

Series A

I. MATHEMATICA

328

CHARACTERIZATION
OF THE SET OF VALUES APPROACHED BY A
MEROMORPHIC FUNCTION ON SEQUENCES
OF JORDAN CURVES

BY

FREDERICK BAGEMIHLE

HELSINKI 1963
SUOMALAINEN TIEDEAKATEMIA

Communicated 14 September 1962 by F. NEVANLINNA and OLLI LEHTO

KESKUSKIRJAPAINO
HELSINKI 1963

§ 1. Introduction

1. Let Ω denote the extended complex plane (or the Riemann sphere), and let C be the unit circle and D be the open unit disk.

A sequence A of distinct Jordan curves $J_1, J_2, \dots, J_n, \dots$ in D will be called an *annulation* if

1) J_n lies in the interior of J_{n+1} ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$)

and

2) given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an $n_0 = n_0(\varepsilon)$ such that $n > n_0$ implies that J_n lies in the region $1 - \varepsilon < |z| < 1$.

If, furthermore,

3) every J_n is a circle with the origin as center, then the sequence A will be called a *strict annulation*.

Suppose that the function $f(z)$ is meromorphic in D . Then we define the sets $\Theta(f)$ and $\Theta^\circ(f)$ as follows: The point $c \in \Omega$ belongs to $\Theta(f)$, resp. $\Theta^\circ(f)$, provided that, for some annulation, resp. strict annulation, $A = \{J_n\}$, given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an $n_0 = n_0(\varepsilon)$ such that $n > n_0$ implies that, for every $z \in J_n$, we have $|f(z) - c| < \varepsilon$ or $|1/f(z)| < \varepsilon$ according as c is finite or the point at infinity. Under these conditions we say that the function f tends to the value c on the annulation A .

2. An immediate consequence of the foregoing definition is that $\Theta^\circ(f) \subseteq \Theta(f)$. In § 6 we shall give an example of a function f for which $\Theta^\circ(f) \neq \Theta(f)$; in fact, we shall show that Θ° and Θ can be prescribed arbitrarily except for the natural restrictions that they be closed sets and that $\Theta^\circ \subseteq \Theta$.

The main question that arises at once, however, is: What kind of point sets are Θ° and Θ ? It is again obvious that Θ° and Θ are closed sets. We are going to establish the following characterization:

Theorem 1. *Let F be an arbitrary closed subset of Ω . Then there exists a function $f(z)$, meromorphic in D , such that $\Theta^\circ(f) = \Theta(f) = F$.*

Moreover, if F is not empty, and A is a given annulation, then there exists an f such that $\Theta(f) = F$ and every value $c \in F$ is approached by f on a subannulation of A .

§ 2. Relations between $R(f)$ and $\Theta(f)$

3. It is well known that although a function $f(z)$ that is holomorphic in D cannot tend uniformly to infinity as z approaches C , nevertheless there exist holomorphic functions $f(z)$ in D for which $\{\infty\} = \Theta^\circ(f) = \Theta(f)$ (see, e.g., [1]). For any holomorphic function f , we have $\infty \notin R(f)$, where, as is customary, $R(f)$ stands for the range of values of f in D [5, p. 48].

Now suppose that $f(z)$ is meromorphic in D and that $R(f)$ omits at least three values of Ω . Then (see [4, p. 97, Theorem 6] or [5, pp. 52–53]) $\Theta(f)$ is empty if $f(z)$ is not identically constant.

If $R(f)$ omits just two values of Ω , then (see [4, p. 112, Theorem 9, (ii)] or [5, pp. 50–51, Theorem 1, (ii)]) $f(z)$ possesses at least two asymptotic values, and hence $\Theta(f)$ is empty.

If the complement of $R(f)$ consists of the sole value $c \in \Omega$, then either, as in the preceding case, $\Theta(f)$ is empty, or c is an asymptotic value of f , so that if $\Theta(f)$ is not empty, then $\Theta(f) = \{c\}$. For example, let

$h(z) = \cos \frac{1}{1-z}$, and set $f(z)$ equal to $h(z)$, $1/h(z)$, $(1/h(z)) + b$ according as c is equal to ∞ , 0 , b , where $b \in \Omega - \{0, \infty\}$. Then $f(z)$ is meromorphic in D , $R(f)$ omits the sole value c , and $\Theta(f)$ is empty. On the other hand, let $h(z)$ be the function described as an infinite product in [2, p. 79], and then define $f(z)$ as in the preceding sentence. The function $f(z)$ is meromorphic in D , $R(f)$ omits the sole value c (cf. [5, p. 75, Remark, (v)]), and $\Theta^\circ(f) = \Theta(f) = \{c\}$.

The reason for making a distinction between $\Theta^\circ(f)$ and $\Theta(f)$ is that, although it may be known for a specific f that $c \in \Theta(f)$, it may be by no means an easy matter to determine whether $c \in \Theta^\circ(f)$ — and a strict annulation is, after all, the neatest.

§ 3. The skeleton S and the continuous function $g(z)$ on S

4. To prove the theorem formulated in § 1, we shall assume that the given closed subset F of Ω is not empty, because if F is empty, the assertion of the theorem is obviously true.

Let the annulation $A = \{J_n\}$ be given. We shall show that there exists a meromorphic function $f(z)$ in D such that $\Theta(f) = F$ and every value $c \in F$ is approached by f on a subannulation of A ; this will prove the second part of the theorem. If we then take A to be a strict annulation, we obtain the first part of the theorem.

5. To accomplish this, we first define a point set S in D , where $S \supset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} J_n$. Let a_{00} and a_{10} be distinct points in the interior of J_1 , and take B_0, B_1 to be mutually exclusive Jordan arcs with initial points a_{00} resp. a_{10} , and terminal points 1 resp. -1 ; we regard the initial points, but not the terminal points, as belonging to these arcs. We further require B_0 and B_1 to lie in D , and each of them to intersect every J_n in precisely one point, a_{0n} resp. a_{1n} , so that

$$B_0 \cap J_n = \{a_{0n}\}, \quad B_1 \cap J_n = \{a_{1n}\} \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

We put

$$S = B_0 \cup B_1 \cup \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} J_n \right)$$

and call S the *skeleton*.

6. Our next step is to define a certain continuous function $g(z)$ on S . Whereas the definition of the skeleton depended on the given annulation A , the definition of $g(z)$ depends on the given (nonempty) closed set F .

Suppose that F contains ∞ as an isolated point. Then there exists a finite point $\zeta \notin F$. The image of F under the transformation $z' = \frac{1}{z - \zeta}$ is a set F' that does not contain ∞ . If we can prove that there exists a meromorphic function $h(z)$ in D such that $\Theta(h) = F'$ and every value in F' is approached by h on a subannulation of A , then the function $f(z) = \frac{1}{h(z)} + \zeta$ is meromorphic in D , $\Theta(f) = F$, and every value in F is approached by f on a subannulation of A . We may therefore assume, in what follows, that F does not contain ∞ as an isolated point.

7. Let

$$c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n, \dots$$

be an infinite sequence of finite complex numbers in F with the property that $\{c_n\}$ is everywhere dense in F in the sense that every isolated point of F occurs infinitely often as a term of the sequence.

We put

$$(1) \quad g(z) \equiv c_n \quad (z \in J_n; \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

For every natural number n , let A_{jn} ($j = 0, 1$) be the subarc of B_j extending from $a_{j, n-1}$ to a_{jn} , including the two end points.

We set

$$g(z) \equiv c_1 \quad (z \in A_{01} \cup A_{11}).$$

For every $n > 1$, consider c_n and c_{n-1} . If $c_n = c_{n-1}$, we put

$$(2) \quad g(z) \equiv c_n \quad (z \in A_{0n} \cup A_{1n}).$$

But if $c_n \neq c_{n-1}$, we take the circle whose diameter is the rectilinear segment joining c_n and c_{n-1} , and denote one of the semicircular arcs of this circle extending from c_{n-1} to c_n , including the end points, by A_{0n}^* , and the other one, also extending from c_{n-1} to c_n , by A_{1n}^* . We now define $g(z)$ on A_{jn} ($j = 0, 1$) to be a homeomorphism of A_{jn} onto A_{jn}^* such that $g(a_{j,n-1}) = c_{n-1}$ and $g(a_{jn}) = c_n$.

This completes the definition of $g(z)$ on S ; $g(z)$ is obviously a continuous function on S .

§ 4. The relation between $g(z)$ and $f(z)$

8. For every natural number n , choose a point b_{jn} ($j = 0, 1$) on B_j between a_{jn} and $a_{j,n+1}$, and let B_{jn} be the subarc of B_j that extends from $b_{j,n-1}$ to b_{jn} , including the end points, where we set $b_{j0} = a_{j0}$. Then put

$$(3) \quad S_n = B_{0n} \cup B_{1n} \cup J_n \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

We propose to demonstrate in § 5 the existence of a meromorphic function $f(z)$ in D , with no poles on the skeleton, such that

$$(4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{z \in S_n} |f(z) - g(z)| = 0.$$

9. Suppose for a moment that this has already been accomplished. We wish to show how the conclusion of our theorem follows.

We first prove that $F \subseteq \Theta(f)$. Let $c \in F$. Then there exists an infinite subsequence $\{c_{n_k}\}$ of c_n such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} c_{n_k} = c$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. If c is finite, then there exists a $k_1 = k_1(\varepsilon)$ such that, for every $k > k_1$,

$$(5) \quad |c_{n_k} - c| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

According to (1), for every $z \in J_{n_k}$ we have

$$(6) \quad g(z) \equiv c_{n_k}.$$

It follows from (5) and (6) that, for every $k > k_1$,

$$(7) \quad |g(z) - c| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad (z \in J_{n_k}).$$

By (3) and (4) there exists a $k_2 = k_2(\varepsilon)$ such that, for every $k > k_2$,

$$(8) \quad |f(z) - g(z)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad (z \in J_{n_k}).$$

Now for every $k > \max(k_1, k_2)$, (8) and (7) yield

$$(9) \quad |f(z) - c| < \varepsilon \quad (z \in J_{n_k}).$$

This implies that $f(z)$ tends to c along the subannulation $\{J_{n_k}\}$ of A .

In case $c = \infty$, replace inequalities (5), (7), (8), (9) by $|c_{n_k}| > \frac{2}{\varepsilon}$,

$$|g(z)| > \frac{2}{\varepsilon}, \quad |f(z) - g(z)| < \frac{1}{\varepsilon}, \quad \left| \frac{1}{f(z)} \right| < \varepsilon.$$

10. It remains to be proved that $\Theta(f) \subseteq F$. This is accomplished by showing that if $c \notin F$ then $c \notin \Theta(f)$.

If c is finite, it is a positive distance d from the nonempty closed set F . Suppose that $c \in \Theta(f)$. Then there exists an annulation $A^* = \{J_n^*\}$

on which $f(z)$ tends to c . Take $\varepsilon = \frac{d\sqrt{2}}{2\sqrt{2}+4}$. According to (4), (3), and

(1), there exists an n_0 such that, for every $n > n_0$,

$$(10) \quad |f(z) - g(z)| < \varepsilon \quad (z \in S_n),$$

and hence, in particular,

$$(11) \quad |f(z) - c_n| < \varepsilon \quad (z \in J_n).$$

Let δ be the positive distance between J_{n_0+1} and C . By 2) in the definition of annulation, there exists an m_1 such that J_m^* lies in the region $1 - \delta < |z| < 1$ for every $m > m_1$. There also exists an m_2 such that, for every $m > m_2$,

$$(12) \quad |f(z) - c| < \varepsilon \quad (z \in J_m^*).$$

If $m_0 = \max(m_1, m_2)$, then $m > m_0$ implies that J_m^* intersects no J_n with $n > n_0$, for otherwise it would follow from (11) and (12) that

$$|c - c_n| < d \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{2\sqrt{2}+4} < d, \text{ contradicting the definition of } d. \text{ Therefore}$$

there exists an $n_1 > n_0$ such that J_{n_1} is in the interior of J_m^* and J_m^* is in the interior of J_{n_1+1} . Consequently J_m^* intersects A_{0, n_1+1} in at least one (interior) point t_0 and A_{1, n_1+1} in at least one point t_1 . Let

$$g(t_j) = t_j^* \quad (j = 0, 1).$$

11. Suppose first that $c_{n_1} \neq c_{n_1+1}$. It then follows from the definition of $g(z)$ that

$$(13) \quad t_j^* \in A_{j, n_1+1}^* \quad (j = 0, 1).$$

In view of (3) and (10) for $n = n_1, n_1 + 1$, we have

$$(14) \quad |f(t_j) - t_j^*| < \varepsilon \quad (j = 0, 1).$$

From (12), for $z = t_j$ ($j = 0, 1$), and (14), we obtain

$$(15) \quad |t_j^* - c| < 2\varepsilon \quad (j = 0, 1),$$

and hence

$$(16) \quad |t_0^* - t_1^*| < 4\varepsilon = d \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{2\sqrt{2} + 4}.$$

Now

$$(17) \quad |t_j^* - c_{n_1}| > d - 2\varepsilon \quad (j = 0, 1),$$

because otherwise, in view of (15), we should have $|c - c_{n_1}| < d$, contrary to the definition of d . Likewise we must have

$$(18) \quad |t_j^* - c_{n_1+1}| > d - 2\varepsilon \quad (j = 0, 1).$$

Because of (17), (18), and (13), $|c_{n_1} - c_{n_1+1}| > (d - 2\varepsilon)\sqrt{2}$ and

$$(19) \quad |t_0^* - t_1^*| > (d - 2\varepsilon)\sqrt{2}.$$

According to (16) and (19),

$$(d - 2\varepsilon)\sqrt{2} < d \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{2\sqrt{2} + 4},$$

which implies that $\varepsilon > \frac{d\sqrt{2}}{2\sqrt{2} + 4}$, contrary to our choice of ε . Hence, if $c_{n_1} \neq c_{n_1+1}$, then $c \notin \Theta(f)$.

12. Suppose next that $c_{n_1} = c_{n_1+1}$. It then follows from (2) that

$$t_j^* = c_{n_1+1} \quad (j = 0, 1),$$

and from (3) and (10) that

$$(20) \quad |f(t_j) - c_{n_1+1}| < \varepsilon \quad (j = 0, 1).$$

On the other hand, (12) implies that

$$(21) \quad |f(t_j) - c| < \varepsilon \quad (j = 0, 1).$$

From (20) and (21) we infer that $|c - c_{n_1+1}| < 2\varepsilon < d$, contradicting the definition of d , and hence $c \notin \Theta(f)$.

This disposes of the case that c is finite.

13. Now suppose that $c = \infty$. Then F is a bounded set. It follows from this and the way in which $g(z)$ was defined, that $g(z)$ is a bounded function on S , and (4) now implies that $c \notin \Theta(f)$.

§ 5. The construction of $f(z)$

14. To complete the proof of the theorem all, that remains is the demonstration of the existence of a function $f(z)$, meromorphic in D , satisfying (4). This is accomplished by means of approximation and interpolation by rational functions. We use a modification of a method devised in [3].

Let K_0 be a Jordan curve in the interior of J_1 having no point in common with $B_{01} \cup B_{11}$. For every natural number n , let K_n be a Jordan curve containing J_n in its interior and contained in the interior of J_{n+1} , such that

$$K_n \cap A_{0, n+1} = \{b_{0n}\}, \quad K_n \cap A_{1, n+1} = \{b_{1n}\}.$$

Denote by D_n ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) the set of all points lying either on K_n or in the interior of K_n , and put

$$(22) \quad E_n = D_n \cup S_{n+1}.$$

15. We now define, by induction on n , a function $\varphi_n(z)$ on E_n and a rational function $r_n(z)$.

Let

$$\varphi_0(z) = \begin{cases} 0, & z \in D_0; \\ g(z), & z \in S_1. \end{cases}$$

The function $\varphi_0(z)$ is evidently continuous on E_0 and holomorphic at all interior points of E_0 . Because of the nature of E_0 , there exists (cf. [6, pp. 260–261, 313]) a rational function $r_0(z)$ with no poles on $D_0 \cup S$, such that

$$|r_0(z) - \varphi_0(z)| < 1 \quad (z \in E_0),$$

and

$$r_0(b_{j1}) = g(b_{j1}) \quad (j = 0, 1).$$

Now suppose that $n > 0$ and that rational functions $r_0(z), r_1(z), \dots, r_{n-1}(z)$ have been determined so that $r_0(z) + r_1(z) + \dots + r_{n-1}(z)$ has no poles on S and

$$(23) \quad r_{n-1}(b_{jn}) = g(b_{jn}) - [r_0(b_{jn}) + r_1(b_{jn}) + \dots + r_{n-2}(b_{jn})] \quad (j = 0, 1),$$

where the expression in brackets is missing in case $n = 1$.

Let

$$(24) \quad \varphi_n(z) = \begin{cases} 0, & z \in D_n; \\ g(z) - [r_0(z) + r_1(z) + \dots + r_{n-1}(z)], & z \in S_{n+1}. \end{cases}$$

It follows from (23), (24), and (25) that $\varphi_n(z)$ is continuous on E_n and holomorphic at all interior points of E_n . As before, there exists a rational function $r_n(z)$ with no poles on $D_n \cup S$, such that

$$(26) \quad |r_n(z) - \varphi_n(z)| < \frac{1}{2^n} \quad (z \in E_n),$$

and

$$r_n(b_{j, n+1}) = g(b_{j, n+1}) - [r_0(b_{j, n+1}) + r_1(b_{j, n+1}) + \dots + r_{n-1}(b_{j, n+1})] \quad (j = 0, 1).$$

This completes the induction.

16. Set

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_n(z).$$

Suppose that $z \in D_n$. It follows from (24) and (26) that

$$(27) \quad |r_{n+k}(z)| < \frac{1}{2^{n+k}} \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

Since $r_{n+k}(z)$ ($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) is holomorphic on D_n , (27) implies that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r_{n+k}(z)$ is holomorphic in the interior of D_n , and hence $f(z)$ is meromorphic in the interior of D_n . Every point of D , however, is in the interior of D_n for some value of n ; this is so because of 2) in the definition of annulation, and because of the way in which K_n was defined. Hence, $f(z)$ is meromorphic in D .

17. Let $z \in S_n$, where $n > 1$. Then by (26) and (22), we have

$$(28) \quad |r_{n-1}(z) - \varphi_{n-1}(z)| < \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}.$$

According to (25),

$$(29) \quad \varphi_{n-1}(z) = g(z) - [r_0(z) + r_1(z) + \dots + r_{n-2}(z)].$$

Combining (28) and (29), we obtain

$$(30) \quad |r_0(z) + r_1(z) + \dots + r_{n-1}(z) - g(z)| < \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}.$$

Now (30), (27), and the definition of $f(z)$ yield

$$\begin{aligned} & |f(z) - g(z)| \\ & \leq |r_0(z) + r_1(z) + \dots + r_{n-1}(z) - g(z)| + |r_n(z)| + |r_{n+1}(z)| + \dots \\ & < \sum_{m=n-1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^m}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (4).

§ 6. A function $f(z)$ with prescribed $\Theta^\circ(f)$ and $\Theta(f)$

18. As we remarked in § 1, for every function $f(z)$ that is meromorphic in D , $\Theta^\circ(f)$ and $\Theta(f)$ are closed subsets of Ω such that $\Theta^\circ(f) \subseteq \Theta(f)$. We are going to show that Θ° and Θ are subject to no further restrictions. Specifically, we shall prove the following:

Theorem 2. *Let F and F_0 be closed subsets of Ω such that $F_0 \subseteq F$. Then there exists a function $f(z)$, meromorphic in D , such that $\Theta^\circ(f) = F_0$ and $\Theta(f) = F$.*

19. If $F_0 = F$, then Theorem 2 reduces to the first part of Theorem 1. We shall therefore assume that $F_0 \subset F$.

As in § 2.6, we may assume that F does not contain ∞ as an isolated point.

If F contains ∞ , but not as an isolated point, whereas F_0 contains ∞ as an isolated point, we can reduce this case to the case that neither F nor F_0 contains ∞ as an isolated point by taking a finite point $\zeta \notin F_0$ such that ζ is not an isolated point of F and proceeding as in § 2.6.

20. We define an annulation A and, in case F_0 is not empty, a subannulation A_0 , as follows.

Let

$$0 < \alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_{n-1} < \alpha_n < \dots < 1,$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 1.$$

Put

$$\beta_n = \frac{\alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_n}{2} \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

If F_0 is not empty, we take J_{2n-1} ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) to be the circle with center at the origin and radius β_{2n-1} , and J_{2n} ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) to be the ellipse whose major axis extends from $\alpha_{2n} e^{\frac{-\pi i}{4}}$ to $\alpha_{2n} e^{\frac{3\pi i}{4}}$ and whose minor axis extends from $\alpha_{2n-1} e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}}$ to $\alpha_{2n-1} e^{\frac{5\pi i}{4}}$. We then set

$$A = \{J_n\}, \quad A_0 = \{J_{2n-1}\} \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

In case F_0 is empty, we set

$$A = \{J_{2n}\} \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

21. Our next step is the definition of a skeleton S .

Let $\beta_0 = \frac{\alpha_0}{2}$, and denote by B_0 resp. B_1 the rectilinear segment extending from β_0 resp. $-\beta_0$ to 1 resp. -1 ; the initial point is included, the terminal point not.

We take $T_{0,2n-1}$ resp. $T_{1,2n-1}$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) to be the closed rectilinear segment extending from $\beta_{2n-1} e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}}$ resp. $\beta_{2n-1} e^{\frac{5\pi i}{4}}$ to $\beta_{2n-2} e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}}$ resp. $\beta_{2n-2} e^{\frac{5\pi i}{4}}$; we similarly define $T_{0,2n}$ resp. $T_{1,2n}$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) to extend from $\beta_{2n} e^{\frac{3\pi i}{4}}$ resp. $\beta_{2n} e^{\frac{-\pi i}{4}}$ to $\beta_{2n-1} e^{\frac{3\pi i}{4}}$ resp. $\beta_{2n-1} e^{\frac{-\pi i}{4}}$.

If F_0 is not empty, put

$$S = B_0 \cup B_1 \cup \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} J_n \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T_{0n} \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T_{1n} \right).$$

In case F_0 is empty, set

$$S = B_0 \cup B_1 \cup \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} J_{2n} \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T_{0n} \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T_{1n} \right).$$

22. We now show how to define a continuous function $g(z)$ on S .

In case F_0 is not empty, we take

$$c_1, c_3, c_5, \dots, c_{2n-1}, \dots$$

to be an infinite sequence of finite complex numbers in F_0 such that $\{c_{2n-1}\}$ is everywhere dense in F_0 , and we let

$$c_2, c_4, c_6, \dots, c_{2n}, \dots$$

be a similar sequence in $F - F_0$ that is everywhere dense in $F - F_0$.

If F_0 is empty, we consider merely a sequence

$$c_2, c_4, c_6, \dots, c_{2n}, \dots$$

in F that is everywhere dense in F .

If F_0 is not empty, set

$$g(z) = \begin{cases} c_{2n-1}, & z \in J_{2n-1} \cup T_{0,2n-1} \cup T_{1,2n-1} \cup T_{0,2n} \cup T_{1,2n}; \\ c_{2n}, & z \in J_{2n}; \end{cases}$$

$$(n = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

But if F_0 is empty, put

$$g(z) = \begin{cases} 0, & z \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T_{0n}; \\ 1, & z \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T_{1n}; \\ c_{2n}, & z \in J_{2n} \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots). \end{cases}$$

On the segments of B_0 and B_1 where $g(z)$ has not yet been defined, we define $g(z)$ by means of homeomorphisms like those described in § 2.7, and thus obtain a continuous function $g(z)$ on S .

23. Now it is not difficult to see that a function $f(z)$, meromorphic in D , can be constructed after the pattern of § 5 so that

$$(31) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{\substack{a_n < |z| < a_{n+1} \\ z \in S}} |f(z) - g(z)| = 0.$$

If F_0 is empty, then clearly $\Theta(f) = F$. Furthermore, $\Theta^\circ(f)$ is empty, because every circle Q in D with the origin as center and a sufficiently large radius, intersects both T_{0n} and T_{1n} for a suitable n . By the definition of $g(z)$ on T_{jn} , it follows from (31) that every Q sufficiently near C contains a point at which $f(z)$ is very close to zero as well as a point at which $f(z)$ is very close to one, and hence $f(z)$ cannot tend to a limit on any sequence of such circles Q tending to C .

If F_0 is not empty, it is again clear that $\Theta(f) = F$, and that every value $c \in F_0$ is approached by f on the annulation A_0 . The way in which $g(z)$ was defined on T_{jn} in this case evidently guarantees that no value in the complement of F_0 can be approached by f on a sequence of circles Q with the origin as center and tending to C , and hence $\Theta^\circ(f) = F_0$.

24. *Remark.* We have also succeeded in characterizing the well-known sets $\Phi(f, e^{i\theta})$ and $\Phi(f)$ for a function $f(z)$ meromorphic in D . Our results in this direction will appear elsewhere (Math. Z. 80 (1962), 230–238).

References

- [1] BAGEMIHL, F., ERDÖS, P., and SEIDEL, W.: Sur quelques propriétés frontières des fonctions holomorphes définies par certains produits dans le cercle-unité. - Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (3) 70 (1953), 135—147.
- [2] BAGEMIHL, F., and SEIDEL, W.: Some remarks on boundary behavior of analytic and meromorphic functions. - Nagoya Math. J. 9 (1955), 79—85.
- [3] BAGEMIHL, F., and SEIDEL, W.: Spiral and other asymptotic paths, and paths of complete indetermination, of analytic and meromorphic functions. - Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 39 (1953), 1251—1258.
- [4] COLLINGWOOD, E. F., and CARTWRIGHT, M. L.: Boundary theorems for a function meromorphic in the unit circle. - Acta Math. 87 (1952), 83—146.
- [5] NOSHIRO, K.: Cluster sets. - Berlin, 1960.
- [6] WALSH, J. L.: Interpolation and approximation by rational functions in the complex domain. - 3rd ed., Providence, 1960.

Wayne State University
Detroit, Michigan, U.S.A.