.

Theorem. *Let T be a topological space and*

$$x_0: T \rightarrow X_0, \quad f: (x_0(T) + X_0) \times T \rightarrow X$$

such that, for each $t \in T$, the map $f(\cdot, t): x_0(t) + X_0 \rightarrow X$ satisfies the conditions of the previous theorem with k, B independent of t .

Then there exists a unique function $x: T \rightarrow x_0(t) + B$ such that

$$f(x(t), t) = x(t).$$

If x_0 and f are continuous, then x is continuous.

Proof. The existence and uniqueness of x follows by applying the previous theorem for each t .

Let us suppose that x_0 and f are continuous. If $x_n(t)$ is continuous, then $x_{n+1}(t) = f(x_n(t), t)$ is the composition of two continuous functions so it is continuous. Hence $x_n(t)$ is continuous for all n . From the proof of the previous theorem, we have, given a neighborhood $0, V$, in X , that if n is sufficiently large (depending only on k, B) then $x_n(t) - x(t) \in V$. Thus the sequence $(x_n(t))$ converges uniformly to $x(t)$ so $x(t)$ is continuous.

University College of Sierra Leone
Freetown, Sierra Leone

References

- [1] BOURBAKI, N.: *Éléments de mathématique*. XV/XVIII. Espaces vectoriels topologiques. Chap. I—II/III—V. - *Actualités Sci. Ind.* 1189/1229, Hermann, Paris, 1953/1955.
 - [2] DUBINSKY, E.: *Differential calculus and differential equations in Montel spaces*. - Thesis, University of Michigan, 1962.
 - [3] FISCHER, H. R.: *Differentialkalkül für nicht-metrische Strukturen*. - *Ann. Acad. Scient. Fennicæ A. I.* 247, 1957.
 - [4] HILDEBRANDT, T. H., and GRAVES, L. M.: *Implicit functions and their differentials in general analysis*. - *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 29, 1927, pp. 127—153.
 - [5] DE LAMADRID, J. G.: *Topology of mappings and differentiation processes*. - *Illinois J. Math.* 3, 1959, pp. 408—420.
 - [6] NEVANLINNA, R.: *Über die Methode der sukzessiven Approximationen*. - *Ann. Acad. Scient. Fennicæ A. I.* 291, 1960.
-