

Series A

I. MATHEMATICA

316

A CONDITION
FOR THE SELFADJOINTNESS OF A
LINEAR OPERATOR

BY

TOIVO NIEMINEN

HELSINKI 1962
SUOMALAINEN TIEDEAKATEMIA

Communicated 9 April 1962 by R. and F. NEVANLINNA

KESKUSKIRJAPAINO
HELSINKI 1962

A condition for the selfadjointness of a linear operator

Let T be a selfadjoint linear operator from a complex Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} into \mathfrak{H} . It is well known that its spectrum lies on the real axis and that its resolvent

$$R_\lambda = (T - \lambda I)^{-1} \quad (I = \text{the identity operator})$$

satisfies the inequality

$$|R_\lambda| \leq \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|}$$

for every λ with $\operatorname{Im} \lambda \neq 0$. The purpose of this paper is to prove the following converse theorem:

Let T be a linear operator from a complex Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} into \mathfrak{H} such that

- (a) *the domain of definition \mathfrak{D}_T of T is dense in \mathfrak{H} ,*
- (b) *the imaginary axis, with the possible exception of the origin, belongs to the resolvent set of T ,*
- (c) *the resolvent of T satisfies the inequality*

$$|R_{i\xi}| \leq \frac{1}{|\xi|}$$

for every real $\xi \neq 0$.

Then T is selfadjoint.

Proof. The inequality in (c) can be written

$$|Tx - i\xi x|^2 \geq |\xi|^2 |x|^2.$$

Since here

$$|Tx - i\xi x|^2 = |Tx|^2 + |\xi|^2 |x|^2 + i\xi[(Tx, x) - (x, Tx)],$$

we thus have

$$|Tx|^2 + i\xi[(Tx, x) - (x, Tx)] \geq 0$$

or

$$|Tx|^2 \geq 2\xi \operatorname{Im} (Tx, x)$$

for every x in \mathfrak{D}_T and every real ξ . From this it immediately follows that $\text{Im}(Tx, x) = 0$ or

$$(Tx, x) = (x, Tx)$$

for every x in \mathfrak{D}_T . By means of the polarization formula

$$4(Tx, y) = (T(x+y), x+y) - (T(x-y), x-y) + i(T(x+iy), x+iy) - i(T(x-iy), x-iy))$$

and the corresponding formula for (x, Ty) we thus get

$$(1) \quad (Tx, y) = (x, Ty)$$

for every x and y in \mathfrak{D}_T . This shows that the adjoint operator T^* , which exists by virtue of (b), is an extension of T .

In order to prove conversely that T is an extension of T^* we first show that $R_i^* = R_{-i}$. From (1) it follows that

$$(Tx - ix, y) = (x, Ty + iy)$$

whenever x and y are in \mathfrak{D}_T . Denoting here

$$Tx - ix = u, \quad Ty + iy = v, \quad x = R_i u, \quad y = R_{-i} v$$

we thus get

$$(2) \quad (u, R_{-i} v) = (R_i u, v)$$

for every u and v in \mathfrak{S} . This shows that $R_i^* = R_{-i}$.

Now let y be an arbitrary vector of \mathfrak{D}_{T^*} . If we set $T^*y = z$ we thus have

$$(Tx, y) = (x, z)$$

and, consequently,

$$(Tx - ix, y) = (x, z + iy)$$

for every x in \mathfrak{D}_T . Denoting here

$$Tx - ix = u, \quad x = R_i u$$

we therefore see by virtue of the equation (2) that

$$(u, y) = (R_i u, z + iy) = (u, R_{-i}(z + iy)).$$

Since u is arbitrary, we thus have

$$y = R_{-i}(z + iy) \in D_{T+ii} = D_T.$$

As a consequence, $\mathfrak{D}_{T^*} \subset \mathfrak{D}_T$, and our proof is complete.

It is well known that the spectrum of a unitary operator U lies on the unit circle and that its resolvent satisfies the inequality

$$|R_\lambda| \leq \frac{1}{||\lambda| - 1|}.$$

It would be interesting to know whether from these two assumptions it conversely follows that U is unitary.
